

# TWISTOR AND KILLING FORMS IN RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

Andrei Moroianu  
CNRS - Ecole Polytechnique  
Palaiseau

Prague, September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2004  
– joint work with Uwe Semmelmann –

# Plan of the talk

- Algebraic preliminaries
- Twistor forms on Riemannian manifolds
- Short history
- Main properties of twistor forms
- Examples
- Compact manifolds with non-generic holonomy carrying twistor forms
- Twistor forms on Kähler manifolds
- Open problems

# 1. Algebraic preliminaries

Let  $E$  be a  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We identify throughout this talk  $E$  and  $E^*$ .

$\{e_i\}$  denotes an orthonormal basis of  $E$ , (or a local orthonormal frame of the Riemannian manifold in the next sections).

Consider the two natural linear maps

$$\lrcorner : E \otimes \Lambda^k E \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1} E,$$

$$\wedge : E \otimes \Lambda^k E \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} E.$$

Their metric adjoints (wrt the induced metric on the exterior powers of  $E$ ) are

$$\lrcorner^*(\tau) = \sum e_i \otimes e_i \wedge \tau, \quad \tau \in \Lambda^{k-1} E,$$

$$\wedge^*(\sigma) = \sum e_i \otimes e_i \lrcorner \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \Lambda^{k+1} E.$$

Since obviously

$$\wedge \circ \lrcorner^* = \lrcorner \circ \wedge^* = 0,$$

one gets the direct sum decomposition

$$E \otimes \wedge^k E = \text{Im}(\lrcorner^*) \oplus \text{Im}(\wedge^*) \oplus T^k E$$

where  $T^k E$  denotes the orthogonal complement of the direct sum of the first two summands. We denote by  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$ ,  $\pi_3$  the projections on the three summands. The relations

$$\lrcorner \circ \lrcorner^* = (n - k + 1) \text{Id}_{\wedge^{k-1} E}$$

$$\wedge \circ \wedge^* = (k + 1) \text{Id}_{\wedge^{k+1} E}$$

show that for  $\xi \in E \otimes \wedge^k E$  one has

$$\pi_1 \xi = \frac{1}{k + 1} \lrcorner^* \circ \lrcorner \xi,$$

$$\pi_2 \xi = \frac{1}{n - k + 1} \wedge^* \circ \wedge \xi,$$

$$\pi_3 \xi = \xi - \frac{1}{k + 1} \lrcorner^* \circ \lrcorner \xi - \frac{1}{n - k + 1} \wedge^* \circ \wedge \xi.$$

## 2. Twistor forms on Riemannian manifolds

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. As before, we identify 1-forms and vectors via the metric. Let  $\nabla$  denote the covariant derivative of the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ . If  $u$  is a  $k$ -form, then  $\nabla u$  is a section of  $TM \otimes \Lambda^k M$ , where

$$\Lambda^k M := \Lambda^k(T^*M) \simeq \Lambda^k(TM).$$

Using the notations above (for  $E = TM$ ) we define the first order differential operator

$$T : C^\infty(\Lambda^k M) \rightarrow C^\infty(TM \otimes \Lambda^k M),$$

$$Tu := \pi_3(\nabla u).$$

Noticing that the exterior differential  $d$  and its formal adjoint  $\delta$  can be written

$$du = \wedge(\nabla u) , \quad \delta u = - \lrcorner(\nabla u),$$

one gets

$$Tu(X) = \nabla_X u - \frac{1}{k+1} X \lrcorner du + \frac{1}{n-k+1} X \wedge \delta u$$

for all  $X \in TM$ .

**Definition 1** *The  $k$ -form  $u$  is called twistor form if  $Tu = 0$ .*

*If, moreover,  $u$  is co-closed, then it is called Killing form.*

Remark: if one takes the wedge or interior product with  $X$  in the twistor equation

$$\nabla_X u = \frac{1}{k+1} X \lrcorner du - \frac{1}{n-k+1} X \wedge \delta u,$$

put  $X = e_i$  and sum over  $i$  one gets tautological identities. In case of holonomy reduction, such an approach can be used successfully (see below).

