

Connections with totally skew-symmetric parallel torsion

Andrei Moroianu, CNRS – Univ. Paris-Sud

(joint work with R. Cleyton and U. Semmelmann)

Dirac operators in differential geometry and global analysis
– in memory of Thomas Friedrich –
Bedlewo, October 7, 2019



Thomas Friedrich in 2008 at MFO (© Ilka Agricola).

Part I

Geometries with (totally skew-symmetric and parallel) torsion

Some literature

- I. Agricola, G. Dileo, *Generalizations of 3-Sasakian manifolds and skew torsion*, to appear in Adv. Geom.
- I. Agricola, A.C. Ferreira, Th. Friedrich, *The classification of naturally reductive homogeneous spaces in dimensions $n \leq 6$* , Differential Geom. Appl. **39** (2015), 59–92.
- B. Alexandrov, *$Sp(n)U(1)$ -connections with parallel totally skew-symmetric torsion*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2006), 323–337.
- R. Cleyton, A. Swann, *Einstein metrics via intrinsic or parallel torsion*, Math. Z. **247** (2004), 513–528.
- G. Dileo, A. Lotta, *A note on Riemannian connections with skew torsion and the de Rham splitting*, manuscripta math. **156** (2018), 299–302.

- Th. Friedrich, *G_2 -manifolds with parallel characteristic torsion*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **25** (2007), 632–648.
- Th. Friedrich, S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 303–335.
- S. Ivanov, *Connections with torsion, parallel spinors and geometry of $Spin(7)$ manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), no. 2-3, 171–186.
- N. Schoemann, *Almost Hermitian structures with parallel torsion*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 2187–2212.

Geometries with torsion

Let M be a smooth manifold and ∇ a connection on $\mathbb{T}M$. The **torsion** of ∇ is the $(2, 1)$ -tensor

$$T_X^\nabla Y := \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y].$$

If g is a Riemannian metric on $M \implies$ unique torsion-free metric connection ∇^g . Every other connection ∇ can be written

$$\nabla = \nabla^g + \tau$$

for some $(2, 1)$ -tensor τ . Its torsion is $T_X^\nabla Y = \tau_X Y - \tau_Y X$.

∇ is metric ($\nabla g = 0$) $\iff \tau_X$ is skew-symmetric $\forall X$.

Geometries with torsion

Using the Riemannian metric g we identify:

- vectors and 1-forms
- skew-symmetric endomorphisms and 2-forms
- totally skew-symmetric tensors of type $(2, 1)$ and 3-forms:

$$g(\tau_X Y, Z) = \tau(X, Y, Z), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in TM .$$

Geometries with torsion

Remark

The torsion of $\nabla^g + \tau$ is totally skew-symmetric $\iff \tau$ is totally skew-symmetric. In this case, the torsion of $\nabla^g + \tau$ is 2τ .

Definition

A **geometry with parallel skew-symmetric torsion** (or simply **geometry with torsion**) on M is a Riemannian metric g with Levi-Civita connection ∇^g and a 3-form $\tau \in \Omega^3(M)$ which is parallel with respect to the metric connection $\nabla^\tau := \nabla^g + \tau$, i.e. $\nabla^\tau \tau = 0$.

Examples of geometries with torsion

Examples of geometries with torsion:

- Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces. The homogeneous connection ∇ has skew-symmetric torsion T and moreover $\nabla T = 0$, $\nabla R = 0$. The converse also holds (Ambrose-Singer).
- Nearly Kähler (NK) manifolds: almost Hermitian manifolds (M, g, J) with $(\nabla_X J)X = 0 \forall X$. The canonical Hermitian connection

$$\nabla := \nabla^g - \frac{1}{2}J \circ \nabla^g J$$

has ∇ -parallel skew-symmetric torsion (Gray, Kirichenko).

Examples of NK manifolds:

- Twistor bundles over positive QK manifolds
- 3-symmetric spaces with naturally reductive metric

Examples of geometries with torsion

- Sasakian manifolds (M, g, ξ) , where ξ is a unit Killing vector field satisfying the condition $\nabla_X^g d\xi = -2X \wedge \xi$, $\forall X$. The metric connection

$$\nabla := \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}\xi \wedge d\xi$$

has skew-symmetric torsion $T = \xi \wedge d\xi$ which is ∇ -parallel (Friedrich). Examples of Sasakian structures: S^1 -bundles over Hodge manifolds.

- 3-Sasakian manifolds.

