

CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN PESTOV AND WEITZENBÖCK IDENTITIES

MIHAJLO CEKIĆ, THIBAUT LEFEUVRE, ANDREI MOROIANU, AND UWE SEMMELMANN

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to establish the correspondence between the twisted localized Pestov identity on the unit tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold and the Weitzenböck identity for twisted symmetric tensors on the manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Weitzenböck and Pestov identities are two standard identities in Riemannian geometry. While the former is usually phrased on the base manifold, the Pestov identity is given in terms of functions on the unit tangent bundle. The latter can be further *localized* by considering specific functions which are spherical harmonics in restriction to every fiber of the unit tangent bundle: this is known as the localized Pestov identity. There is a tautological correspondence between trace-free symmetric tensors on the base manifold and spherical harmonics; hence, it is conceivable that the Weitzenböck identity *should* be related to the localized Pestov identity but this correspondence has never been established anywhere formally. The purpose of this note is therefore to show that the localized Pestov identity is indeed equivalent to the Weitzenböck identity. More generally, we will consider this correspondence for twisted objects, where we twist by an auxiliary vector bundle over the Riemannian manifold. As both identities require a certain amount of notation before being stated, we refer the reader to Proposition 3.3 below for the twisted Weitzenböck identity, and Proposition 6.2 for the twisted localized Pestov identity. As for the introduction, we provide a brief account on the history of these identities, and for which purposes they are used.

The Pestov identity is an L^2 energy identity on the unit tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold which was first introduced by Mukhometov [Muk75, Muk81] and Amirov [Ami86], then in a more general form by Pestov and Sharafutdinov [PS88, Sha94], and later written in an intrinsic way by Knieper [Kni02]¹. More recently, a *twisted* identity (that is, involving an auxiliary vector bundle) was obtained by Guillarmou, Paternain, Salo and Uhlmann [PSU15, GPSU16]. The Pestov identity was found to play an essential role in two problems of Riemannian geometry on negatively-curved manifold, namely:

- (i) the *marked length spectrum rigidity* problem which consists in recovering a metric from the knowledge of the lengths of its closed geodesics (marked by the free homotopy of

Date: May 9, 2023.

¹We also remark that in [Kni02, Appendix], Knieper argues that the Pestov identity is a “formula of Weitzenböck type”. Somehow, the present paper makes this intuition rigorous.

the manifold). Equally important and intimately related are the *tensor tomography question* which asks to recover a tensor from its integrals along closed geodesics, and *inverse spectral problems*, which ask if the spectrum of a geometric operator determines the geometry; see [GK80a, CS98, PSU13, PSU14, GL19] for references where the Pestov identity is used; see also [Cro90, Ota90, CFF92, Ham99] for further references on the marked length spectrum.

- (ii) the *ergodicity of the frame flow* which consists in showing that the only measurable functions that are invariant by the frame flow on the frame bundle are the constant functions, see [CLMS21, CLMS23, CL22] for references where the Pestov identity is used; see also [BG80, BK84, BP03] for further references on frame flow ergodicity.

Let us also mention that there are other versions of the Pestov identity related to *thermostat flows* [JP09], and that the (localized) twisted Pestov identity for *non-metric* connections can be improved using Carleman estimates [PS22].

The Weitzenböck formula usually expresses a curvature term as a linear combination of operators of the form P^*P , where P is a first-order differential operator, typically a projection of the covariant derivative. It is an important tool for combining differential geometric aspects with topological aspects on compact Riemannian manifolds, see [Bou90] for a nice review. This is prominently illustrated in the Bochner method, where the vanishing of Betti numbers follows under suitable curvature assumptions, and also for the non-existence of metrics of positive scalar curvature on spin manifolds with non-vanishing \hat{A} -genus. Moreover, it is used to prove eigenvalue estimates for Laplace and Dirac type operators.

In this note we give a self-contained proof of the Weitzenböck formula on trace-free symmetric tensors. This is a special case of a more general method introduced in [SW10]. Here we will show in addition how to extend the Weitzenböck formula to the case of symmetric tensors twisted with an auxiliary vector bundle E . Finally, we show that this twisted Weitzenböck formula translates into the localized twisted Pestov identity on the unit tangent bundle.

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to thank the CIRM, where part of this article was written, for support and hospitality. M.C. acknowledges the support of an Ambizione grant (project number 201806) from the Swiss National Science Foundation. We would also like to thank G. Knieper for pointing out the reference [Kni02] which was missing in an earlier version of this article; we thank G. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann for their comments.

2. SYMMETRIC TENSORS

In this section we recall basic formulas for symmetric tensors as well as the definition and first properties of conformal Killing tensors. More details can be found in [HMS16].

2.1. The symmetric algebra of a vector space. Let $(T, g) := \mathbb{R}^n$ be the standard Euclidean vector space of dimension n . We denote with $\text{Sym}^k T \subset T^{\otimes k}$ the k -fold symmetric

tensor product of T . Elements of $\text{Sym}^k T$ are symmetrized tensor products

$$v_1 \cdots v_k := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(k)}, \quad (1)$$

where v_1, \dots, v_k are vectors in T . In particular we have $v \cdot u = v \otimes u + u \otimes v$ for $u, v \in T$. Some authors (see [PSU23, page 156]) use another convention for the symmetric product and divide by $k!$ in (1).

Using the metric g , one can identify T with T^* . Under this identification, $g \in \text{Sym}^2 T^* \simeq \text{Sym}^2 T$ can be written as $g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$, for any orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$. The direct sum $\text{Sym} T := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Sym}^k T$ is endowed with a commutative product making $\text{Sym} T$ into a \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative algebra. The scalar product g induces a scalar product on $\text{Sym}^k T$, also denoted by g , defined by

$$g(v_1 \cdots v_k, w_1 \cdots w_k) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} g(v_1, w_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots g(v_k, w_{\sigma(k)}).$$

With respect to this scalar product, every element K of $\text{Sym}^k T$ can be identified with a symmetric k -linear map (i.e. a polynomial of degree k) on T by the formula

$$K(v_1, \dots, v_k) = g(K, v_1 \cdots v_k).$$

For every $v \in T$, the metric adjoint of the linear map $v \cdot : \text{Sym}^k T \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+1} T$, $K \mapsto v \cdot K$ is the contraction $v \lrcorner : \text{Sym}^{k+1} T \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k T$, $K \mapsto v \lrcorner K$, defined by $(v \lrcorner K)(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}) = K(v, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$. In particular we have $v \lrcorner u^k = k g(v, u) u^{k-1}$, for all $v, u \in T$.

We introduce the linear map $\text{deg} : \text{Sym} T \rightarrow \text{Sym} T$, defined by $\text{deg}(K) = kK$ for $K \in \text{Sym}^k T$. Then we have

$$\sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K = \text{deg}(K), \quad \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \mathbf{e}_i \cdot K = nK + \text{deg}(K),$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ denotes an orthonormal frame of (T, g) . Note that if $K \in \text{Sym}^k T$ is considered as a polynomial of degree k then $v \lrcorner K$ corresponds to the directional derivative $\partial_v K$ and the last formula is nothing else than the well-known Euler formula on homogeneous functions.

Contraction and multiplication with the symmetric tensor $L := \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = 2g$ defines two additional linear maps:

$$\Lambda : \text{Sym}^k T \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-2} T, \quad K \mapsto \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K$$

and

$$L : \text{Sym}^{k-2} T \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k T, \quad K \mapsto L \cdot K,$$

which are adjoint to each other. It is straightforward to check the following algebraic commutator relations

$$[\Lambda, L] = 2n \text{id} + 4 \text{deg}, \quad [\text{deg}, L] = 2L, \quad [\text{deg}, \Lambda] = -2\Lambda, \quad (2)$$

and for every $v \in T$:

$$[\Lambda, v \cdot] = 2v \lrcorner, \quad [v \lrcorner, L] = 2v \cdot, \quad [\Lambda, v \lrcorner] = 0 = [L, v \cdot]. \quad (3)$$

For $T = \mathbb{R}^n$, the standard $O(n)$ -representation induces a reducible $O(n)$ -representation on $\text{Sym}^k T$. We denote by $\text{Sym}_0^k T := \ker(\Lambda : \text{Sym}^k T \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-2} T)$ the space of trace-free symmetric k -tensors.

It is well known that $\text{Sym}_0^k T$ is an irreducible $O(n)$ -representation and we have the following decomposition into irreducible summands

$$\text{Sym}^k T \cong \text{Sym}_0^k T \oplus \text{Sym}_0^{k-2} T \oplus \dots,$$

where the last summand in the decomposition is \mathbb{R} for k even and T for k odd. The summands $\text{Sym}_0^{k-2i} T$ are embedded into $\text{Sym}^k T$ via the map L^i . Corresponding to the decomposition above any $K \in \text{Sym}^k T$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$K = K_0 + LK_1 + L^2K_2 + \dots$$

with $K_i \in \text{Sym}_0^{k-2i} T$, i.e. $\Lambda K_i = 0$. We will call this decomposition the *standard decomposition* of K . In the following, the subscript 0 always denotes the projection of an element from $\text{Sym}^k T$ onto its component in $\text{Sym}_0^k T$. Note that for any $v \in T$ and $K \in \text{Sym}_0^k T$ we have the following projection formula

$$(v \cdot K)_0 = v \cdot K - \frac{1}{n+2k-2} L(v \lrcorner K). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, using the commutator relations (2) we have $\Lambda(L(v \lrcorner K)) = (2n + 4(k - 1))(v \lrcorner K)$, since Λ commutes with $v \lrcorner$ and $\Lambda K = 0$. Moreover $\Lambda(v \cdot K) = 2v \lrcorner K$. Thus the right-hand side of (4) is in the kernel of Λ , i.e. it computes the projection $(v \cdot K)_0$.

2.2. Conformal Killing tensors. Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . All the algebraic considerations above extend to vector bundles over M , e.g. the $O(n)$ -representation $\text{Sym}^k T$ defines the real vector bundle $\text{Sym}^k TM$. The $O(n)$ -equivariant maps L and Λ define bundle maps between the corresponding bundles. The same is true for the symmetric product \cdot and the contraction \lrcorner . We will use the same notation for the bundle maps on M .

Next we will define first order differential operators on sections of $\text{Sym}^p TM$. We have

$$D : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^{k+1} TM), \quad K \mapsto \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K,$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ denotes from now on a local orthonormal frame. The symmetric tensor DK is the complete symmetrisation of ∇K , in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} g(DK, X^{k+1}) &= \sum_i g(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K, \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner X^{k+1}) = (k+1) \sum_i g(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K, g(\mathbf{e}_i, X) X^k) \\ &= (k+1)g(\nabla_X K, X^k) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for every $X \in TM$. The formal adjoint of D is the divergence operator D^* defined by

$$D^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^{k+1}TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^kTM), \quad K \mapsto - \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K.$$

As an immediate consequence of the definition we have that the operator D acts as a *derivation* on the algebra of symmetric tensors, i.e. for any $K_1 \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^kTM)$ and $K_2 \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^lTM)$ the following equation holds

$$D(K_1 \cdot K_2) = DK_1 \cdot K_2 + K_1 \cdot DK_2.$$

Moreover, an easy calculation proves that the operators D and D^* satisfy the commutator relations:

$$[\Lambda, D^*] = 0 = [L, D], \quad [\Lambda, D] = -2D^*, \quad [L, D^*] = 2D. \quad (6)$$

We also consider the operator

$$D_0 : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^kTM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k+1}TM), \quad K \mapsto (DK)_0.$$

According to (4), we have $D_0K = DK + \frac{1}{n+2k-2}LD^*K$ for every $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^kTM)$. The formal adjoint $D_0^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k+1}TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^kTM)$ is clearly equal to the restriction of D^* to $C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k+1}TM)$.

A symmetric tensor $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^kTM)$ is called *conformal Killing tensor* if there exists some symmetric tensor $k \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^{k-1}TM)$ with $DK = Lk$. Note that K is conformal Killing if and only if its trace-free part is conformal Killing. Indeed, since D and L commute, if $K = \sum_{i \geq 0} L^i K_i$, with $K_i \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k-2i}TM)$ is the standard decomposition of K , then $DK = \sum_{i \geq 0} L^i DK_i$, so DK is in the image of L if and only if DK_0 is in the image of L . More precisely we have the following characterisation (see also [HMS16, Lemma 3.3]): a symmetric tensor $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^kTM)$ is a conformal Killing tensor if and only if

$$DK_0 = -\frac{1}{n+2k-2}LD^*K_0. \quad (7)$$

or, equivalently, if and only if the symmetric tensor K satisfies the condition $D_0K_0 = 0$.

Let E be a real vector bundle over M with connection ∇^E . We extend D and D_0 to twisted operators

$$D : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^kTM \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^{k+1}TM \otimes E),$$

$$D_0 : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^kTM \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k+1}TM \otimes E),$$

defined on decomposable elements by

$$D(K \otimes \xi) = DK \otimes \xi + \sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot K) \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi, \quad D_0(K \otimes \xi) = D_0K \otimes \xi + \sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot K)_0 \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi,$$

obtained from the tensor product of Levi-Civita and ∇^E connections. In this case, sections in $\ker D$ are called *twisted Killing tensors* and sections in $\ker D_0$ are called *twisted conformal Killing tensors*.

3. WEITZENBÖCK FORMULAS

Let (M^n, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold with Riemannian curvature tensor R . Let $R : \Lambda^2 TM \rightarrow \Lambda^2 TM$ be the curvature operator defined by $g(R(X \wedge Y), Z \wedge U) = R(X, Y, Z, U)$. With this convention we have $R = -\text{id}$ on the standard sphere.

Let $P = P_{\text{SO}(n)}M$ be the frame bundle of M and let VM be the vector bundle associated to P via a $\text{SO}(n)$ -representation $\rho : \text{SO}(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$, where $\text{Aut}(V)$ denotes the isometries of a Euclidean vector space (V, g_V) . Then the curvature endomorphism $q(R) \in \text{End } VM$ is defined as

$$q(R) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* R(\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_*. \quad (8)$$

Here $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}, i = 1, \dots, n$, is a local orthonormal frame of TM and for $X \wedge Y \in \Lambda^2 TM$ we define $(X \wedge Y)_* = \rho_*(X \wedge Y)$, where $\rho_* : \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is the differential of ρ . In particular, the standard action of $\Lambda^2 TM$ on TM is written as $(X \wedge Y)_* Z = g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X = (Y \cdot X \lrcorner - X \cdot Y \lrcorner)Z$. This is compatible with

$$g((X \wedge Y)_* Z, U) = g(X \wedge Y, Z \wedge U) = g(X, Z)g(Y, U) - g(X, U)g(Y, Z).$$

Let $T = \mathbb{R}^n$ be the standard representation of $\text{SO}(n)$ defining the tangent bundle TM . Then any $\text{SO}(n)$ -equivariant endomorphism $p \in \text{End}_{\text{SO}(n)}(T \otimes V)$ induces an $\text{SO}(n)$ -equivariant element $\tilde{p} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{SO}(n)}(T \otimes T \otimes V, V)$ defined by

$$\tilde{p}(a \otimes b \otimes v) := (a \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})p(b \otimes v), \quad \forall a, b \in T, v \in V.$$

We note at this point that equivariant objects give rise to global parallel sections which we will denote by the same letter; for instance p defines a parallel section $p \in C^\infty(M, \text{End}(TM \otimes VM))$. Important examples of such endomorphisms are the orthogonal projections $p_i, i = 1, \dots, N$, onto the summands in an $\text{SO}(n)$ -invariant decomposition $T \otimes V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_N$. Another example is the so-called *conformal weight operator* $B \in \text{End}(T \otimes V)$ introduced in [Gau91] (see also [CGH00]) and defined as

$$B(b \otimes v) := \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge b)_* v.$$

The corresponding element $\tilde{B} \in \text{Hom}(T \otimes T \otimes V, V)$ is given by

$$\tilde{B}(a \otimes b \otimes v) = (a \wedge b)_* v.$$

For every equivariant orthogonal projector $p \in \text{End}_{\text{SO}(n)}(T \otimes V)$ we define a first order differential operator $P := p\nabla$.

If K is a section of VM , then $\nabla^2 K = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 K$ is a section of the bundle $TM \otimes TM \otimes VM$. Here for vector fields X, Y on M we denote $\nabla_{X,Y}^2 K := \nabla_X \nabla_Y K - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} K$; then the curvature endomorphism is given by $R_{X,Y} = \nabla_{X,Y}^2 - \nabla_{Y,X}^2$. We can thus obtain natural second order operators by applying elements of the bundle $\text{Hom}(TM \otimes TM \otimes VM, VM)$ to $\nabla^2 K$.

Lemma 3.1. [Sem06, Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.6] *The following relations hold:*

$$\tilde{B}\nabla^2 = q(R), \quad \tilde{p}\nabla^2 = -P^*P,$$

where P^* is the formal adjoint of P .

Proof. Let (\mathbf{e}_i) be a local orthonormal frame of TM , parallel at the point where the computations are done (i.e. satisfying $\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}\mathbf{e}_j = 0$ for all i, j). The first formula is immediate:

$$\tilde{B}\nabla^2 = \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} = q(R).$$

In order to prove the second one, we first compute the formal adjoint of ∇ . For all sections φ of VM and ψ of $TM \otimes VM$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla\varphi, \psi) &= g\left(\sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}\varphi, \psi\right) = \sum_i g(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}\varphi, (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\psi) \\ &= \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i(g(\varphi, (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\psi)) - \sum_i g(\varphi, (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Since the first term in the last equation is the codifferential of the 1-form $X \mapsto -g(\varphi, (X \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\psi)$, we obtain $\nabla^* = -\sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}$. Using this formula, together with the fact that $\nabla p = 0$, $p^2 = p$ and $p^* = p$, we then compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}\nabla^2 &= \tilde{p}\left(\sum_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2\right) = \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})p(\mathbf{e}_j \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}(p(\mathbf{e}_j \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_j})) = \sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner \otimes \text{id})\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}(p\nabla) \\ &= -\nabla^*p\nabla = -\nabla^*p^*p\nabla = -P^*P. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us now consider the orthogonal projections p_s , $s = 1, \dots, N$, onto the summands in an $SO(n)$ -invariant decomposition $T \otimes V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_N$. The above result shows that whenever the conformal weight operator B can be expressed as a linear combination of the projections p_s , i.e. $B = \sum_s a_s p_s$ for $a_s \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain a corresponding Weitzenböck formula:

$$q(R) = -\sum_s a_s P_s^* P_s \tag{9}$$

on sections of VM , where P_s are the first order differential operators defined by $P_s(K) := p_s(\nabla K)$ for every section K of VM , giving a section of $TM \otimes VM$.

This universal Weitzenböck formula was considered for the first time in [Gau91] and later extended and generalised for other holonomy groups in [SW10]. In fact, the irreducible summands V_s appearing in the decomposition of $T \otimes V$ are all pairwise non-isomorphic as $SO(n)$ representations. Thus the projections p_s form a basis of $\text{End}_{SO(n)}(T \otimes V)$ and there is an

explicit formula for expressing the coefficients a_s in terms of the highest weights of V and V_s (see [SW10, Corollary 3.4]).

We consider now another $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ -representation E with an invariant scalar product and the corresponding vector bundle EM over M , together with the induced metric. Let ∇^E be any metric connection on E , with curvature tensor denoted by R^E . For simplicity, we still denote by ∇^E the tensor product connection $\nabla \otimes \mathrm{id}_{EM} + \mathrm{id}_{VM} \otimes \nabla^E$ on $VM \otimes EM$. The projections $p_s : T \otimes V \rightarrow T \otimes V$ define projections $p_s \otimes \mathrm{id} : (T \otimes V) \otimes E \rightarrow (T \otimes V) \otimes E$ and, correspondingly, differential operators $P_s^E := (p_s \otimes \mathrm{id}) \nabla^E$, acting on $VM \otimes EM$.

Since $\sum_s a_s (p_s \otimes \mathrm{id}) = B \otimes \mathrm{id}$ on $T \otimes V \otimes E$, Lemma 3.1 yields at once

$$\widetilde{B \otimes \mathrm{id}} (\nabla^E)^2 = - \sum_s a_s (P_s^E)^* P_s^E, \quad (10)$$

acting on sections of $VM \otimes EM$. It remains to compute the action of the left-hand side operator. If $K \otimes \xi \in C^\infty(M, VM \otimes EM)$ is a decomposable section and (\mathbf{e}_i) is an orthonormal frame parallel at the point of interest, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{B \otimes \mathrm{id}} (\nabla^E)^2 (K \otimes \xi) \\ &= \widetilde{B \otimes \mathrm{id}} \left(\sum_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes (\nabla^E)_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 (K \otimes \xi) \right) \\ &= \widetilde{B \otimes \mathrm{id}} \left(\sum_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 K \otimes \xi + \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi + \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_j} K \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi + K \otimes (\nabla^E)_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 \xi \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(((\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 K) \otimes \xi + ((\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i} K) \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + ((\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_j} K) \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi + (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* K \otimes (\nabla^E)_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^2 \xi \right) \\ &= (q(R)K) \otimes \xi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* K \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where the two middle terms cancel each other due to the skew-symmetry in i, j . Denoting by $q(R)^E$ the linear operator acting on (decomposable) sections of $VM \otimes EM$ by

$$q(R)^E (K \otimes \xi) := (q(R)K) \otimes \xi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* K \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi, \quad (11)$$

the previous relation (10) implies the twisted Weitzenböck-type formula

$$q(R)^E = - \sum_s a_s (P_s^E)^* P_s^E \quad \text{on } C^\infty(M, VM \otimes EM). \quad (12)$$

We now consider the case of interest for us, namely $V = \mathrm{Sym}_0^k T$, where $T := \mathbb{R}^n$ is the standard $\mathrm{O}(n)$ representation of highest weight $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Recall the classical decomposition

into irreducible $O(n)$ representations (e.g. see [SW10], p. 511-512):

$$\mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T} \cong \text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T} \oplus \text{Sym}_0^{k-1} \mathbb{T} \oplus \text{Sym}^{k,1} \mathbb{T}, \quad (13)$$

where $\text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T}$ is the irreducible representation of highest weight $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\text{Sym}^{k,1} \mathbb{T}$ is the irreducible representation of highest weight $(k, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. We note that $\text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T}$ is the so-called Cartan summand. Its highest weight is the sum of the highest weights of \mathbb{T} and $\text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T}$.

For later use, let us first express the operator $q(R)$ on symmetric tensors in a more convenient way.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $K \in \text{Sym}^k \text{TM}$, the following relation holds:*

$$q(R)(K) = - \sum_{i,j,k} R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_k \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_k \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)).$$

Proof. For every skew-symmetric endomorphism A of TM (identified with a section of $\Lambda^2 \text{TM}$) we have $A_* K = \sum A \mathbf{e}_i \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)$. In particular, for $A = X \wedge Y$ we get $(X \wedge Y)_* K = Y \cdot (X \lrcorner K) - X \cdot (Y \lrcorner K)$. We then compute using the symmetries of the Riemannian curvature tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} q(R)(K) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} (\mathbf{e}_l \wedge \mathbf{e}_k)_* (R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k})_* K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,l} (\mathbf{e}_l \wedge \mathbf{e}_k)_* (R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)) \\ &= \sum_{i,k,l} \mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{e}_l \lrcorner (R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) = \sum_{i,k,l} \mathbf{e}_l \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_k \cdot R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathbf{e}_l \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) g(R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k} \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = - \sum_{i,j,k} R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_k \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we want to describe projections and embeddings of the three summands. By (4), the map $q_1 : \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T}$ onto the first summand is defined as

$$q_1(v \otimes K) := (v \cdot K)_0 = v \cdot K - \frac{1}{n+2k-2} L(v \lrcorner K). \quad (14)$$

The adjoint map $q_1^* : \text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T}$ is easily computed to be

$$q_1^*(K) = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K). \quad (15)$$

Note that for any vector $v \in \mathbb{T}$, the symmetric tensor $v \lrcorner K$ is again trace-free, because $v \lrcorner$ commutes with L . Since $q_1 q_1^* = (k+1) \text{id}$ on $\text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T}$, we conclude that

$$p_1 := \frac{1}{k+1} q_1^* q_1 : \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T} \quad (16)$$

is the orthogonal projection onto the irreducible summand of $\mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T}$ isomorphic to $\text{Sym}_0^{k+1} \mathbb{T}$.

Similarly the map $q_2 : \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_0^{k-1} \mathbb{T}$ onto the second summand in the decomposition (13) is given by the contraction map

$$q_2(v \otimes K) := v \lrcorner K. \quad (17)$$

In this case the adjoint map $q_2^* : \text{Sym}_0^{k-1}\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T}$ is computed to be

$$q_2^*(K) = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot K)_0 = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \left(\mathbf{e}_i \cdot K - \frac{1}{n+2k-4} L(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \right). \quad (18)$$

It follows that

$$q_2 q_2^* = (n+k-1) \text{id} - \frac{2k-2}{n+2k-4} \text{id} = \frac{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)}{n+2k-4} \text{id},$$

so the projection onto the irreducible summand in $\mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T}$ isomorphic to $\text{Sym}_0^{k-1}\mathbb{T}$ is given by

$$p_2 := \frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} q_2^* q_2 : \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_0^{k-1}\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T}, \quad (19)$$

valid for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$. The projection p_3 onto the third irreducible summand in $\mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T}$ is obviously given by $p_3 = \text{id} - p_1 - p_2$.

The algebraic considerations above extend to vector bundles over M . In particular, the operators $D_0 : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k+1} TM)$ and $D_0^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^{k-1} TM)$ introduced above can be described as

$$D_0 K = q_1 \nabla K, \quad D_0^* K = -q_2 \nabla K, \quad (20)$$

for every section $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM)$. By (7) together with (14) and (16) we see that the kernel of $P_1 = p_1 \nabla$ consists exactly of trace-free conformal Killing tensors. The kernel of $P_2 = p_2 \nabla$ are the divergence free tensors, i.e. tensors in $\ker D_0^*$.

An easy calculation using the explicit formulas for q_1 and q_2 proves the following relation on $\mathbb{T} \otimes \text{Sym}_0^k\mathbb{T}$ (see [HMS16, Proposition 6.1]):

$$B = k p_1 - (n+k-2) p_2 - p_3.$$

As explained above, this yields the Weitzenböck-type formula.

$$q(R)K = -k P_1^* P_1 K + (n+k-2) P_2^* P_2 K + P_3^* P_3 K. \quad (21)$$

for any section K of $\text{Sym}_0^k TM$. In the present situation it is easy to get the coefficients for B by a direct calculation. Alternatively one can use the general formula in terms of highest weights mentioned above.

Now, if EM is a Euclidean vector bundle associated to a representation E of $\text{SO}(n)$ with metric connection ∇^E , we denote by $p_i^E := p_i \otimes \text{id}_E$, by $q_i^E := q_i \otimes \text{id}_E$ and by

$$P_i^E := p_i^E \nabla^E, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (22)$$

and obtain as before the twisted counterpart of (21)

$$q(R)^E = -k (P_1^E)^* P_1^E + (n+k-2) (P_2^E)^* P_2^E + (P_3^E)^* P_3^E, \quad (23)$$

acting on sections of $\text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes EM$.

Since p_i^E are orthogonal projectors, we have $(p_i^E)^* p_i^E = p_i^E$, so using (16) and recalling that $D_0 = q_1^E \nabla^E$ (similarly to (20)), we obtain

$$(P_1^E)^* P_1^E = (\nabla^E)^* (p_1^E)^* (p_1^E) \nabla^E = (\nabla^E)^* (p_1^E) \nabla^E = \frac{1}{k+1} (\nabla^E)^* (q_1^E)^* q_1^E \nabla^E = \frac{1}{k+1} D_0^* D_0,$$

and similarly using (19), yields

$$(P_2^E)^* P_2^E = \frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} D_0 D_0^*.$$

From these last two equations, together with (23) we obtain the following

Proposition 3.3 (Twisted Weitzenböck formula). *The following formula holds for sections of $\text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes EM$:*

$$q(R)^E = -\frac{k}{k+1} D_0^* D_0 + \frac{(n+k-2)(n+2k-4)}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} D_0 D_0^* + (P_3^E)^* P_3^E. \quad (24)$$

4. FOURIER ANALYSIS IN THE FIBERS OF THE UNIT TANGENT BUNDLE

Further details on this section can be found in [Pat99], [PSU15, Section 2].

4.1. Functions on the unit tangent bundle. We denote by SM the unit tangent bundle of (M, g) and by $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$ the projection on the base. There is a canonical splitting of the tangent bundle to SM as:

$$T(SM) = \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}X,$$

where X is the geodesic vector field, $\mathbb{V} := \ker d\pi$ is the vertical space and \mathbb{H} is the horizontal space defined in the following way. Define the *connection map* $\mathcal{K} : T(SM) \rightarrow TM$ as follows: let $v \in SM$, $w \in T_v(SM)$ and a curve $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni t \mapsto v(t) \in SM$ such that $v(0) = v$, $\dot{v}(0) = w$. Denoting $x(t) := \pi(v(t))$, we have $\mathcal{K}_v(w) := \nabla_{\dot{x}(t)} v(t)|_{t=0}$. We denote by g_{Sas} the Sasaki metric on SM , which is the canonical metric on the unit tangent bundle, defined by:

$$g_{\text{Sas}}(w, w') := g(d\pi(w), d\pi(w')) + g(\mathcal{K}(w), \mathcal{K}(w')).$$

Then the horizontal bundle \mathbb{H} is defined as the orthogonal complement of X inside $\ker \mathcal{K}$.

We define the *normal bundle* $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow SM$ whose fiber at $v \in SM$ is given by $\mathcal{N}_v := v^\perp \subset T_{\pi(v)}M$. Then $d\pi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{K} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are both isometries and all these bundles over SM are isomorphic. We will freely identify them in the following. In particular, we will think of the normal bundle \mathcal{N} as the tangent bundle to the spheres.

For $x \in M$, the unit sphere

$$S_x M = \{v \in T_x M \mid |v|_x^2 = 1\} \subset SM$$

(endowed with the Sasaki metric) is isometric to the canonical sphere $(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, g_{\text{can}})$. We denote its Laplace operator by Δ_x . Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{V}}$ be the vertical Laplacian acting on $f \in C^\infty(SM)$ as $\Delta_{\mathbb{V}} f(v) := \Delta_{\pi(v)}(f|_{S_{\pi(v)}M})(v)$, for every $v \in SM$. For $k \geq 0$ and $x \in M$, we introduce

$$\Omega_k(x) = \ker(\Delta_x - k(n+k-2)\text{id}),$$

the spherical harmonics of degree k . Observe that $\Omega_k \rightarrow M$ defines a vector bundle over M , and that $C^\infty(M, \Omega_k)$ is naturally identified with a subspace of $C^\infty(SM)$. Given $f \in C^\infty(SM)$, it can be decomposed as $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k$ where $f_k \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_k)$ is the projection of f onto spherical harmonics of degree k . We call *Fourier degree* of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$, the maximal integer $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (if it exists) such that $f_{k_0} \neq 0$; otherwise we set $\deg(f) = \infty$. We will also

say that f has *finite Fourier content* if its degree is finite, that it is *odd* (resp. *even*) if it only contains odd (resp. even) spherical harmonics.

It can be proved that the operator X has the following mapping properties (see [PSU15, Section 3]):

$$X : C^\infty(M, \Omega_k) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k+1}) \oplus C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k-1}).$$

This is understood in the following sense: a section $f_k \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_k)$ defines in particular a smooth function in $C^\infty(SM)$ which we can differentiate in the X -direction and this only contains spherical harmonics of degree $k-1$ and $k+1$. Taking the projection on higher degree (resp. lower degree), we obtain an operator $X_+ : C^\infty(M, \Omega_k) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k+1})$ of gradient type i.e. with injective principal symbol (resp. $X_- : C^\infty(M, \Omega_k) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k-1})$ of divergence type) such that $X = X_+ + X_-$ and $X_+^* = -X_-$ (the latter being a mere consequence of the fact that $X^* = -X$ as X preserves the Sasaki volume (also known as the Liouville measure) on SM). As X_+ acting on spherical harmonics of degree k has injective principal symbol, its kernel is finite dimensional by elliptic theory. As a consequence of Lemma 5.3 we will later see that elements in the kernel of X_+ correspond to conformal Killing tensors, i.e. elements in the kernel of D_0 as defined in Section 2.2.

4.2. Twist by a vector bundle. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a real vector bundle over M equipped with a metric connection ∇^E . Consider the pullback bundle $\mathcal{E} := \pi^*E \rightarrow SM$ equipped with the pullback connection $\nabla^\mathcal{E} := \pi^*\nabla^E$ and introduce the first order differential operator

$$\mathbf{X} := \nabla_X^\mathcal{E} : C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E}).$$

The connection $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ also gives rise to differential operators:

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}^\mathcal{E}, \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^\mathcal{E} : C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}),$$

defined in the following way: for every section $f \in C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$, the covariant derivative $\nabla^\mathcal{E}f \in C^\infty(SM, T^*(SM) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ can be identified with an element of $C^\infty(SM, T(SM) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ by applying the musical isomorphism $T^*(SM) \rightarrow T(SM)$ induced by the Sasaki metric. Using the orthogonal projections $\bullet_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\bullet_{\mathbb{V}}$ of $T(SM)$ onto \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{V} , respectively, one can then define the operators:

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}}^\mathcal{E}f := d\pi((\nabla^\mathcal{E}f)_{\mathbb{H}}), \quad \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^\mathcal{E}f := \mathcal{K}((\nabla^\mathcal{E}f)_{\mathbb{V}}),$$

which take values in the bundle $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow SM$. In local coordinates, these operators have explicit expressions in terms of the connection 1-form and we refer to [GPSU16, Lemma 3.2] for further details.

If (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r) is a local orthonormal frame of E , then smooth local sections f of \mathcal{E} can be written as:

$$f(v) = \sum_{j=1}^r f^{(j)}(v)\xi_j(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x, \quad \forall v \in S_xM,$$

where $f^{(j)} \in C^\infty(SM)$ are locally defined functions. As before, each $f^{(j)}$ can be in turn decomposed into spherical harmonics. In other words, we can write $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k$, where $f_k \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_k \otimes E)$.

As before, we can define the degree of $f \in C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$ and we say that f has *finite Fourier content* if its expansion in spherical harmonics only contains a finite number of terms. The operator \mathbf{X} maps

$$\mathbf{X} : C^\infty(M, \Omega_k \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k-1} \otimes E) \oplus C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k+1} \otimes E) \quad (25)$$

and can be decomposed as $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_+ + \mathbf{X}_-$, where, if $u \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_k \otimes E)$, $\mathbf{X}_\pm u \in C^\infty(M, \Omega_{k \pm 1} \otimes E)$ denote the orthogonal projections on the twisted spherical harmonics of degree $k \pm 1$. The operator \mathbf{X}_+ is elliptic and thus has finite-dimensional kernel whereas \mathbf{X}_- is of divergence type. Moreover, $\mathbf{X}_+^* = -\mathbf{X}_-$, where the adjoint is computed with respect to the canonical L^2 scalar product on SM induced by the Sasaki metric and the metric on E . We also refer to the original articles of Guillemin-Kazhdan [GK80a, GK80b] for a description of these facts and to [GPSU16] for a more modern exposition. It was shown in [GPSU16, Theorem 4.1] (see also [CLMS22, Corollary 4.2] for a short argument) that flow-invariant sections, i.e. smooth sections in $\ker \mathbf{X}$ have *finite Fourier content*.

5. SYMMETRIC TENSORS VERSUS POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS

Considering symmetric tensors in $\text{Sym}^k TM$ as (pointwise) homogeneous polynomials of degree k on TM , gives linear maps

$$\pi_k^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM), \quad (\pi_k^* K)(v) := \frac{1}{k!} g(K, v^k). \quad (26)$$

Note here that $\frac{1}{k!} v^k = v \otimes \cdots \otimes v$, where the tensor product is repeated k times.

Lemma 5.1. *The linear map*

$$\pi^* := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \pi_k^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym} TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM)$$

is an algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Using the bilinearity of the symmetric product it suffices to prove $\pi^*(a \cdot b) = (\pi^* a)(\pi^* b)$ where $a = a_1 \cdots a_k$ and $b = b_1 \cdots b_l$, for some $a_i, b_j \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^1 TM)$. But this follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi^* a)(\pi^* b) &= \frac{1}{k!} g(a, v^k) \frac{1}{l!} g(b, v^l) = g(a_1, v) \cdots g(a_k, v) g(b_1, v) \cdots g(b_l, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+l)!} g(a \cdot b, v^{k+l}) = \pi^*(a \cdot b), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

The following is standard and is a consequence of the identification of spherical harmonics with harmonic homogeneous polynomials (e.g. see [BGM71], Chapter C.I).

Lemma 5.2. *The above maps induce pointwise isomorphisms*

$$\pi_k^* : \text{Sym}_0^k \text{T}_x M \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_k(x), \quad (27)$$

for every $x \in M$ and for every integer $k \geq 0$.

If E is any vector bundle over M and \mathcal{E} is its pull-back to SM , the spaces of sections $C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E)$ and $C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$ are modules over the algebras $C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM})$ and $C^\infty(SM)$ respectively, and we can extend the linear maps above to linear maps

$$\pi_k^* : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E}), \quad \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi)(v) := \pi_k^*(K)\pi^*\xi \quad (28)$$

compatible with the module structures in sense that

$$\pi_k^*(K) \cdot \pi_l^*(K' \otimes \xi) = \pi_{k+l}^*((K \cdot K') \otimes \xi) \quad (29)$$

for every $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM})$, $K' \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^l \text{TM})$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(M, E)$. In particular, since

$$\pi_2^*(L)(v) = \frac{1}{2}g(L, v \cdot v) = \frac{1}{2}g(v \lrcorner L, v) = \frac{1}{2}g(2v, v) = 1, \quad \forall v \in SM,$$

we have $\pi_{k+2}^*(LK) = \pi_k^*(K)$ for every $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM})$.

We now relate the operators \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{X}_+ and \mathbf{X}_- with the operators D , D_0 and D_0^* defined in Section 2.2.

Lemma 5.3. *The following relation holds on sections of $\text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E$:*

$$\mathbf{X}\pi_k^* = \pi_{k+1}^* D, \quad (30)$$

while on sections of $\text{Sym}_0^k \text{TM} \otimes E$ we have:

$$\mathbf{X}_+\pi_k^* = \pi_{k+1}^* D_0, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{X}_-\pi_k^* = -\frac{1}{n+2k-2}\pi_{k-1}^* D_0^*. \quad (32)$$

Proof. For the first equation, it is enough to check it on decomposable sections $\Psi = K \otimes \xi$, with $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM})$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(M, E)$. Then

$$\mathbf{X}\pi_k^*\Psi = \nabla_X^\mathcal{E}(\pi_k^*(K)\pi^*\xi) = X(\pi_k^*(K))\pi^*\xi + \pi_k^*(K)\pi^*(\nabla_X^E \xi)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{k+1}^* D\Psi &= \pi_{k+1}^*(DK \otimes \xi + \mathbf{e}_i \cdot K \otimes \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi) = \pi_{k+1}^*(DK)\pi^*\xi + g(\mathbf{e}_i, v)\pi_k^*(K)\nabla_{\mathbf{e}_i}^\mathcal{E} \pi^*\xi \\ &= \pi_{k+1}^*(DK)\pi^*\xi + \pi_k^*(K)\nabla_X^\mathcal{E} \pi^*\xi = \pi_{k+1}^*(DK)\pi^*\xi + \pi_k^*(K)\pi^*(\nabla_v^E \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where we identified \mathbf{e}_i with their horizontal lifts to SM and used that $d\pi(X) = v$. It remains to prove that $X(\pi_k^*(K)) = \pi_{k+1}^*(DK)$. Let $v \in SM$ be any vector and denote by $x := \pi(v)$. The geodesic in M determined by (x, v) will be denoted by γ_t . Then the integral curve of X through v is $\dot{\gamma}_t$. We can thus compute

$$\begin{aligned} X(\pi_k^*(K))(v) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi_k^*(K)(\dot{\gamma}_t) = \frac{1}{k!} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} g(K, \dot{\gamma}_t^k) \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} g(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_0} K, \dot{\gamma}_0^k) \stackrel{(5)}{=} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} g(DK, v^{k+1}) = \pi_{k+1}^*(DK)(v), \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}_0} \dot{\gamma}_0 = 0$. This proves (30). Using this equation applied to some twisted trace-free symmetric tensor $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k \text{TM} \otimes E)$ together with (4) we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_k^* \Psi + \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi &= \pi_{k+1}^* D\Psi = \pi_{k+1}^* \left(D_0(\Psi) - \frac{1}{n+2k-2} \text{LD}_0^*(\Psi) \right) \\ &= \pi_{k+1}^* (D_0(\Psi)) - \frac{1}{n+2k-2} \pi_{k-1}^* (D_0^*(\Psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the components in $\Omega_{k+1} \otimes E$ and $\Omega_{k-1} \otimes E$ yields (31)–(32) at once. \square

Consider now the operator $\nabla_{\mathbb{V}} : C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \subset C^\infty(SM, \pi^*(\text{TM}) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ and its formal adjoint $\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* : C^\infty(SM, \pi^*(\text{TM}) \otimes \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$. Define the bundle map

$$S_k : \text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-1} \text{TM} \otimes (E \otimes \text{TM}), \quad S_k(K \otimes \xi) := \sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \otimes (\xi \otimes \mathbf{e}_i),$$

where (\mathbf{e}_i) is some local orthonormal frame of TM . Let $\pi_{\mathcal{N}} : \pi^* \text{TM} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the orthogonal projection. By definition, for every section $K \otimes \xi$ of $\text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E$ and at any $v \in SM$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{k-1}^* S_k(K \otimes \xi) &= \pi_{\mathcal{N}} \pi_{k-1}^* S_k(K \otimes \xi) + \sum_i \frac{1}{(k-1)!} g(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K, v^{k-1}) (g(\mathbf{e}_i, v) v \otimes \xi) \\ &= \pi_{\mathcal{N}} \pi_{k-1}^* S_k(K \otimes \xi) + k \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi) \otimes v, \end{aligned}$$

thus showing that for every $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}^k \text{TM} \otimes E)$,

$$\pi_{k-1}^* S_k \Psi = \pi_{\mathcal{N}} \pi_{k-1}^* S_k \Psi + k \pi_k^* \Psi \otimes v. \quad (33)$$

It is possible to give a formula relating S_k and $\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}$:

Lemma 5.4. *The following relation holds for sections of $\text{Sym}_0^k \text{TM} \otimes E$:*

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \pi_k^* = \pi_{\mathcal{N}} \pi_{k-1}^* S_k. \quad (34)$$

Moreover, for every $K \otimes \xi \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k \text{TM} \otimes E)$, and $w \in C^\infty(M, \text{TM})$,

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \pi_k^*(K \otimes (w \otimes \xi)) = -\pi_{k-1}^*((w \lrcorner K) \otimes \xi) + k \pi_{k+1}^*((w \cdot K) \otimes \xi). \quad (35)$$

Proof. Let $v, w \in S_x M$ with $w \perp v$. We denote by $v_t := \cos t v + \sin t w$ the curve in $S_x M$ which satisfies $v_0 = v$ and $\dot{v}_0 = w$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} w(\pi_k^*(K)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \pi_k^*(K)(v_t) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g(K, v_t^k) = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} g(K, w \cdot v^{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} g(w \lrcorner K, v^{k-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

whence for $\Psi := K \otimes \xi$ we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \pi_k^*(\Psi)(w) = \nabla_w^{\mathcal{E}} (\pi_k^*(K) \pi^* \xi) = w(\pi_k^*(K)) \pi^* \xi = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} g(w \lrcorner K, v^{k-1}) \pi^* \xi,$$

where we identified w with its vertical lift. Then, computing the right hand side at the point v yields

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\mathcal{N}}\pi_{k-1}^*S_k(\Psi)(w) &= \pi_{k-1}^*\left(\sum_i(\mathbf{e}_i\lrcorner K)\otimes(\mathbf{e}_i\otimes\xi)\right)(w) = \sum_i\pi_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{e}_i\lrcorner K)g(\mathbf{e}_i,w)\pi^*\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\sum_i g(\mathbf{e}_i\lrcorner K, v^{k-1})g(\mathbf{e}_i,w)\pi^*\xi = \frac{1}{(k-1)!}g(w\lrcorner K, v^{k-1})\pi^*\xi,\end{aligned}$$

thus proving (34).

We now remark that since $SM \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion, the formal adjoint of the operator $\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}$ can be written as

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^*(\sigma\otimes\psi) = -\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i\lrcorner\nabla_{\mathbf{f}_i}^{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma\otimes\psi)$$

for all sections $\sigma \in C^\infty(SM, \pi^*TM)$, and $\psi \in C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$, where (\mathbf{f}_i) denotes a local orthonormal frame of $\mathbb{V} \subset T(SM)$ and the interior product is taken with respect to the bilinear form $\mathbb{V} \otimes \pi^*TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determined by the metric g , after identification of \mathbb{V}_v with the orthogonal complement of v in $\pi^*(TM)_v$ for every $v \in SM$. We then denote by $w^\perp := w - g(w, v)v \in \mathbb{V}_v$ at some $v \in SM$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^*\pi_k^*(K\otimes(w\otimes\xi)) &= -\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i\lrcorner\nabla_{\mathbf{f}_i}^{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_k^*(K\otimes(w\otimes\xi))) = -\sum_i \mathbf{f}_i\lrcorner(\mathbf{f}_i(\pi_k^*(K))\pi^*(w\otimes\xi)) \\ &= -w^\perp(\pi_k^*(K))\pi^*(\xi) \stackrel{(36)}{=} -\frac{1}{(k-1)!}g(w^\perp\lrcorner K, v^{k-1})\pi^*(\xi) \\ &= -\pi_{k-1}^*(w\lrcorner K)\pi^*(\xi) + \frac{1}{(k-1)!}g(K, v^k)\pi_1^*(w)\pi^*(\xi) \\ &= -\pi_{k-1}^*((w\lrcorner K)\otimes\xi) + k\pi_{k+1}^*((w\cdot K)\otimes\xi).\end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, we compute the action of the operator P_3^E pulled back to the unit sphere bundle.

Lemma 5.5. *For every $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes E)$, and $w \in C^\infty(M, TM)$,*

$$Z_k\pi_k^*\Psi = \pi_k^*P_3^E\Psi, \quad Z_k^*\pi_k^*(w\otimes\Psi) = \pi_k^*((P_3^E)^*(w\otimes\Psi)) \quad (37)$$

where $Z_k : C^\infty(M, \Omega_k \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}) \subset C^\infty(SM, \pi^*TM \otimes \mathcal{E})$ is the operator defined by

$$Z_k f := \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} f - \frac{1}{k+1}\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{X}_+ f + \frac{1}{n+k-3}\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}\mathbf{X}_- f \quad (38)$$

and

$$P_3^E : C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes (TM \otimes E))$$

is the first order differential operator appearing in (22).

Proof. It is enough to check the first relation, the second following by taking the metric adjoints. By definition we have $P_3^E = \nabla^E - P_1^E - P_2^E$. Let us first explicit the last two operators. Using (14)–(16) we compute

$$P_1^E \Psi = \frac{1}{k+1} (q_1^E)^* q_1^E (\nabla^E \Psi) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_i (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner D_0 \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i = \frac{1}{k+1} S_{k+1} D_0 \Psi.$$

From (31), (33) and (34) we thus get at any $v \in SM$:

$$\pi_k^* P_1^E \Psi = \frac{1}{k+1} \pi_{\mathcal{N}} \pi_k^* S_{k+1} D_0 \Psi + \pi_{k+1}^* D_0 \Psi \otimes v = \frac{1}{k+1} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_k^* \Psi + \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_k^* \Psi \otimes v. \quad (39)$$

Similarly, from (17)–(19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_2^E \Psi &= \frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} (q_2^E)^* q_2^E (\nabla^E \Psi) = -\frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} (q_2^E)^* D_0^* \Psi \\ &= -\frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} \sum_i ((\mathbf{e}_i \cdot D_0^* \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i - \frac{1}{n+2k-4} L(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner D_0^* \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this equation at some $v \in SM$ and using (32), (33) and (34) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k^* P_2^E \Psi &= -\frac{n+2k-4}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} \sum_i ((\pi_1^* \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \pi_{k-1}^* D_0^* \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i - \frac{1}{n+2k-4} \pi_{k-2}^* (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner D_0^* \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) \\ &= \frac{n+2k-4}{n+k-3} \sum_i (g(\mathbf{e}_i, v) \cdot \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_i + \frac{1}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} (\pi_{k-2}^* S_{k-1} D_0^* \Psi) \\ &= \frac{n+2k-4}{n+k-3} \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi \otimes v + \frac{1}{(n+2k-2)(n+k-3)} (\nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \pi_{k-1}^* D_0^* \Psi + (k-1) \pi_{k-1}^* D_0^* \Psi \otimes v) \\ &= \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi \otimes v - \frac{1}{n+k-3} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Finally, using the fact that $\pi : SM \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion, we readily obtain at any $v \in SM$:

$$\pi_k^* (\nabla^E \Psi) = \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \pi_k^* \Psi + \mathbf{X} \pi_k^* \Psi \otimes v. \quad (41)$$

From (39)–(41) we thus get:

$$\pi_k^* P_3^E \Psi = \pi_k^* (\nabla^E \Psi - P_1^E \Psi - P_2^E \Psi) = \nabla_{\mathbb{H}} \pi_k^* \Psi - \frac{1}{k+1} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_k^* \Psi + \frac{1}{n+k-3} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^* \Psi,$$

which proves the lemma. \square

We note that as a consequence of the preceding lemma, the operator Z_k defined in (38) does not change the degree of the section it acts on (since P_3^E does not change the degree).

6. TWISTED PESTOV IDENTITY

The Pestov identity is a classical identity in Riemannian geometry, see [GK80a, CS98, PSU15] and [GPSU16] for the twisted version. Our aim is to obtain a pointwise version of this identity from the twisted Weitzenböck formula. Let us start with introducing the relevant curvature operators in our setting.

If (E, ∇^E) is a vector bundle with metric connection, we denote by

$$R^E \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^2 T^* M \otimes \text{End}(E)),$$

its curvature. Let $\mathcal{E} := \pi^*E$ denote as before the pull-back of E to SM endowed with the pull-back connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} := \pi^*\nabla^E$ and curvature

$$R^{\mathcal{E}} \in C^\infty(SM, \Lambda^2 T^*M \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})),$$

satisfying $R_{X,Y}^{\mathcal{E}}(\pi^*\xi) = \pi^*(R_{X,Y}^E\xi)$ for all $X, Y \in TM$ (identified with their horizontal lifts) and $\forall \xi \in C^\infty(M, E)$. Consider the vector bundle morphism $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ defined by:

$$\langle \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}}(\psi), w \otimes \psi' \rangle := \langle R_{v,w}^{\mathcal{E}}\psi, \psi' \rangle, \quad (42)$$

for every $v \in SM$, $w \in \mathcal{N}_v$ and $\psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{E}_v$. The value of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}}$ on pull-backs of sections of E can be explicitly computed as

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}}(\pi^*\xi) = \sum_i \mathbf{e}_i^\perp \otimes \pi^*(R_{v,\mathbf{e}_i}^E\xi), \quad (43)$$

where (\mathbf{e}_i) is a local orthonormal frame. We also define a vector bundle morphism $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ by:

$$\mathcal{R}(w \otimes \psi) := (R_{w,v}v) \otimes \psi, \quad (44)$$

for every $v \in SM$, $w \in \mathcal{N}_v$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_v$, where R is the Riemann curvature tensor of (M, g) .

We will now give the relations between the operators \mathcal{R} and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}}$ on one side, and $q(R)$ and R^E on the other side.

Lemma 6.1. *For every $K \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM)$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(M, E)$, the following relations hold:*

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi) = \pi_k^*((q(R)K) \otimes \xi), \quad (45)$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}} \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \pi_k^* \left(\sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* K \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi \right). \quad (46)$$

Proof. Using (34) we compute at some $v \in SM$ the left-hand side of (45) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi) &= \sum_i \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} (\pi_{\mathcal{N}} (\pi_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \otimes (\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \xi))) \\ &= \sum_i \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} (\pi_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \pi_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{e}_i) \otimes \pi^*\xi) \\ &= \sum_i \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) R_{\mathbf{e}_i, v} v \otimes \pi^*\xi) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* ((\pi_1^* \mathbf{e}_j)(\pi_1^* \mathbf{e}_l) \pi_{k-1}^*(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \pi^*\xi) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,l} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_{k+1}^*(\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_l \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)) R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_l \otimes \pi^*\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Using (35) we can rewrite this last sum as

$$- \sum_{i,j,l} \pi_k^* (R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_l \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_l \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K)) \otimes \xi) + (k+1) \sum_{i,j,l} \pi_{k+2}^* (R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_l \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_l \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \otimes \xi).$$

By Lemma 3.2 the first summand is equal to $\pi_k^*((q(R)K) \otimes \xi)$. The second summand vanishes since $\sum_l R_{\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j} \mathbf{e}_l \cdot \mathbf{e}_l = 0$. This proves (45). Similarly, using (43) we compute at $v \in SM$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}} \pi_k^*(K \otimes \xi) &= \sum_i \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_k^*(K)(\mathbf{e}_i^\perp \otimes \pi^*(R_{v, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi))) \\
&= \sum_i \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_k^*(K)(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \pi^*(R_{v, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi))) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_k^*(K) \pi_1^*(\mathbf{e}_j)(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \pi^*(R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi))) \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* (\pi_{k+1}^*(\mathbf{e}_j \cdot K)(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \pi^*(R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi))) \\
&\stackrel{(35)}{=} - \sum_{i,j} \pi_k^*(\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot K)) \pi^*(R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi) + (k+1) \sum_{i,j} \pi_{k+2}^*(\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \cdot K) \pi^*(R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

The second summand vanishes because of the skew-symmetry of $R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E$ in i and j , whereas the first summand is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
- \sum_{i,j} \pi_k^* (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot K) \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_i}^E \xi) &= \sum_{i,j} \pi_k^* (\mathbf{e}_j \cdot (\mathbf{e}_i \lrcorner K) \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \pi_k^* ((\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j)_* K \otimes R_{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j}^E \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining (11) with Lemma 6.1, we obtain for every section of $\text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes E$:

$$\pi_k^* q(R)^E = (\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} + \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}}) \pi_k^*. \quad (47)$$