### 3. Short history

- Yano (1952) introduces Killing forms
- Tachibana, Kashiwada (1968–1969) introduce and study twistor forms
- Jun, Ayabe, Yamaguchi (1982) study twistor forms on compact Kähler manifolds. They conclude that if  $n > 2k \geq 8$ , every twistor  $k$ -form on a  $n$ -dimensional compact Kähler manifold is parallel (?!)
- Since 2001: Semmelmann, M, Belgun et al. study twistor and Killing forms on compact manifolds with reduced holonomy and on symmetric spaces. Several classification results are obtained.

## 4. Main properties of twistor forms

**Geometric interpretation.** If  $k = 1$ , a twistor 1–form is just the dual of a conformal vector field. A Killing 1–form is the dual of a Killing vector field. Remark: twistor  $k$ –forms have no geometric interpretation for  $k > 1$ .

**Conformal invariance.** If  $u$  is a twistor  $k$ –form on  $(M, g)$  and  $\hat{g} := e^{2\lambda}g$  is a conformally equivalent metric, the form  $\hat{u} := e^{(k+1)\lambda}u$  is a twistor form on  $(M, \hat{g})$ . This is a consequence of the conformal invariance of the twistor operator:  $\hat{T}(\hat{u}) = \hat{T}u$ .

**Finite dimension.** Twistor forms are determined by their 2–jet at a point. More precisely,  $(u, du, \delta u, \Delta u)$  is a parallel section of

$$\Lambda^k M \oplus \Lambda^{k+1} M \oplus \Lambda^{k-1} M \oplus \Lambda^k M$$

with respect to some explicit connection on this bundle.



Thus, the space of twistor  $k$ -forms has finite dimension  $\leq \binom{n+2}{k+1}$ . This dimensional bound is sharp, equality is obtained on  $S^n$ .

**Relations to twistor spinors.** If  $(M^n, g)$  is oriented and spin, endowed with a spin structure, one can consider the (complex) spin bundle  $\Sigma M$  with its canonical Hermitian product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , Clifford product  $\gamma$  and covariant derivative  $\nabla$  induced by the Levi-Civita connection. The Dirac operator  $D$  is defined as the composition  $D := \gamma \circ \nabla$ . More explicitly,  $D = \sum e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_i}$  in a local ON frame.  $TM \otimes \Sigma M$  splits as follows:

$$TM \otimes \Sigma M = \text{Im}(\gamma^*) \oplus \text{Ker}(\gamma).$$

A spinor  $\psi$  is called a *twistor spinor* if the projection of  $\nabla\psi$  onto the second summand vanishes. Since  $\gamma \circ \gamma^* = -n\text{Id}_{\Sigma M}$ , this translates into

$$\nabla_X \psi + \frac{1}{n} X \cdot D\psi = 0.$$

To every spinor  $\psi$  one can associate a  $k$ -form  $\psi_k$  via the squaring construction:

$$\psi_k := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} (e_{i_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i_k} \cdot \psi, \psi).$$

**Proposition 2** (*M – Semmelmann, 2003*) *If  $\psi$  is a twistor spinor then  $\psi_k$  are twistor  $k$ -forms for every  $k$ .*

The converse clearly does not hold. The twistor form equation can thus be seen as a weakening of the twistor spinor equation. Similar relations exist between Killing spinors and forms.

## 5. Examples

- Parallel forms; more generally, if  $u$  is a parallel  $k$ -form on  $(M, g)$ ,  $e^{(k+1)\lambda}u$  is a (non-parallel) twistor form on  $(M, e^{2\lambda}g)$ .
- The round sphere  $S^n$ . Twistor forms are sums of closed and co-closed forms corresponding to the least eigenvalue of the Laplace operator.
- Sasakian manifolds:  $d\xi^l$ ,  $\xi \wedge d\xi^l$ ,  $l \geq 0$  are closed (resp. co-closed) twistor forms.
- Weak  $G_2$ -manifolds or nearly Kähler manifolds: the distinguished 3-form (resp. the fundamental 2-form) are Killing forms.
- Kähler manifolds: new examples (see below).