Examples of geometries with torsion

- Nearly parallel G_2 -structures in dimension 7: a positive 3-form φ on a 7-dimensional manifold M , which induces a Riemannian metric g on M and such that $d\varphi = \lambda * \varphi$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\nabla := \nabla^g + \frac{\lambda}{12} \varphi$$

is a metric connection with totally skew-symmetric and ∇ -parallel torsion (Friedrich - Ivanov). Examples of nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds:

- $SO(5)/SO(3)$, where the embedding of $SO(3)$ into $SO(5)$ is given by the 5-dimensional irreducible representation of $SO(3)$
- the Aloff-Wallach spaces $SU(3)/U(1)_{k,l}$
- On any 7-dimensional 3-Sasakian manifold there exists a second Einstein metric defined by a nearly parallel G_2 -structure (Friedrich, Kath, -, Semmelmann).

The Cleyton-Swann theorem

Key idea: **irreducible** holonomy group \implies classification results.

Theorem (Berger - Simons)

*Riemannian manifolds with non-generic **irreducible** holonomy representation of the Levi-Civita connection:*

- *manifolds with holonomy $U_m, SU_m, Sp_k, Sp_k Sp_1, G_2, Spin_7$.*
- *irreducible locally symmetric spaces.*

Theorem (Cleyton - Swann)

*Metric connections with parallel skew-symmetric torsion and **irreducible** holonomy:*

- *NK 6-dimensional manifolds or nearly parallel G_2 -manifolds.*
- *irreducible naturally reductive homogeneous spaces.*

Reducibility versus decomposability

In contrast to the Riemannian case, there are two different notions of reducibility for geometries with parallel skew-symmetric torsion:

Definition

A geometry with parallel skew-symmetric torsion (M, g, τ) is:

- **reducible** if the holonomy representation of ∇^τ is reducible, i.e. the tangent bundle of M decomposes in a (non-trivial) orthogonal direct sum of ∇^τ -parallel distributions
 $TM = T_1 \oplus T_2$.
- **decomposable** if it is reducible, $TM = T_1 \oplus T_2$, and the torsion form satisfies $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 \in \Lambda^3 T_1 \oplus \Lambda^3 T_2$.

Irreducible case \implies Cleyton - Swann.

Decomposable case \implies de Rham-type decomposition theorem:

Lemma

Assume that (M, g, τ) is decomposable, with ∇^τ -parallel orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{T}M = T_1 \oplus T_2$ and such that $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 \in \Lambda^3 T_1 \oplus \Lambda^3 T_2$. Then (M, g, τ) is locally isometric to a product of two manifolds with parallel skew-symmetric torsion (M_i, g_i, τ_i) .

Remaining problem: understand **reducible and indecomposable** geometries with parallel skew-symmetric torsion.

Part II

The standard decomposition

The standard decomposition

Assume from now on that (M, g, τ) is reducible: $TM = T_1 \oplus T_2$,

$$\tau \in \Lambda^3 T_1 \oplus (\Lambda^2 T_1 \otimes T_2) \oplus (T_1 \otimes \Lambda^2 T_2) \oplus \Lambda^3 T_2.$$

TM may have several such splittings. However:

Theorem (Cleyton, –, Semmelmann)

There exists a canonically defined ∇^τ -parallel orthogonal decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H}M \oplus \mathcal{V}M$ such that τ is a section of $\Lambda^3 \mathcal{H}M \oplus (\Lambda^2 \mathcal{H}M \otimes \mathcal{V}M) \oplus \Lambda^3 \mathcal{V}M$.

Definition

The above decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H}M \oplus \mathcal{V}M$ is called the **standard decomposition** of the reducible geometry with torsion (M, g, τ) .

Proof of the standard decomposition

Lemma (Cleyton, -, Semmelmann)

If $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is a faithful orthogonal representation of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an irreducible summand such that $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathfrak{k} \neq 0$, then the representation of \mathfrak{k} on $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{h}^\perp)$ has no invariant vector.

Let \mathfrak{k} be the holonomy algebra of ∇^τ . The holonomy representation of \mathfrak{k} on \mathbb{R}^n decomposes into an orthogonal sum of irreducible \mathfrak{k} -modules \mathfrak{h}_α and \mathfrak{v}_j with $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{h}_\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{k} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v}_j) \cap \mathfrak{k} = 0$. We define $\mathfrak{h} := \bigoplus_\alpha \mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{v} := \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{v}_j$.

\mathcal{HM} and \mathcal{VM} are the associated bundles to \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{v} . The ∇^τ -parallel torsion τ defines a \mathfrak{k} -invariant vector of $\Lambda^3\mathbb{R}^n$, whose projection to $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda^2\mathfrak{v}$ vanishes by the above lemma.

Denote by $\tau^h \in \Lambda^3 \mathcal{HM}$, $\tau^v \in \Lambda^3 \mathcal{VM}$ and $\tau^m \in \Lambda^2 \mathcal{HM} \otimes \mathcal{VM}$ the projections of τ wrt the standard decomposition.

Theorem (Cleyton, –, Semmelmann)

- *The distribution \mathcal{VM} is the vertical distribution of a locally defined Riemannian submersion $(M, g) \xrightarrow{\pi} (N, g^N)$ with totally geodesic fibers, called the standard submersion.*
- *The horizontal part τ^h of τ is projectable to the base N of the standard submersion: $\tau^h = \pi^* \sigma$.*
- *The metric connection $\nabla^\sigma := \nabla^{g^N} + \sigma$ on N has parallel skew-symmetric torsion.*
- *The restriction of the curvature tensor $R^\tau : \Lambda^2 \mathbb{T}M \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathbb{T}M$ to $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{VM}$ is ∇^τ -parallel. In particular, the fibres of the standard submersion are naturally reductive homogeneous spaces.*

Remark

If one of the summands in the standard decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H}M \oplus \mathcal{V}M$ is trivial, then either $\mathcal{H}M = 0$ and (M, g) is locally a naturally reductive homogeneous space, or $\mathcal{V}M = 0$, in which case (M, g) is locally a product of irreducible geometries with torsion. By Cleyton - Swann, each factor is either naturally reductive homogeneous, or has a nearly Kähler structure in dimension 6, or a nearly parallel G_2 -structure in dimension 7.

We will thus implicitly assume from now on that the standard decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H}M \oplus \mathcal{V}M$ is non-trivial.

We have seen that the base space N of the standard submersion $M \rightarrow N$ of a manifold M with parallel skew-symmetric torsion inherits a geometry with parallel skew-symmetric torsion. This geometry carries an additional structure, which can be understood in terms of principal bundles:

Fix some orthonormal frame u on M and denote with K the holonomy group of ∇^τ at u , with \mathfrak{k} its Lie algebra, and with $\pi_M : Q \rightarrow M$ the reduction of the frame bundle of M to a principal K -fibre bundle. Denote by $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$ the above \mathfrak{k} -invariant decomposition of \mathbb{R}^n .

The connection form of ∇^τ is denoted by $\alpha \in \Omega^1(Q, \mathfrak{k})$, and the soldering form is denoted by $\theta \in \Omega^1(Q, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Any $A \in \mathfrak{k}$ induces a **fundamental vertical vector field** A^* on Q .

As Q is a subbundle of the frame bundle of M , any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ induces a **standard horizontal vector field** ξ^* defined at $u \in Q$ by $\xi_u^* := \widetilde{u\xi}$.

For $A, B \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$[A^*, B^*] = [A, B]^*, \quad [A^*, \xi^*] = (A\xi)^* .$$

Key idea: define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$ induced from the Lie algebra structure on the space of vector fields on Q by the injective map

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{T}Q), \quad A + \xi \mapsto A^* + \xi^*,$$

for $A \in \mathfrak{k}$ and ξ in \mathfrak{v} .

Lemma

The image of the map Φ is closed under the bracket of vector fields.

Proof: Use the above properties of the torsion and curvature of ∇^τ .

The decomposition $TQ = T^{hor}Q \oplus T^tQ$ of the tangent bundle of Q given by the connection α can be refined as

$$TQ = T^hQ \oplus T^vQ \oplus T^tQ ,$$

where $T^hQ_u = \{\eta_u^* \mid \eta \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, $T^vQ_u = \{\xi_u^* \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{v}\}$, and $T^tQ_u = \{A_u^* \mid A \in \mathfrak{k}\}$.

The map $\Phi : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \Gamma(TQ)$ is by definition a Lie algebra homomorphism, i.e. it defines a structure of infinitesimal \mathfrak{l} -principal bundle on Q over some locally defined manifold N , whose fibers are the leaves of the integrable distribution $\Phi(\mathfrak{l}) = T^vQ \oplus T^tQ$. Since $(\pi_M)_*^{-1}(\mathcal{V}M) = T^vQ \oplus T^tQ$, this locally defined manifold N is the same as the locally defined manifold N introduced in the previous section.

Lemma

The 1-form $\beta := \alpha + \theta^{\mathfrak{v}} \in \Omega^1(Q, \mathfrak{l})$ is a connection form on Q with respect to the infinitesimal \mathfrak{l} -principal bundle structure, i.e. it satisfies $\beta(B^) = B$ for every $B \in \mathfrak{l}$ and*

$$(\mathcal{L}_{B^*}\beta)(U) = -[B, \beta(U)], \quad \forall B \in \mathfrak{l}, \forall U \in \Gamma(TQ).$$

Some components of the curvature form of β , viewed as a 2-form with values in the adjoint bundle, are parallel but not all of them (the structure group L is too large, and contains unnecessary information). After a reduction procedure \implies a principal fibre bundle over N with parallel curvature form, containing enough information in order to recover the geometry of M .

Part III

Geometries with parallel curvature

The principal bundle with parallel curvature

Consider the linear map

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v}) \oplus \mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{g}.$$

$\exists!$ Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} making this map a Lie algebra morphism. Let L and G be the simply connected Lie groups with Lie algebras \mathfrak{l} and \mathfrak{g} respectively, and $\lambda : L \rightarrow G$ the corresponding group morphism. The associated G -principal bundle

$$P := Q \times_{\lambda} G$$

over N carries a connection 1-form $\gamma \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ (induced by β).

Theorem (Cleyton, –, Semmelmann)

The section R^γ of $\Lambda^2 TN \otimes \text{ad}(P)$ is parallel wrt $\nabla^\sigma \otimes \nabla^\gamma$ and satisfies some extra conditions.

Conversely, given a geometry with parallel skew-symmetric torsion (N, g^N, σ) and a G -principal bundle with parallel curvature form (+ some extra conditions), one obtains a geometry with parallel skew-symmetric torsion on quotients of P by compact subgroups of G .

Part IV

Parallel \mathfrak{g} -structures

Example

Let (M^{2k+1}, g, ξ) be the Sasakian S^1 -bundle over a Hodge manifold (N, g^N, ω) , such that $d\xi^b = \omega$. Then (generically):

- the holonomy group of the connection $\nabla = \nabla^g + \frac{1}{2}\xi \wedge d\xi$ is $K = U(k) \subset SO(2k + 1)$,
- the standard decomposition is $\mathcal{V}M = \langle \xi \rangle$, $\mathcal{H}M = \xi^\perp$,
- the standard Riem. submersion is just the fibration $M \rightarrow N$,
- the extended Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l} := \mathfrak{u}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \rightarrow 0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) =: \mathfrak{g}$,
- the K -principal bundle Q over M is the holonomy bundle of ∇ , also seen as $(U(k) \times U(1))$ -bundle over N ,
- the G -principal bundle with parallel curvature $P := M \rightarrow N$.

Definition

A geometry with torsion (M, g, τ) is **special** if \mathfrak{v} is a trivial \mathfrak{k} -representation (i.e. $\mathcal{V}M$ is spanned by ∇ -parallel vector fields ξ_j).

Remark

Any ∇ -parallel vector field ξ is Killing, since $0 = \nabla\xi = \nabla^g\xi + \tau_\xi$.

In this case the projection of the holonomy algebra \mathfrak{k} on $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ vanishes, so the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v}$. In fact it is easy to see directly that the set of ∇ -parallel vector fields is closed under Lie bracket:

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j] = \nabla_{\xi_i}^g \xi_j - \nabla_{\xi_j}^g \xi_i = -2\tau(\xi_i, \xi_j)$$

is also ∇ -parallel. Like in the previous example, M is (locally) identified to a principal bundle over the space of leaves of $\mathcal{V}M$.

Parallel \mathfrak{g} -structures

Definition

Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . A **parallel \mathfrak{g} -structure** on a manifold N is given by:

- 1 a Riemannian metric g^N on N ;
- 2 a locally defined G -principal bundle $P \rightarrow N$ with adjoint bundle $\text{ad}(P)$;
- 3 an $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -invariant scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} , thus on $\text{ad}(P)$;
- 4 a connection form $\gamma \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ with parallel curvature tensor $R^\gamma : \Lambda^2 TN \rightarrow \text{ad}(P)$, s.t. the metric adjoint of $-R^\gamma$ is a Lie algebra bundle morphism $\psi : \text{ad}(P) \rightarrow \Lambda^2 TN$.

Theorem (Cleyton, –, Semmelmann)

There is a 1-1 correspondence between special geometries with torsion and parallel \mathfrak{g} -structures.

There are several types of natural operations that one can make with parallel \mathfrak{g} -structures: products, reductions to ideals of the Lie algebra, restrictions to Riemannian factors of the manifold N , or Whitney products.

Definition

A parallel \mathfrak{g} -structure is non-degenerate if it is not locally a product of parallel \mathfrak{g} -structures.

The classification

Theorem (Cleyton, –, Semmelmann)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra of compact type and $(g^N, P, \mathfrak{g}, \gamma, \psi)$ a non-degenerate parallel \mathfrak{g} -structure on a manifold N . Then either:

- N is quaternion-Kähler with positive scalar curvature, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and P is the Konishi bundle, or
- $N = L/H$ is an irreducible locally symmetric space of compact type, \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to a semi-simple factor of \mathfrak{h} , or
- N is locally a Riemannian product
 $N = N_1 \times \dots \times N_p \times S_1 \times \dots \times S_q$ with N_α Kähler, $S_\beta = L_\beta/U(1)H_\beta$ Hermitian symmetric of compact type, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(1)^m \oplus \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{k}_q$ with \mathfrak{k}_β a non-zero factor of \mathfrak{h}_β .