Then, using Lemma 5.3 we compute for every section of $\text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes E$:

$$\pi_k^* D_0^* D_0 = -(n+2k) \mathbf{X}_- \pi_{k+1}^* D_0 = -(n+2k) \mathbf{X}_- \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_k^*, \quad (48)$$

and similarly

$$\pi_k^* D_0 D_0^* = \mathbf{X}_+ \pi_{k-1}^* D_0^* = -(n+2k-2) \mathbf{X}_+ \mathbf{X}_- \pi_k^*. \quad (49)$$

Finally, by (37) we obtain

$$\pi_k^* (P_3^E)^* P_3^E = Z_k^* \pi_k^* P_3^E = Z_k^* Z_k \pi_k^*. \quad (50)$$

Altogether, we obtain the following:

Proposition 6.2 (Pointwise Localized Pestov identity). *On $C^\infty(M, \Omega^k \otimes E) \subset C^\infty(SM, \mathcal{E})$, the following relation holds:*

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{R} \nabla_{\mathbb{V}} + \nabla_{\mathbb{V}}^* \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{k(n+2k)}{k+1} \mathbf{X}_- \mathbf{X}_+ - \frac{(n+k-2)(n+2k-4)}{(n+k-3)} \mathbf{X}_+ \mathbf{X}_- + Z_k^* Z_k. \quad (51)$$

Proof. Every section of $\Omega^k \otimes E$ can be written as $\pi_k^* \Psi$ for some twisted symmetric tensor $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \text{Sym}_0^k TM \otimes E)$. Then the twisted Weitzenböck formula (Proposition 3.3) together with (47)–(50) gives directly (51). \square

Applying (51) to $\Psi \in C^\infty(M, \Omega^k \otimes E)$, pairing with Ψ and then integrating over SM with respect to the Liouville measure, we retrieve the localized Pestov identity in its integrated version [CLMS21, Lemma 2.3].

REFERENCES

- [Ami86] Arif Amirov. Existence and uniqueness theorems for the solution of an inverse problem for the transfer equation. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 27(6):3–20, 1986.
- [BG80] Michael Brin and Mikhael Gromov. On the ergodicity of frame flows. *Invent. Math.*, 60(1):1–7, 1980.
- [BGM71] Marcel Berger, Paul Gauduchon, and Edmond Mazet. *Le spectre d’une variété riemannienne*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 194. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [BK84] Michael Brin and Hermann Karcher. Frame flows on manifolds with pinched negative curvature. *Compositio Math.*, 52(3):275–297, 1984.
- [Bou90] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon. The “magic” of Weitzenböck formulas. In *Variational methods (Paris, 1988)*, volume 4 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 251–271. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [BP03] Keith Burns and Mark Pollicott. Stable ergodicity and frame flows. *Geom. Dedicata*, 98:189–210, 2003.
- [CFF92] Christopher B. Croke, Albert Fathi, and Jacob Feldman. The marked length-spectrum of a surface of nonpositive curvature. *Topology*, 31(4):847–855, 1992.
- [CGH00] David M. J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Marc Herzlich. Refined Kato inequalities and conformal weights in Riemannian geometry. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 173(1):214–255, 2000.
- [CL22] Mihajlo Cekić and Thibault Lefeuvre. On polynomial structures over spheres. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2209.11109, September 2022.
- [CLMS21] Mihajlo Cekić, Thibault Lefeuvre, Andrei Moroianu, and Uwe Semmelmann. On the ergodicity of the frame flow on even-dimensional manifolds. *arXiv:2111.14811*, page arXiv:2111.14811, November 2021.
- [CLMS22] Mihajlo Cekić, Thibault Lefeuvre, Andrei Moroianu, and Uwe Semmelmann. Towards Brin’s conjecture on frame flow ergodicity: new progress and perspectives. *Math. Res. Rep.*, 3:21–34, 2022.
- [CLMS23] Mihajlo Cekić, Thibault Lefeuvre, Andrei Moroianu, and Uwe Semmelmann. On the ergodicity of unitary frame flows on Kähler manifolds. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2301.05933, January 2023.
- [Cro90] Christopher B. Croke. Rigidity for surfaces of nonpositive curvature. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 65(1):150–169, 1990.
- [CS98] Christopher B. Croke and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. Spectral rigidity of a compact negatively curved manifold. *Topology*, 37(6):1265–1273, 1998.
- [Gau91] Paul Gauduchon. Structures de Weyl et théorèmes d’annulation sur une variété conforme autoduale. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 18(4):563–629, 1991.
- [GK80a] Victor Guillemin and David Kazhdan. Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds. *Topology*, 19(3):301–312, 1980.

- [GK80b] Victor Guillemin and David Kazhdan. Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved n -manifolds. In *Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, pages 153–180. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [GL19] Colin Guillarmou and Thibault Lefeuvre. The marked length spectrum of Anosov manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 190(1):321–344, 2019.
- [GPSU16] Colin Guillarmou, Gabriel P. Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The X-ray transform for connections in negative curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 343(1):83–127, 2016.
- [Ham99] Ursula Hamenstädt. Cocycles, symplectic structures and intersection. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9(1):90–140, 1999.
- [HMS16] Konstantin Heil, Andrei Moroianu, and Uwe Semmelmann. Killing and conformal Killing tensors. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 106:383–400, 2016.
- [JP09] Dan Jane and Gabriel P. Paternain. On the injectivity of the X-ray transform for Anosov thermostats. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 24(2):471–487, 2009.
- [Kni02] Gerhard Knieper. Hyperbolic dynamics and Riemannian geometry. In *Handbook of dynamical systems. Volume 1A*, pages 453–545. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2002.
- [Muk75] Ravil Galatdinovich Mukhometov. Inverse kinematic problem of seismic on the plane. *Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 6:243–252, 1975.
- [Muk81] Ravil Galatdinovich Mukhometov. On a problem of reconstructing Riemannian metrics. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 22(3):119–135, 237, 1981.
- [Ota90] Jean-Pierre Ota. Le spectre marqué des longueurs des surfaces à courbure négative. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 131(1):151–162, 1990.
- [Pat99] Gabriel P. Paternain. *Geodesic flows*, volume 180 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [PS88] Leonid N. Pestov and Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. Integral geometry of tensor fields on a manifold of negative curvature. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 29(3):114–130, 221, 1988.
- [PS22] Gabriel P. Paternain and Mikko Salo. Carleman estimates for geodesic X-ray transforms. *to appear in Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér*, 2022.
- [PSU13] Gabriel P. Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Tensor tomography on simple surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 193(1):229–247, 2013.
- [PSU14] Gabriel P. Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Tensor tomography: progress and challenges. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 35(3):399–428, 2014.
- [PSU15] Gabriel P. Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Invariant distributions, Beurling transforms and tensor tomography in higher dimensions. *Math. Ann.*, 363(1-2):305–362, 2015.
- [PSU23] Gabriel P. Paternain, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. *Geometric Inverse Problems: With Emphasis on Two Dimensions*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [Sem06] Uwe Semmelmann. Killing forms on G_2 - and $Spin_7$ -manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 56(9):1752–1766, 2006.
- [Sha94] Vladimir A. Sharafutdinov. *Integral geometry of tensor fields*. Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series. VSP, Utrecht, 1994.
- [SW10] Uwe Semmelmann and Gregor Weingart. The Weitzenböck machine. *Compos. Math.*, 146(2):507–540, 2010.

MIHAJLO CEKIĆ, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190,
CH-8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: `mihajlo.cekic@math.uzh.ch`

THIBAUT LEFEUVRE, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS AND SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75006
PARIS, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `tlefeuvre@imj-prg.fr`

ANDREI MOROIANU, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY,
91405, ORSAY, FRANCE

E-mail address: `andrei.moroianu@math.cnrs.fr`

UWE SEMMELMANN, INSTITUT FÜR GEOMETRIE UND TOPOLOGIE, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNI-
VERSITÄT STUTTGART, PFAFFENWALDRING 57, 70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

E-mail address: `uwe.semmelmann@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de`