## 6. Classification program

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a compact, simply connected, oriented Riemannian manifold with holonomy  $\neq SO_n$ . By the Berger–Simons Holonomy Theorem, one of the 3 following cases occurs:

- $M$  is a symmetric space of compact type.
- $M$  is a Riemannian product  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ .
- $M$  has reduced holonomy.

**A. Symmetric spaces.** The existence problem for twistor forms is not yet completely solved. For Killing forms one has the following result:

**Theorem 3** (*Belgun – M – Semmelmann, 2004*)

*A symmetric space of compact type carries a non-parallel Killing form if and only if it has a Riemannian factor isometric to a round sphere.*

**B. Riemannian products.** Twistor forms are completely understood in this case:

**Theorem 4** (*M – Semmelmann, 2004*) *A twistor form on a Riemannian product is a sum of parallel forms, Killing forms on one of the factors, and their Hodge duals.*

**C. Reduced holonomy.** We distinguish three sub-cases:

(i) Kähler geometries (holonomy group  $U_m$ ,  $SU_m$  or  $Sp_l$ ). Killing forms are parallel and twistor forms are related to Hamiltonian forms (see below).

(ii) Quaternion–Kähler geometry (holonomy group  $Sp_1 \cdot Sp_l$ ,  $l > 1$ ).

**Theorem 5** (*M – Semmelmann, 2004*) *Every Killing  $k$ -form ( $k > 1$ ) on a quaternion–Kähler manifold is parallel.*

The similar question for twistor forms is still open.

(iii) Joyce geometries (holonomy group  $G_2$  or  $Spin_7$ ).

**Theorem 6** (*Semmelmann, 2002*) *Every Killing  $k$ -form on a Joyce manifold is parallel. There are no twistor  $k$ -forms on  $G_2$ -manifolds for  $k = 1, 2, 5, 6$ .*

## 7. An example: twistor forms on Kähler manifolds

Let  $(M^{2m}, g, J)$  be a Kähler manifold with Kähler form denoted by  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 7** (*Apostolov – Calderbank – Gauduchon*) A 2-form  $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}M$  is called Hamiltonian if

$$\nabla_X \omega = X \wedge J\mu + \mu \wedge JX, \quad \forall X \in TM,$$

for some 1-form  $\mu$  (which necessarily satisfies  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}d\langle \omega, \Omega \rangle$ ).

Main feature: if  $A$  denotes the endomorphism associated to  $\omega$ , the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A$  are Hamiltonians of commuting Killing vector fields on  $M$  (toric geometry). In a sequence of recent papers, A–C–G obtain the classification of compact Kähler manifolds with Hamiltonian forms.

For the study of twistor forms one uses the Kählerian operators

$$d^c := \sum J e_i \wedge \nabla_{e_i} , \quad \delta^c := - \sum J e_i \lrcorner \nabla_{e_i} ,$$

$$L := \Omega \wedge = \frac{1}{2} e_i \wedge J e_i \wedge , \quad \Lambda := L^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum J e_i \lrcorner e_i \lrcorner ,$$

$$J := \sum J e_i \wedge e_i \lrcorner$$

and the relations between them:

$$d^c = -[\delta, L] = -[d, J] , \quad \delta^c = [d, \Lambda] = -[\delta, L] ,$$

$$d = [\delta^c, L] = [d^c, J] , \quad \delta = -[d^c, \Lambda] = [\delta^c, L] ,$$

$$\Delta = d\delta + \delta d = d^c \delta^c + \delta^c d^c , \quad [\Lambda, L] = (m - k) Id_{\wedge^k} ,$$

as well as the vanishing of the following commutators resp. anti-commutators

$$0 = [d, L] = [d^c, L] = [\delta, \Lambda] = [\delta^c, \Lambda] = [\Lambda, J] = [J, L] ,$$

$$0 = \delta d^c + d^c \delta = dd^c + d^c d = \delta \delta^c + \delta^c \delta = d\delta^c + \delta^c d .$$

(21 relations)



**Theorem 8** (*M – Semmelmann, 2002*) *Let  $u$  be a twistor  $k$ -form on a compact Kähler manifold  $(M^{2m}, g, J)$  and suppose that  $k \neq m$ . Then  $k$  is even,  $k = 2p$ , and there exists a Hamiltonian 2-form  $\psi$  with*

$$u = L^{p-1}\psi - \frac{1}{2p}L^p\langle\psi, \Omega\rangle$$

*up to parallel forms.*

Step 1. (difficult)  $Ju$  is parallel (i.e.  $u \in \Lambda^{p,p} +$  parallel form).

Step 2.  $du$  and  $\delta u$  are eigenforms of  $\Lambda L$  with explicit eigenvalues.

Step 3. The LePage decomposition

$$\omega = \omega_0 + L\omega_1 + L^2\omega_2 + \dots$$

implies

$$du = L^p v, \quad \delta u = L^{p-1} w, \quad v, w \in TM.$$

Step 4. Using the twistor equation one gets

$$u = L^{p-1}\omega + L^p f, \quad \omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}M, \quad f \in C^\infty M.$$

Step 5. For a right choice of  $\omega$  and  $f$ ,

$$u = L^{p-1}\psi - \frac{1}{2p}\Omega^p \langle \psi, \Omega \rangle + \text{parallel form.}$$

**Remark.** A similar approach can be used to study twistor forms on QK manifolds. If  $J_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ ) denotes a local ON frame of almost complex structures, one can define (besides  $d$  and  $\delta$ ) 6 first order natural differential operators

$$d^+ := \sum_{i,\alpha} L_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \nabla_{e_i},$$

$$d^- := \sum_{i,\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \nabla_{e_i},$$

$$d^c := \sum_{i,\alpha} J_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \nabla_{e_i},$$

$$\delta^+ := - \sum_{i,\alpha} L_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \lrcorner \nabla e_i,$$

$$\delta^- := - \sum_{i,\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \lrcorner \nabla e_i,$$

$$\delta^c := - \sum_{i,\alpha} J_\alpha J_\alpha(e_i) \lrcorner \nabla e_i.$$

and 6 linear operators

$$L := \sum_{\alpha} L_\alpha \circ L_\alpha, \quad L^- := \sum_{\alpha} L_\alpha \circ J_\alpha, \quad J := \sum_{\alpha} J_\alpha \circ J_\alpha,$$

$$\Lambda := \sum_{\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha \circ \Lambda_\alpha, \quad \Lambda^+ := \sum_{\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha \circ J_\alpha, \quad C := \sum_{\alpha} L_\alpha \circ \Lambda_\alpha.$$

This gives rise to 91 commutation relations,  
e.g

$[d, \Lambda] = 2\delta^-$	$[\delta, L] = -2d^+$
$[d, L^-] = -d^+$	$[\delta, L^-] = -\delta^+ - d^c - 3d$
$[d, \Lambda^+] = -d^- + \delta^c + 3\delta$	$[\delta, \Lambda^+] = \delta^-$
$[d, J] = -2d^c - 3d$	$[\delta, J] = -2\delta^c - 3\delta$
$[d, C] = \delta^+$	$[\delta, C] = -d^- + 3$

...

## 8. Open problems

In view of the previous results, the existence of Killing forms on simply connected compact manifolds  $M$  with non-generic holonomy is completely understood: there exists a non-parallel Killing  $k$ -form ( $k > 1$ ) on  $M$  iff  $M$  has a factor isometric to a Riemannian sphere  $S^p$ ,  $p \geq 2$ .

The similar problem for twistor  $k$ -forms is still open

- on symmetric spaces
- on quaternionic-Kähler manifolds
- on  $\text{Spin}_7$ -manifolds
- on  $G_2$ -manifolds (for  $k = 3, 4$ )
- on Kähler manifolds (for  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ ).