

# KILLING 2-FORMS IN DIMENSION 4

PAUL GAUDUCHON AND ANDREI MOROIANU

ABSTRACT. A Killing  $p$ -form on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is a  $p$ -form whose covariant derivative is totally antisymmetric. If  $M$  is a connected, oriented, 4-dimensional manifold admitting a non-parallel Killing 2-form  $\psi$ , we show that there exists a dense open subset of  $M$  on which one of the following three exclusive situations holds: either  $\psi$  is everywhere degenerate and  $g$  is locally conformal to a product metric, or  $g$  gives rise to an ambikähler structure of Calabi type, or, generically,  $g$  gives rise to an ambitoric structure of hyperbolic type, in particular depends locally on two functions of one variable. Compact examples of either types are provided.

*Dedicated to SIMON SALAMON on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Killing 2-forms and ambikähler structures	4
3. Separation of variables	13
4. The ambitoric Ansatz	18
5. Ambikähler structures of Calabi type	23
6. The decomposable case	29
7. Example: the sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ and its deformations	30
8. Example: complex ruled surfaces	34
References	36

## 1. INTRODUCTION

On any  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , an exterior  $p$ -form  $\psi$  is called *conformal Killing* [13] if its covariant derivative  $\nabla\psi$  is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_X\psi = \alpha \wedge X^\flat + X \lrcorner \beta,$$

for some  $(p-1)$ -form  $\alpha$  and some  $(p+1)$ -form  $\beta$ , which are then given by

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha = \frac{(-1)^p}{n-p+1} \delta\psi, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{p+1} d\psi.$$

Conformal Killing forms have the following conformal invariance property: if  $\psi$  is a conformal Killing  $p$ -form with respect to the metric  $g$ , then, for any positive function  $f$ ,  $\tilde{\psi} := f^{p+1}\psi$  is conformal Killing with respect to the conformal metric  $\tilde{g} := f^2g$ . In other words, if  $L$  denotes the real line bundle  $|\Lambda^n TM|^{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $\ell, \tilde{\ell}$  denote the sections of  $L$  determined by  $g, \tilde{g}$ , then, for

---

*Date:* January 30, 2017.

any Weyl connection  $D$  relative to the conformal class  $[g]$ , the section  $\psi := \psi \otimes \ell^{p+1} = \tilde{\psi} \otimes \tilde{\ell}^{p+1}$  of  $\Lambda^p T^*M \otimes L^{p+1}$  satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad D_X \psi = \alpha \wedge X + X \lrcorner \beta,$$

for some section  $\alpha$  of  $\Lambda^{p-1} T^*M \otimes L^{p-1}$  and some section  $\beta$  of  $\Lambda^{p+1} T^*M \otimes L^{p+1}$  (depending on  $D$ ), cf. *e.g.* [2, Appendix B].

The  $p$ -form  $\psi$  is called *Killing*, resp. *\*-Killing*, with respect to  $g$ , if  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1) and  $\alpha = 0$ , resp.  $\beta = 0$ . In particular, Killing forms are co-closed, *\*-Killing* forms are closed, and, if  $M$  is oriented and  $*$  denotes the induced Hodge star operator,  $\psi$  is Killing if and only if  $*\psi$  is *\*-Killing*.

Although the terminology comes from the fact that Killing 1-forms are just metric duals of Killing vector fields, and thus encode infinitesimal symmetries of the metric, no geometric interpretation of Killing  $p$ -forms exists in general in terms of symmetries when  $p \geq 2$ , except in the case of Killing 2-forms in dimension 4, which is special for various reasons, the most important being the self-duality phenomenon.

On any oriented four-dimensional manifold  $(M, g)$ , the Hodge star operator  $*$ , acting on 2-forms, is an involution and, therefore, induces the well known orthogonal decomposition

$$(1.4) \quad \Lambda^2 M = \Lambda^+ M \oplus \Lambda^- M,$$

where  $\Lambda^2 M$  stands for the vector bundle of (real) 2-forms on  $M$  and  $\Lambda^\pm M$  the eigen-subbundle for the eigenvalue  $\pm 1$  of  $*$ . Accordingly, any 2-form  $\psi$  splits as

$$(1.5) \quad \psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-,$$

where  $\psi_+$ , resp.  $\psi_-$ , is the *self-dual*, resp. the *anti-self-dual* part of  $\psi$ , defined by  $\psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\psi \pm *\psi)$ . Since  $*$  acting on 2-forms is conformally invariant, a 2-form  $\psi$  is conformal Killing if and only if  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  are separately conformal Killing, meaning that

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla_X \psi_+ = (\alpha_+ \wedge X^b)_+, \quad \nabla_X \psi_- = (\alpha_- \wedge X^b)_-$$

for some real 1-forms  $\alpha_+, \alpha_-$ , and  $\psi$  is Killing, resp. *\*-Killing*, if, in addition,

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha_+ = -\alpha_-, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \alpha_+ = \alpha_-.$$

Throughout this paper,  $(M, g)$  will denote a connected, oriented, 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$  a non-trivial *\*-Killing* 2-form on  $M$  (the choice of the *\*-Killing*  $\psi$ , instead of the Killing 2-form  $*\psi$  is of pure convenience). We also discard the non-interesting case when  $\psi$  is parallel.

On the open set,  $M_0^+$ , resp.  $M_0^-$ , where  $\psi_+$ , resp.  $\psi_-$ , is non-zero, the associated skew-symmetric operators  $\Psi_+, \Psi_-$ , are of the form  $\Psi_+ = f_+ J_+$ , resp.  $\Psi_- = f_- J_-$ , where  $J_+$ , resp.  $J_-$ , is an almost complex structure inducing the chosen, resp. the opposite, orientation of  $M$ , and  $f_+$ , resp.  $f_-$ , is a positive function. It is then easily checked, cf. Section 2 below, that the first, resp. the second, condition in (1.6) is equivalent to the condition that the pair  $(g_+ := f_+^{-2} g, J_+)$ , resp. the pair  $(g_- := f_-^{-2} g, J_-)$ , is *Kähler*. On the open set  $M_0 = M_0^+ \cap M_0^-$ , which is actually dense in  $M$ , cf. Lemma 2.1 below, we thus get *two* Kähler structures, whose metrics belong to the same conformal class and whose complex structures induce opposite orientations (in particular, commute), hence an *ambikähler structure*, as defined in [2]. This actually holds if  $\psi$  is simply conformal Killing and had been observed in the twistorial setting by M. Pontecorvo in [12], cf. also [2, Appendix B2]. The additional

coupling condition (1.7), which, on  $M_0$ , reads  $J_+df_+ = J_-df_-$ , cf. Section 2, then has strong consequences, that we now explain.

A first main observation, cf. Proposition 3.3, is that the open subset,  $M_S$ , where  $\psi$  is of maximal rank, hence a symplectic 2-form, is either empty or dense in  $M$ .

The case when  $M_S$  is empty is the case when  $\psi$  is *decomposable*, i.e.  $\psi \wedge \psi = 0$  everywhere; equivalently,  $|\psi_+| = |\psi_-|$  everywhere; on  $M_0$ , we then have  $f_+ = f_-$ , hence  $g_+ = g_- =: g_K$ , and  $(M_0, g_K)$  is locally a product of two (real) Kähler surfaces  $(\Sigma, g_\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma)$  and  $(\tilde{\Sigma}, g_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}})$ , with  $f_+ = f_-$  constant on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , cf. Section 6. In this case, no non-trivial Killing vector field shows up in general, but a number of compact examples involving Killing vector fields are provided, coming from [9].

The case when  $M_S$  is dense is first handled in Proposition 2.4, where we show that the vector field  $K_1 := -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^\sharp$  is then Killing with respect to  $g$  — the chosen normalization is for further convenience — and that each eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor,  $\text{Ric}$ , of  $g$  is of multiplicity at least 2; moreover, on the (dense) open set  $M_1 = M_S \cap M_0$ ,  $K_1$  is Killing with respect to  $g_+, g_-$  and Hamiltonian with respect to the Kähler forms  $\omega_+ := g_+(J_+\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\omega_- := g_-(J_-\cdot, \cdot)$ ; also,  $\text{Ric}$  is both  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant, cf. Proposition 2.4 below. On  $M_1$ , the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  is then of the type described in Proposition 11 (iii) of [2].

In Section 3, we set the stage for a *separation of variables* by introducing new functions  $x, y$ , defined by  $x = \frac{1}{2}(f_+ + f_-)$  and  $y = \frac{1}{2}(f_+ - f_-)$ , which, up to a factor 2, are the “eigenvalues” of  $\psi$ , and whose gradients are easily shown to be orthogonal. In Proposition 3.1, we show that  $|dx|^2 = A(x)$  and  $|dy|^2 = B(y)$ , for some positive functions  $A$  and  $B$  of one variable. In terms of the new functions  $x, y$ , the dual 1-form of  $K_1$  with respect to  $g$  is simply  $J_+dx + J_+dy$ . Furthermore, in Proposition 3.2 a second Killing vector field,  $K_2$ , shows up, whose dual 1-form is  $y^2 J_+dx + x^2 J_+dy$  and which turns out to coincide, up to a constant factor, with the Killing vector field constructed by W. Jelonek in [8, Lemma B], cf. also the proof of Proposition 11 in [2], namely the image of  $K_1$  by the *Killing symmetric endomorphism*  $S = \Psi_+ \circ \Psi_- + \frac{(f_+^2 + f_-^2)}{2}I$ , cf. Remark 3.1.

In Proposition 3.3, we then show that either  $K_2$  is a (positive) constant multiple of  $K_1$ , and we end up with an ambikähler structure of *Calabi type*, according to Definition 5.1 taken from [1], or  $K_1, K_2$  are independent on a dense open subset of  $M$ , determining an *ambitoric structure*, as defined in [2], [3].

The Calabi case is considered in Section 5, where it is shown that, conversely, any ambikähler structure of Calabi type gives rise, up to scaling, to a 1-parameter family of pairs  $(g^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)})$ , where  $g^{(k)}$  is a Riemannian metric in the conformal class and  $\psi^{(k)}$  a  $*$ -Killing 2-form with respect to  $g^{(k)}$ , cf. Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1. The example of *Hirzebruch-like* ruled surfaces is described in Section 8.

The ambitoric case is the case when  $dx$  and  $dy$  are independent on a dense open subset of  $M$ . In Section 4, we show that  $x, y$  can be locally completed into a full system of coordinates by the addition of two “angular coordinates”,  $s, t$ , in such a way that  $K_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  and  $K_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  and giving rise to a general Ansatz, described in Theorem 4.1. As an Ansatz for the underlying ambikähler structure, this turns out to be the same as the ambitoric Ansatz of Proposition 13 in [2] for the “quadratic” polynomial  $q(z) = 2z$ , hence in the *hyperbolic* normal form of [2, Section 5.4], when the functions  $x, y$  are identified with the *adapted coordinates*  $x, y$  in [2].

The main observation at this point is that, while the adapted coordinates in [2] are obtained via a quadratic transformation, cf. [2, Section 4.3], the functions  $x, y$  are here naturally

attached to the  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$  which determines the ambitoric structure. This is quite reminiscent of the *orthotoric* situation, described in [1] in dimension 4 and in [4] in all dimensions, where the separation of variables — and the corresponding Ansatz — are similarly obtained via the “eigenvalues” of a *Hamiltonian 2-form*, which share the same properties as the “eigenvalues”  $x, y$  of the  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$ .

In spite of this, the  $*$ -Killing 2-forms considered in this paper are *not* Hamiltonian 2-forms in general — for a general discussion about Killing or  $*$ -Killing 2-forms versus Hamiltonian 2-forms, cf. [10], in particular Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.8, and, also, [4, Appendix A] — but, in many respects, at least in dimension 4, the role played by Hamiltonian 2-forms in the orthotoric case is played by  $*$ -Killing 2-forms in the (hyperbolic) ambitoric case.

The three situations described above, namely the decomposable, the Calabi ambikähler and the ambitoric case, cf. Proposition 3.3, are nicely illustrated in the example of the round 4-sphere described in Section 7, on which every  $*$ -Killing form can be written as the restriction of a constant 2-form  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{so}(5) \simeq \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^5$ , which is also the 2-form associated to the covariant derivative of the Killing vector field induced by  $\mathbf{a}$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  has rank 2, the same holds for its restriction on a dense open subset of the sphere, so this corresponds to the decomposable case. Otherwise,  $\mathbf{a}$  can be expressed as  $\lambda e_1 \wedge e_2 + \mu e_3 \wedge e_4$  — cf. Section 7 for the notation — with  $\lambda, \mu$  both positive, and, depending on whether  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equal or not, we obtain on a dense subset of the sphere an ambikähler structure of Calabi type or a hyperbolic ambitoric structure respectively. By using the hyperbolic ambitoric Ansatz of Section 4, it is eventually shown that the resulting  $*$ -Killing 2-forms are actually  $*$ -Killing with respect to infinitely many non-isometric Riemannian metrics on  $S^4$ , cf. Remark 7.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We warmly thank Vestislav Apostolov and David Calderbank for their interest in this work and for many useful suggestions. We also thank the anonymous referee, whose valuable observations allowed us to correct a mistake and significantly improve a part of the paper. This work was partially supported by the Procope Project No. 32977YJ.

## 2. KILLING 2-FORMS AND AMBIKÄHLER STRUCTURES

In what follows,  $(M, g)$  denotes a connected, oriented, 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a non-parallel Killing 2-form  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi := *\varphi$  denotes the corresponding  $*$ -Killing 2-form; we then have

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_X \psi = \alpha \wedge X^\flat,$$

for some real, non-zero, 1-form  $\alpha$ , where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and  $X^\flat$  the dual 1-form of  $X$  with respect to  $g$ , cf. [13]. By anti-symmetrizing and by contracting (2.1), it is easily checked that  $\psi$  is closed and that

$$(2.2) \quad \delta\psi = 3\alpha,$$

where  $\delta$  denotes the codifferential with respect to  $g$ . Denote by  $\psi_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\psi + *\psi)$ , resp.  $\psi_- = \frac{1}{2}(\psi - *\psi)$ , the self-dual, resp. the anti-self-dual, part of  $\psi$ , where  $*$  is the Hodge operator induced by the metric  $g$  and the chosen orientation. Then, (2.1) is equivalent to the following two conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X \psi_+ &= (\alpha \wedge X^\flat)_+ = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \wedge X^\flat + \frac{1}{2}X \lrcorner * \alpha, \\ \nabla_X \psi_- &= (\alpha \wedge X^\flat)_- = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \wedge X^\flat - \frac{1}{2}X \lrcorner * \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the general identity:

$$(2.4) \quad *(X^\flat \wedge \phi) = (-1)^p X \lrcorner * \phi,$$

for any vector field  $X$  and any  $p$ -form  $\phi$  on any oriented Riemannian manifold. In particular,  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  are *conformally Killing*, cf. [13]. The datum of a (non-parallel)  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$  on  $(M, g)$  is then equivalent to the datum of a pair  $(\psi_+, \psi_-)$  consisting of a self-dual 2-form  $\psi_+$  and an anti-self-dual 2-form  $\psi_-$ , both conformally Killing and linked together by

$$(2.5) \quad d\psi_+ + d\psi_- = 0,$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(2.6) \quad \delta\psi_+ = \delta\psi_-.$$

We denote by  $\Psi, \Psi_+, \Psi_-$  the anti-symmetric endomorphisms of  $TM$  associated to  $\psi, \psi_+, \psi_-$  respectively via the metric  $g$ , so that  $g(\Psi(X), Y) = \psi(X, Y)$ ,  $g(\Psi_+(X), Y) = \psi_+(X, Y)$ ,  $g(\Psi_-(X), Y) = \psi_-(X, Y)$ . On the open set,  $M_0$ , of  $M$  where  $\Psi_+$  and  $\Psi_-$  have no zero, denote by  $J_+, J_-$  the corresponding almost complex structures:

$$(2.7) \quad J_+ := \frac{\Psi_+}{f_+}, \quad J_- := \frac{\Psi_-}{f_-},$$

where the positive functions  $f_+, f_-$  are defined by

$$(2.8) \quad f_+ := \frac{|\Psi_+|}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad f_- := \frac{|\Psi_-|}{\sqrt{2}}$$

(here, the norms  $|\Psi_+|, |\Psi_-|$ , are relative to the conformally invariant inner product defined on the space of anti-symmetric endomorphisms of  $TM$  by  $(A, B) := -\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A \circ B)$ ); the open set  $M_0$  is then defined by the condition

$$(2.9) \quad f_+ > 0, \quad f_- > 0.$$

Notice that  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  induce opposite orientations, hence commute to each other, so that the endomorphism

$$(2.10) \quad \tau := -J_+J_- = -J_-J_+,$$

is an involution of the tangent bundle of  $M_0$ .

From (2.1), we get

$$(2.11) \quad \nabla_X \Psi = \alpha \wedge X,$$

with the following general convention: for any 1-form  $\alpha$  and any vector field  $X$ ,  $\alpha \wedge X$  denotes the anti-symmetric endomorphism of  $TM$  defined by  $(\alpha \wedge X)(Y) = \alpha(Y)X - g(X, Y)\alpha^\sharp$ , where  $\alpha^\sharp$  is the dual vector field to  $\alpha$  relative to  $g$  (notice that the latter expression is actually independent of  $g$  in the conformal class  $[g]$  of  $g$ ). Equivalently:

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_X \Psi_+ = (\alpha \wedge X)_+, \quad \nabla_X \Psi_- = (\alpha \wedge X)_-.$$

We infer  $(\nabla_X \Psi_+, \Psi_+) = \frac{1}{2}(d|\Psi_+|^2)(X) = (\Psi_+, \alpha \wedge X) = (\Psi_+(\alpha))(X)$ , hence  $\Psi_+(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}d|\Psi_+|^2$ . Similarly,  $\Psi_-(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}d|\Psi_-|^2$ . By using (2.7), we then get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -2\Psi_+ \left( \frac{d|\Psi_+|}{|\Psi_+|} \right) = -2J_+df_+ \\ &= -2\Psi_- \left( \frac{d|\Psi_-|}{|\Psi_-|} \right) = -2J_-df_-. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.14) \quad J_+ df_+ = J_- df_-.$$

**Remark 2.1.** For any  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$  as above, denote by  $\Phi = \Psi_+ - \Psi_-$  the skew-symmetric endomorphism associated to the Killing 2-form  $\varphi = *\psi$  and by  $S$  the symmetric endomorphism defined by

$$(2.15) \quad S = -\frac{1}{2} \Phi \circ \Phi = \Psi_+ \circ \Psi_- + \frac{1}{2}(f_+^2 + f_-^2) \mathbf{I} = \frac{1}{2} \Psi \circ \Psi + (f_+^2 + f_-^2) \mathbf{I},$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  denotes the identity of  $TM$ . Then,  $S$  is *Killing* with respect to  $g$ , meaning that the symmetric part of  $\nabla S$  is zero or, equivalently, that  $g((\nabla_X S)X, X) = 0$  for any vector field  $X$ , cf. [11], [2, Appendix B]. This readily follows from the fact that  $\nabla_X \Phi(X) = X \lrcorner *(\alpha \wedge X) = 0$ , so that  $g(\nabla_X S(X), X) = -2g(\nabla_X \Phi(X), \Phi(X)) = 0$ , for any vector field  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The open subset  $M_0$  defined by (2.9) is dense in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $M_0^\pm$  the open set where  $f_\pm \neq 0$ , so that  $M_0 = M_0^+ \cap M_0^-$ . It is sufficient to show that each  $M_0^\pm$  is dense. If not,  $f_\pm = 0$  on some non-empty open set,  $V$ , of  $M$ , so that  $\psi_\pm = 0$  on  $V$ , hence is identically zero, since  $\psi_\pm$  is conformally Killing, cf. [13]; this, in turn, implies that  $\alpha$ , hence also  $\nabla\psi$ , is identically zero, in contradiction to the hypothesis that  $\psi$  is non-parallel.  $\square$

In view of the next proposition, we recall the following definition, taken from [2]:

**Definition 2.1** ([2]). An *ambikähler structure* on an oriented 4-manifold  $M$  consists of a pair of Kähler structures,  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+ = g_+(J_+ \cdot, \cdot))$  and  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_- = g_-(J_- \cdot, \cdot))$ , where the Riemannian metrics  $g_+, g_-$  belong to the same conformal class, i.e.  $g_- = f^2 g_+$ , for some positive function  $f$ , and the complex structure  $J_+$ , resp. the complex structure  $J_-$ , induces the chosen orientation, resp. the opposite orientation; equivalently, the Kähler forms  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$  are self-dual and anti-self-dual respectively.

We then have:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected, oriented, 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold, equipped with a non-parallel  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$  as above. Then, on the dense open subset,  $M_0$ , of  $M$  defined by (2.9), the pair  $(g, \psi)$  gives rise to an ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$ , with  $g_\pm = f_\pm^{-2} g$  and  $J_\pm = f_\pm^{-1} \Psi_\pm$ , by setting  $f_\pm = |\Psi_\pm|/\sqrt{2}$ . In particular, this ambikähler structure is equipped with two non-constant positive functions  $f_+, f_-$ , satisfying the two conditions*

$$(2.16) \quad f = \frac{f_+}{f_-},$$

where  $g_- = f^2 g_+$ , and

$$(2.17) \quad \tau(df_+) = df_-.$$

*Conversely, any ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  equipped with two non-constant positive functions  $f_+, f_-$  satisfying (2.16)–(2.17) arises from a unique pair  $(g, \psi)$ , where  $g$  is the Riemannian metric in the conformal class  $[g_+] = [g_-]$  defined by*

$$(2.18) \quad g = f_+^2 g_+ = f_-^2 g_-,$$

and  $\psi$  is the  $*$ -Killing 2-form relative to  $g$  defined by

$$(2.19) \quad \psi = f_+^3 \omega_+ + f_-^3 \omega_-.$$

*Proof.* Before starting the proof, we recall the following general facts. (i) For any two Riemannian metrics,  $g$  and  $\tilde{g} = \varphi^{-2}g$ , in a same conformal class, and for any anti-symmetric endomorphism,  $A$ , of the tangent bundle with respect to the conformal class  $[g] = [\tilde{g}]$ , the covariant derivatives  $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}A$  and  $\nabla^gA$  are related by

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla_X^{\tilde{g}}A = \nabla_X^gA + \left[ A, \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge X \right] = A \left( \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \right) \wedge X + \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge A(X),$$

by setting  $A \left( \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \right) = -\frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \circ A$ . (ii) For any 1-form  $\beta$  and any vector field  $X$ , we have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (\beta \wedge X)_+ &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \wedge X - \frac{1}{2}J_+\beta \wedge J_+X - \frac{1}{2}\beta(J_+X)J_+ \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \wedge X + \frac{1}{2}J_-\beta \wedge J_-X + \frac{1}{2}\beta(J_-X)J_-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} (\beta \wedge X)_- &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \wedge X - \frac{1}{2}J_-\beta \wedge J_-X - \frac{1}{2}\beta(J_-X)J_- \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \wedge X + \frac{1}{2}J_+\beta \wedge J_+X + \frac{1}{2}\beta(J_+X)J_+, \end{aligned}$$

for *any* orthogonal (almost) complex structures  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  inducing the chosen and the opposite orientation respectively.

From (2.7), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.21), we thus infer

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X J_+ &= -2 \left( J_+ \left( \frac{df_+}{|f_+|} \right) \wedge X \right)_+ - \frac{df_+}{f_+}(X) J_+ \\ &= -J_+ \left( \frac{df_+}{f_+} \right) \wedge X - \frac{df_+}{f_+} \wedge J_+X + \frac{df_+}{f_+}(X) J_+ - \frac{df_+}{f_+}(X) J_+ \\ &= -J_+ \left( \frac{df_+}{f_+} \right) \wedge X - \frac{df_+}{f_+} \wedge J_+X = \left[ \frac{df_+}{f_+} \wedge X, J_+ \right] \end{aligned}$$

which, by using (2.20), is equivalent to

$$(2.24) \quad \nabla^{g_+} J_+ = 0,$$

where  $\nabla^{g_+}$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the conformal metric  $g_+ = f_+^{-2}g$ , meaning that the pair  $(g_+, J_+)$  is *Kähler*. Similarly, we have

$$(2.25) \quad \nabla_X J_- = \left[ \frac{df_-}{f_-} \wedge X, J_- \right]$$

or, equivalently:

$$(2.26) \quad \nabla^{g_-} J_- = 0,$$

where  $\nabla^{g_-}$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the conformal metric  $g_- = f_-^{-2}g$ , meaning that the pair  $(g_-, J_-)$  is *Kähler* as well. We thus get on  $M_0$  an *ambikähler structure* in the sense of Definition 2.1. Moreover, because of (2.14),  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  evidently satisfy (2.16)–(2.17).

For the converse, define  $g$  by

$$(2.27) \quad g = f_+^2 g_+ = f_-^2 g_-$$

and denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . By defining  $\Psi_+ = f_+ J_+$ ,  $\Psi_- = f_- J_-$  and  $\Psi = \Psi_+ + \Psi_-$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.28) \quad \nabla_X \Psi_+ &= \nabla_X (f_+ J_+) \\
&= \nabla_X^{g_+} (f_+ J_+) + \left[ \frac{df_+}{f_+} \wedge X, f_+ J_+ \right] \\
&= df_+(X) J_+ - J_+ df_+ \wedge X - df_+ \wedge J_+ X \\
&= -2(J_+ df_+ \wedge X)_+.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(2.29) \quad \nabla_X \Psi_- = -2(J_- df_- \wedge X)_-.$$

By using (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.30) \quad \nabla_X \Psi = \alpha \wedge X,$$

with  $\alpha := -2J_+ df_+ = -2J_- df_-$ , meaning that the associated 2-form  $\psi(X, Y) := g(\Psi(X), Y)$ , is  $*$ -Killing. Finally  $\psi = f_+ g(J_+ \cdot, \cdot) + f_- g(J_- \cdot, \cdot) = f_+^3 \omega_+ + f_-^3 \omega_-$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** The fact that the pair  $(g_+ = f_+^{-2} g, J_+)$ , resp. the pair  $(g_- = f_-^{-2} g, J_-)$ , is Kähler only depends on, in fact is equivalent to,  $\Psi_+ = f_+ J_+$ , resp.  $\Psi_- = f_- J_-$ , being conformal Killing, i.e.  $\psi$  being conformally Killing. This was observed in a twistorial setting by M. Pontecorvo in [12], cf. also Appendix B2 in [2].

We now explain under which circumstances an ambikähler structure satisfies the conditions (2.16)–(2.17).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be an oriented 4-manifold equipped with an ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$ . Assume moreover that  $f$  is not constant. Then, on the open set where  $f \neq 1$ , there exist non-constant positive functions  $f_+, f_-$  satisfying (2.16)–(2.17) of Proposition 2.1 if and only if the 1-form*

$$(2.31) \quad \kappa := \frac{\tau(df)}{1 - f^2}$$

is exact.

*Proof.* For any ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$  and any positive functions  $f_+, f_-$  satisfying (2.16)–(2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.32) \quad (1 - f^2) \frac{df_+}{f_+} &= \frac{df}{f} + \tau(df), \\
(1 - f^2) \frac{df_-}{f_-} &= f df + \tau(df).
\end{aligned}$$

On the open set where  $f \neq 1$ , this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.33) \quad \frac{df_+}{f_+} &= \frac{df}{f(1 - f^2)} + \frac{\tau(df)}{(1 - f^2)}, \\
\frac{df_-}{f_-} &= \frac{f df}{(1 - f^2)} + \frac{\tau(df)}{(1 - f^2)};
\end{aligned}$$

in particular,  $\kappa$  is exact on this open set. Conversely, if  $\kappa$  is exact, but not identically zero, then  $\kappa = \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi}$ , for some, non-constant, positive function,  $\varphi$ , and we then define  $f_+, f_-$  by



$\frac{df_+}{f_+} = \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} + \frac{df}{f(1-f^2)}$  and  $\frac{df_-}{f_-} = \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} + \frac{f df}{(1-f^2)}$ , hence by  $f_+ := \frac{f\varphi}{|1-f^2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  and  $f_- := \frac{\varphi}{|1-f^2|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ , which clearly satisfy (2.16)–(2.17).  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** It follows from (2.32) that if  $f = k$ , where  $k$  is a constant different from 1, then  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  are constant and the corresponding  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$  is then parallel. More generally, the existence of a pair  $(g, \psi)$  inducing an ambikähler structure depends on the chosen relative scaling of the Kähler metrics. More precisely, if the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$  arises from a  $*$ -Killing 2-form in the conformal class, in the sense of Proposition 2.1, then for any positive constant  $k \neq 1$ , the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(\tilde{g}_- = k^2 g_-, J_-, k^2 \omega_-)$  does not arise from a  $*$ -Killing 2-form, unless  $\tau(df) = \pm df$ . This is because the 1-forms  $\frac{\tau(df)}{(1-f^2)}$  and  $\frac{\tau(df)}{(1-k^2 f^2)}$  would then be both closed, implying that  $\tau(df) = \phi df$  for some function  $\phi$ ; since  $|\tau(df)| = |df|$ , we would then have  $\phi = \pm 1$ .

The 1-form  $\kappa$  in Proposition 2.2 is clearly exact on the open set where  $f \neq 1$  whenever  $\tau(df) = df$  or  $\tau(df) = -df$ , and it readily follows from (2.33) that  $f_+, f_-$  are then given by

$$(2.34) \quad f_+ = \frac{cf}{|1-f|}, \quad f_- = \frac{c}{|1-f|} = \pm c + f_+,$$

if  $\tau(df) = df$ , or by

$$(2.35) \quad f_+ = \frac{cf}{1+f}, \quad f_- = \frac{c}{1+f} = c - f_+,$$

if  $\tau(df) = -df$ , for some positive constant  $c$ . If

$$(2.36) \quad TM_0 = T^+ \oplus T^-,$$

denotes the orthogonal splitting determined by  $\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the identity on  $T^+$  and minus the identity on  $T^-$  — equivalently,  $J_+, J_-$  coincide on  $T^+$  and are opposite on  $T^-$  — then  $\tau(df) = \pm df$  if and only if  $df|_{T^\mp} = 0$  and we also have:

**Proposition 2.3.** *The distribution  $T^\pm$  is involutive if and only if  $\tau(df) = \pm df$ .*

*Proof.* For a general ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$  and  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$ , with  $g_- = f^2 g_+$ , we have

$$(2.37) \quad \frac{df(Z)}{f} \omega_+(X, Y) = -\omega_+([X, Y], Z), \quad \frac{df(Z)}{f} \omega_-(X, Y) = \omega_-([X, Y], Z),$$

for any  $X, Y$  in  $T^+$  and any  $Z$  in  $T^-$ , and

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{df(Z)}{f} \omega_+(X, Y) = \omega_+([X, Y], Z), \quad \frac{df(Z)}{f} \omega_-(X, Y) = -\omega_-([X, Y], Z),$$

for any  $X, Y$  in  $T^-$  and any  $Z$  in  $T^+$ . This can be shown as follows. Suppose that  $X, Y$  are in  $T^+$  and  $Z$  is in  $T^-$ . Then, since the Kähler form  $\omega_+(\cdot, \cdot) = g_+(J_+\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\omega_-(\cdot, \cdot) = g_-(J_-\cdot, \cdot)$  are closed and  $T^+, T^-$  are  $\omega_+$ - and  $\omega_-$ -orthogonal, we have

$$(2.39) \quad Z \cdot \omega_+(X, Y) = \omega_+([X, Y], Z) + \omega_+([Y, Z], X) + \omega_+([Z, X], Y),$$

and

$$(2.40) \quad Z \cdot \omega_-(X, Y) = \omega_-([X, Y], Z) + \omega_-([Y, Z], X) + \omega_-([Z, X], Y),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(2.41) \quad Z \cdot (f^2 \omega_+(X, Y)) = -f^2 \omega_+([X, Y], Z) + f^2 \omega_+([Y, Z], X) + f^2 \omega_+([Z, X], Y),$$

or else:

$$(2.42) \quad 2 \frac{df(Z)}{f} \omega_+(X, Y) + Z \cdot \omega_+(X, Y) = \\ - \omega_+([X, Y], Z) + \omega_+([Y, Z], X) + \omega_+([Z, X], Y).$$

Comparing (2.39) and (2.42), we readily deduce the first identity in (2.37); the other three identities are checked similarly. Proposition 2.3 then readily follows from (2.37)–(2.38).  $\square$

In the following statement,  $M_0$  stills denotes the (dense) open subset of  $M$  defined by (2.9); we also denote by  $M_S$  the open subset of  $M$  defined by

$$(2.43) \quad f_+ \neq f_-,$$

on which  $\psi$  is a symplectic 2-form, and by  $M_1$  the intersection  $M_1 := M_0 \cap M_S$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented Riemannian 4-dimensional manifold admitting a non-parallel \*-Killing 2-form  $\psi$ . Denote by  $(g_+ = f_+^2 g, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f_-^2 g, J_-, \omega_-)$  the induced ambikähler structure on  $M_0$  as explained above. Then, on the open set  $M_1$ , the Ricci endomorphism, Ric, of  $g$  is  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant, hence of the form*

$$(2.44) \quad \text{Ric} = aI + b\tau,$$

for some functions  $a, b$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity of  $TM_1$  and  $\tau$  is defined by (2.10). Moreover, the vector field

$$(2.45) \quad K_1 := J_+ \text{grad}_g f_+ = J_- \text{grad}_g f_- = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^\sharp$$

is Killing with respect to  $g$  and preserves the whole ambikähler structure.

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the curvature tensor of  $g$ , defined by

$$(2.46) \quad R_{X,Y}Z := \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z,$$

for any vector field  $X, Y, Z$ . We denote by  $\text{Scal}$  its scalar curvature, by  $\text{Ric}_0$  the trace-free part of  $\text{Ric}$ , by  $W$  the Weyl tensor of  $g$ , and by  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  its self-dual and anti-self-dual part respectively. As in the previous section,  $\Psi$  denotes the skew-symmetric endomorphism of  $TM$  determined by  $\psi$ ,  $\Psi_+$  its self-dual part,  $\Psi_-$  its anti-self-dual part, with  $\Psi_+ = f_+ J_+$  and  $\Psi_- = f_- J_-$  on  $M_0$ . Since  $g = f_+^2 g_+ = f_-^2 g_-$ , where  $g_+$  and  $g_-$  are Kähler with respect to  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  respectively,  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are both degenerate and  $W^+(\Psi_+) = \lambda_+ \Psi_+$ ,  $W^-(\Psi_-) = \lambda_- \Psi_-$ , for some functions  $\lambda_+, \lambda_-$ . For any vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$ , the usual decomposition of the curvature tensor reads:

$$(2.47) \quad R_{X,Y}\Psi = [R(X \wedge Y), \Psi] \\ = \frac{\text{Scal}}{12} [X^b \wedge Y, \Psi] + \frac{1}{2} [\{\text{Ric}_0, X^b \wedge Y\}, \Psi] \\ + [W^+(X \wedge Y), \Psi_+] + [W^-(X \wedge Y), \Psi_-],$$

by setting  $\{\text{Ric}_0, X^b \wedge Y\} := \text{Ric}_0 \circ (X^b \wedge Y) + (X^b \wedge Y) \circ \text{Ric}_0 = \text{Ric}_0(X) \wedge Y + X \wedge \text{Ric}_0(Y)$ , cf. e.g. [5, Chapter 1, Section G]. On  $M_0$  we then have:

$$(2.48) \quad \frac{\text{Scal}}{12} [X \wedge Y, \Psi] = -\frac{\text{Scal}}{12} (\Psi(X) \wedge Y + X \wedge \Psi(Y)),$$

$$(2.49) \quad \frac{1}{2}[\{\text{Ric}_0, X \wedge Y\}, \Psi] = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \Psi(\text{Ric}_0(X)) \wedge Y + \text{Ric}_0(X) \wedge \Psi(Y) \right. \\ \left. + \Psi(X) \wedge \text{Ric}_0(Y) + X \wedge \Psi(\text{Ric}_0(Y)) \right),$$

and

$$(2.50) \quad W_{X,Y}^+ \Psi_+ = \frac{\lambda_+}{2} (\Psi_+(X) \wedge Y + X \wedge \Psi_+(Y)), \\ W_{X,Y}^- \Psi_- = \frac{\lambda_-}{2} (\Psi_-(X) \wedge Y + X \wedge \Psi_-(Y)).$$

We thus get

$$(2.51) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \lrcorner R_{e_i, Y} \Psi = \left( \lambda_+ - \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} \right) \Psi_+(Y) + \left( \lambda_- - \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} \right) \Psi_-(Y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}[\text{Ric}_0, \Psi](Y).$$

Similarly,

$$(2.52) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \lrcorner R_{e_i, Y} \Psi_+ = \left( \lambda_+ - \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} \right) \Psi_+(Y) + \frac{1}{2}[\text{Ric}_0, \Psi_+](Y)$$

and

$$(2.53) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \lrcorner R_{e_i, Y} \Psi_- = \left( \lambda_- - \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} \right) \Psi_-(Y) + \frac{1}{2}[\text{Ric}_0, \Psi_-](Y).$$

On the other hand, from (2.11), we get

$$(2.54) \quad R_{X,Y} \Psi = \nabla_Y \alpha \wedge X - \nabla_X \alpha \wedge Y,$$

hence

$$(2.55) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \lrcorner R_{e_i, Y} \Psi = -2\nabla_Y \alpha,$$

whereas, from (2.12), we obtain

$$(2.56) \quad R_{X,Y} \Psi_+ = (\nabla_Y \alpha \wedge X - \nabla_X \alpha \wedge Y)_+, \quad R_{X,Y} \Psi_- = (\nabla_Y \alpha \wedge X - \nabla_X \alpha \wedge Y)_-,$$

hence

$$(2.57) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_i \lrcorner R_{e_i, Y} \Psi_+ = -Y \lrcorner (\nabla \alpha)^s - Y \lrcorner (d\alpha)_+,$$

where  $(\nabla\alpha)^s$  denotes the symmetric part of  $\nabla\alpha$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner}(\nabla_Y\alpha \wedge e_i - \nabla_{e_i}\alpha \wedge Y)_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner}(\nabla_Y\alpha \wedge e_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner}(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha \wedge Y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner} * (\nabla_Y\alpha \wedge e_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner} * (\nabla_{e_i}\alpha \wedge Y) \\
(2.58) \qquad &= -\nabla_Y\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner} * (\nabla_{e_i}\alpha \wedge Y) \\
&= -\nabla_Y\alpha - \frac{1}{2} Y_{\lrcorner} * d\alpha = -Y_{\lrcorner}(\nabla\alpha)^s - Y_{\lrcorner}(d\alpha)_+,
\end{aligned}$$

as  $\delta\alpha = 0$  and  $e_{i\lrcorner} * (\nabla_Y\alpha \wedge e_i)$  is clearly equal to zero thanks to the general identity (2.4). We obtain similarly:

$$(2.59) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i\lrcorner} R_{e_i, Y} \Psi_- = -Y_{\lrcorner}(\nabla\alpha)^s - Y_{\lrcorner}(d\alpha)_-.$$

From the above, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.60) \qquad (d\alpha)_+ &= \left( \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} - \lambda_+ \right) \psi_+, \quad (d\alpha)_- = \left( \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} - \lambda_- \right) \psi_-, \\
(\nabla\alpha)^s &= -\frac{1}{2} [\text{Ric}_0, \Psi_+] = -\frac{1}{2} [\text{Ric}_0, \Psi_-].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.61) \qquad [\text{Ric}, \Psi_+] = [\text{Ric}, \Psi_-],$$

and that the vector field  $\alpha^{\sharp g}$  is Killing with respect to  $g$  if and only if  $[\text{Ric}, \Psi_+] = [\text{Ric}, \Psi_-] = 0$ . We now show that (2.61) actually *implies*  $[\text{Ric}, \Psi_+] = [\text{Ric}, \Psi_-] = 0$  at each point where  $f_+ \neq f_-$ . Indeed, in terms of the decomposition (1.4),  $\text{Ric}$ ,  $J_+$ ,  $J_-$  can be written in the following matricial form

$$(2.62) \qquad \text{Ric} = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ Q^* & R \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_+ = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_- = \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & -J \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $J$  denotes the restriction of  $J_+$  on  $T^+$  and on  $T^-$ , so that:

$$(2.63) \qquad [\text{Ric}_0, J_+] = \begin{pmatrix} [P, J] & [Q, J] \\ [Q^*, J] & [R, J] \end{pmatrix}, \quad [\text{Ric}_0, J_-] = \begin{pmatrix} [P, J] & -\{Q, J\} \\ \{Q^*, J\} & -[R, J] \end{pmatrix}$$

Then (2.61) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.64) \qquad (f_+ - f_-)[P, J] &= 0, \\
(f_+ + f_-)QJ &= (f_+ - f_-)JQ, \\
(f_+ + f_-)[R, J] &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_+ > 0$  and  $f_- > 0$  on  $M_0$ , from (2.64) we readily infer  $[R, J] = 0$  and  $Q = 0$ , meaning that

$$(2.65) \qquad \text{Ric} = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, on the open subset  $M_1 = M_0 \cap M_S$ , where  $f_+ - f_- \neq 0$ , we also infer from (2.64) that  $[P, J] = 0$ , hence that  $[\text{Ric}, J_+] = [\text{Ric}, J_-] = 0$ . By (2.60),  $(\nabla\alpha)^s = 0$ , meaning that the vector field  $K_1 := -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^\sharp = J_+\text{grad}_g f_+$  is Killing with respect to  $g$ . Notice that

$$(2.66) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= J_+\text{grad}_g f_+ = J_-\text{grad}_g f_- \\ &= -J_+\text{grad}_{g_+} \frac{1}{f_+} = -J_-\text{grad}_{g_-} \frac{1}{f_-}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $K_1$  is also Killing with respect to  $g_+$  and  $g_-$  and is (real) holomorphic with respect to  $J_+$  and  $J_-$ .  $\square$

### 3. SEPARATION OF VARIABLES

In this section we restrict our attention to the open subset  $M_1 := M_0 \cap M_S$ , defined by the conditions (2.9) and (2.43). Recall that since  $\psi \wedge \psi = \psi_+ \wedge \psi_+ + \psi_- \wedge \psi_- = 2(f_+ - f_-)v_g$ , where  $v_g$  denotes the volume form of  $g$  relative to the chosen orientation,  $M_S$  is the open subset of  $M$  where  $\psi$  is non-degenerate, hence a symplectic 2-form. According to Proposition 2.4, on  $M_1$  the Ricci tensor  $\text{Ric}$  is of the form (2.44), for some functions  $a, b$  and the vector field  $\alpha^\sharp$  is Killing; we then infer from (2.60) that  $\nabla\alpha^\sharp$  can be written as:

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla\alpha^\sharp = h_+ J_+ + h_- J_-,$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad h_+ := \frac{1}{2}f_+ \left( \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} - \lambda_+ \right), \quad h_- := \frac{1}{2}f_- \left( \frac{\text{Scal}}{6} - \lambda_- \right).$$

We then introduce the functions  $x, y$  defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x &:= \frac{f_+ + f_-}{2}, & y &:= \frac{f_+ - f_-}{2}, \\ f_+ &= x + y, & f_- &= x - y. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(2x, 2y)$ , resp.  $(2x, -2y)$ , are the eigenvalues of the Hermitian operator  $-J_+ \circ \Psi = f_+ I + f_- \tau$ , resp.  $-J_- \circ \Psi = f_+ \tau + f_- I$ , relative to the eigen-subbundle  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  respectively. From (2.9) and (2.43) we deduce that  $x, y$  are subject to the conditions

$$(3.4) \quad x > |y| > 0,$$

whereas, from (2.14), we infer

$$(3.5) \quad \tau(dx) = dx, \quad \tau(dy) = -dy.$$

In particular,  $dx, J_+ dx = J_- dx, dy$  and  $J_+ dy = -J_- dy$  are pairwise orthogonal and

$$(3.6) \quad |dx|^2 + |dy|^2 = |df_+|^2 = |df_-|^2, \quad |dx|^2 - |dy|^2 = (df_+, df_-).$$

We then have:

**Proposition 3.1.** *On each connected component of the open subset of  $M_1$  where  $dx \neq 0$  and  $dy \neq 0$ , the square norm of  $dx, dy$  and the Laplacians of  $x, y$  relative to  $g$  are given by*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |dx|^2 &= \frac{A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)}, & |dy|^2 &= \frac{B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)}, \\ \Delta x &= -\frac{A'(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)}, & \Delta y &= -\frac{B'(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B$  are functions of one variable.

*Proof.* By using (2.23) and (2.25) and setting  $g_\tau(X, Y) := g(\tau(X), Y)$ , we infer from (2.13) and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \nabla df_+ &= \left( -\frac{1}{2}h_+ + \frac{|df_+|^2}{f_+} \right) g - \frac{1}{2}h_- g_\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{f_+} (df_+ \otimes df_+ + J_+ df_+ \otimes J_+ df_+), \\
\nabla df_- &= \left( -\frac{1}{2}h_- + \frac{|df_-|^2}{f_-} \right) g - \frac{1}{2}h_+ g_\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{f_-} (df_- \otimes df_- + J_- df_- \otimes J_- df_-).
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the functions  $x, y$ , this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad \nabla dx &= \left( \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (|dx|^2 + |dy|^2) - \frac{1}{4}(h_+ + h_-) \right) g - \frac{1}{4}(h_+ + h_-) g_\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dy + dy \otimes dx) \\
&\quad - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} J_+(dx + dy) \otimes J_+(dx + dy), \\
\nabla dy &= -\left( \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (|dx|^2 + |dy|^2) + \frac{1}{4}(h_+ - h_-) \right) g + \frac{1}{4}(h_+ - h_-) g_\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dy + dy \otimes dx) \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (J_+(dx + dy) \otimes J_+(dx + dy)).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \Delta x &= (h_+ + h_-) - \frac{2x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (|dx|^2 + |dy|^2), \\
\Delta y &= (h_+ - h_-) + \frac{2y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (|dx|^2 + |dy|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation, we temporarily put

$$(3.11) \quad F := |dx|^2, \quad G := |dy|^2.$$

By contracting  $\nabla dx$  by  $dx$  and  $\nabla dy$  by  $dy$  in (3.9), and taking (3.10) into account, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad dF &= -\left( \Delta x + \frac{2x F}{(x^2 - y^2)} \right) dx + \frac{2y F}{(x^2 - y^2)} dy, \\
dG &= -\frac{2x G}{(x^2 - y^2)} dx - \left( \Delta y - \frac{2y G}{(x^2 - y^2)} \right) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad d((x^2 - y^2) F) &= -((x^2 - y^2) \Delta x) dx, \\
d((x^2 - y^2) G) &= -((x^2 - y^2) \Delta y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $(x^2 - y^2) F = A(x)$ , for some (smooth) function  $A$  of one variable and that  $A'(x) = -(x^2 - y^2) \Delta x$ ; likewise,  $(x^2 - y^2) G = B(y)$  and  $B'(y) = -(x^2 - y^2) \Delta y$ .  $\square$

A simple computation using (3.10) shows that in terms of  $A, B$ , the functions  $h_+, h_-$  appearing in (3.1) and their derivatives  $dh_+, dh_-$  have the following expressions:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} h_+ &= -\frac{A'(x) + B'(y)}{2(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{(x - y)(A(x) + B(y))}{(x^2 - y^2)^2}, \\ h_- &= -\frac{A'(x) - B'(y)}{2(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{(x + y)(A(x) + B(y))}{(x^2 - y^2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} dh_+ &= -\frac{A''(x)dx + B''(y)dy}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \\ &+ \frac{A'(x)((2x - y)dx - ydy) + B'(y)(xdx + (x - 2y)dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)^2} \\ &- \frac{(A(x) + B(y))(x - y)((3x - y)dx + (x - 3y)dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} dh_- &= -\frac{A''(x)dx - B''(y)dy}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \\ &+ \frac{A'(x)((2x + y)dx - ydy) + B'(y)(-xdx + (x + 2y)dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)^2} \\ &- \frac{(A(x) + B(y))(x + y)((3x + y)dx - (x + 3y)dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular:

$$(3.17) \quad J_+dh_+ - J_-dh_- = \left( \frac{h_+}{f_+} - \frac{h_-}{f_-} \right).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The vector fields*

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &:= J_+\text{grad}_g(x + y) = J_-\text{grad}_g(x - y) \\ &= J_+\text{grad}_{g_+} \left( \frac{-1}{x + y} \right) = J_-\text{grad}_{g_-} \left( \frac{-1}{x - y} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(which is equal to the vector field  $K_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^\sharp$  appearing in Proposition 2.4), and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2 &:= y^2 J_+\text{grad}_g x + x^2 J_+\text{grad}_g y = y^2 J_-\text{grad}_g x - x^2 J_-\text{grad}_g y \\ &= J_+\text{grad}_{g_+} \left( \frac{xy}{x + y} \right) = J_-\text{grad}_{g_-} \left( \frac{-xy}{x - y} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are Killing with respect to  $g, g_+, g_-$  and Hamiltonian with respect to  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ . The momenta,  $\mu_1^+, \mu_2^+$  of  $K_1, K_2$  with respect to  $\omega_+$ , and the momenta,  $\mu_1^-, \mu_2^-$ , of  $K_1, K_2$  with respect to  $\omega_-$ , are given by

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_1^+ &= \frac{-1}{x + y}, & \mu_2^+ &= \frac{xy}{x + y}, \\ \mu_1^- &= \frac{-1}{x - y}, & \mu_2^- &= \frac{-xy}{x - y}, \end{aligned}$$

and Poisson commute with respect to  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ , meaning that  $\omega_{\pm}(K_1, K_2) = 0$ , so that  $[K_1, K_2] = 0$  as well. In particular, on the open set  $M_1$ , the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  is ambitoric in the sense of [2, Definition 3].

*Proof.* In terms of  $A, B$ , (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) \quad \nabla dx &= \frac{1}{4(x^2 - y^2)^2} \left( 2x(A(x) + B(y)) + (x^2 - y^2)A'(x) \right) g \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4(x^2 - y^2)^2} \left( 2x(A(x) + B(y)) - (x^2 - y^2)A'(x) \right) g_{\tau} \\
&\quad - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dy + dy \otimes dx) \\
&\quad - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} J_+(dx + dy) \otimes J_+(dx + dy), \\
\nabla dy &= \frac{1}{4(x^2 - y^2)^2} \left( -2y(A(x) + B(y)) + (x^2 - y^2)B'(y) \right) g \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4(x^2 - y^2)^2} \left( 2y(A(x) + B(y)) + (x^2 - y^2)B'(y) \right) g_{\tau} \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) - \frac{x}{(x^2 - y^2)} (dx \otimes dy + dy \otimes dx) \\
&\quad + \frac{y}{(x^2 - y^2)} J_+(dx + dy) \otimes J_+(dx + dy).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking (2.23)–(2.25) into account, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad \nabla(J_+ dx) &= \frac{1}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \left( \frac{(2y - x)A(x) + xB(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{A'(x)}{2} \right) g(J_+, \cdot) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \left( \frac{x A(x) + x B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} - \frac{A'(x)}{2} \right) g(J_-, \cdot) \\
&\quad - \frac{y dx \wedge J_+ dx + x dy \wedge J_+ dy}{(x^2 - y^2)} \\
&\quad + \frac{x(dx \otimes J_+ dy + J_+ dy \otimes dx) + y(dy \otimes J_+ dx + J_+ dx \otimes dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad \nabla(J_+ dy) &= \frac{1}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \left( \frac{(-y)A(x) + (y - 2x)B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{B'(y)}{2} \right) g(J_+, \cdot) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2(x^2 - y^2)} \left( \frac{y A(x) + y B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{B'(y)}{2} \right) g(J_-, \cdot) \\
&\quad + \frac{y dx \wedge J_+ dx + x dy \wedge J_+ dy}{(x^2 - y^2)} \\
&\quad - \frac{x(dx \otimes J_+ dy + J_+ dy \otimes dx) + y(dy \otimes J_+ dx + J_+ dx \otimes dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$



In particular, the symmetric parts of  $\nabla(J_+dx)$  and  $\nabla(J_+dy)$  are opposite and given by

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla(J_+dx))^s &= -(\nabla(J_+dy))^s = \frac{x(dx \otimes J_+dy + J_+dy \otimes dx)}{(x^2 - y^2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{y(dy \otimes J_+dx + J_+dx \otimes dy)}{(x^2 - y^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric parts of  $\nabla(J_+dx + J_+dy)$  and of  $\nabla(y^2J_+dx + x^2J_+dy) = y^2\nabla(J_+dx) + x^2\nabla(J_+dy) + 2dy \otimes J_+dx + 2x dx \otimes J_+dy$  then clearly vanish, meaning that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are Killing with respect to  $g$ . In view of the expressions of  $K_1, K_2$  as symplectic gradients in (3.18)–(3.19),  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are Hamiltonian with respect to  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ , their momenta are those given by (3.20) and their Poisson bracket with respect to  $\omega_{\pm}$  is equal to  $\omega_{\pm}(K_1, K_2)$ , which is zero, since  $dx$  lives in the dual of  $T^+$  and  $dy$  in the dual of  $T^-$ . This, in turn, implies that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  commute.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** As already observed, the Killing vector field  $K_1$  appearing in Proposition 3.2 is the restriction to  $M_1$  of the smooth vector field, also denoted by  $K_1$ , appearing in Proposition 2.4, which is defined on the whole manifold  $M$  by

$$(3.25) \quad K_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^\sharp = -\frac{1}{6}\delta\Psi.$$

Similarly, it is easily checked that  $K_2$  is the restriction to  $M_1$  of the smooth vector field, still denoted by  $K_2$ , defined on  $M$  by

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2 &= -\frac{1}{8}\delta((f_+^2 - f_-^2)(\Psi_+ - \Psi_-)) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(\Psi_+ - \Psi_-)(\text{grad}_g(f_+^2 - f_-^2)) \end{aligned}$$

(recall that the Killing 2-form  $\varphi = \psi_+ - \psi_- = *\psi$  is co-closed). It is also easily checked that  $K_2$  and  $K_1$  are related by

$$(3.27) \quad K_2 = \frac{1}{2}S(K_1),$$

where, we recall,  $S$  denotes the *Killing* symmetric endomorphism defined by (2.15) in Remark 2.1; this is because, on the dense open subset  $M_0$ ,  $S$  can be rewritten as

$$(3.28) \quad S = -(x^2 - y^2)\tau + (x^2 + y^2)\mathbf{I},$$

whereas  $K_1^\flat = J_+(dx + dy)$ , so that  $S(K_1^\flat) = 2y^2J_+dx + 2x^2J_+dy = 2K_2^\flat$ ; we thus get (3.27) on  $M_0$ , hence on  $M$ . In view of (3.27), the fact that  $K_2$  is Killing can then be alternatively deduced from [8, Lemma B], cf. also the proof of [2, Proposition 11 (iii)].

In view of the above, we eventually get the following rough classification:

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any connected, oriented, 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  admitting a non-parallel  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$ , the open subset  $M_S$  defined by (2.43) is either empty or dense and we have one of the following three mutually exclusive cases:*

- (1)  $M_S$  is dense; the vector fields  $K_1, K_2$  are Killing and linearly independent on a dense open set of  $M$ , or
- (2)  $M_S$  is dense; the vector fields  $K_1, K_2$  are Killing and  $K_2 = cK_1$ , for some non-zero real number  $c$ , or

- (3)  $M_S$  is empty, i.e.  $\psi$  is decomposable everywhere; then,  $K_2$  is identically zero, whereas  $K_1$  is non-identically zero and is not a Killing vector field in general.

*Proof.* Being Killing on  $M_0 \cap M_S$  and zero on any open set where  $f_+ = f_-$ ,  $K_2$  is Killing everywhere on  $M$ . We next observe that, for any  $x$  in  $M_S$ ,  $K_2(x) = 0$  if and only if  $K_1(x) = 0$ , as readily follows from (3.27) and from the fact that  $S$  is invertible if and only if  $x$  belongs to  $M_S$ , as the eigenvalues of  $S$  are equal to  $\frac{(f_+ + f_-)^2}{2}$  and  $\frac{(f_+ - f_-)^2}{2}$ .

Suppose now that  $M_S$  is not dense in  $M$ , i.e. that  $M \setminus M_S$  contains some non-empty open subset  $V$ ; then,  $K_2$  vanishes on  $V$ , hence vanishes identically on  $M$ , as  $K_2$  is Killing; from (3.26), we then infer  $0 = \Psi(K_2) = \frac{1}{8}(f_+^2 - f_-^2)\text{grad}_g(f_+^2 - f_-^2)$ , which implies that the (smooth) function  $(f_+^2 - f_-^2)^2$  is *constant* on  $M$ , hence identically zero, meaning that  $M_S$  is empty. If  $M_S$  is empty, then  $f_+ = f_-$  everywhere (equivalently,  $\psi \wedge \psi$  is identically zero); it follows that  $K_2$  is identically zero, whereas  $K_1$ , which is not identically zero since  $\psi$  is not parallel, is not Killing in general, cf. Section 6.

If  $M_S$  is dense, then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are both Killing vector fields on  $M$ , hence either linearly independent on some dense open subset of  $M$  or dependent everywhere and, by the above discussion,  $K_2$  is then a constant, non-zero multiple of  $K_1$ .  $\square$

In the next sections we consider in turn the three cases listed in Proposition 3.3.

#### 4. THE AMBITORIC ANSATZ

In this section, we assume that  $M_S$  is dense and that  $K_1, K_2$  are linearly independent on some dense open set  $\mathcal{U}$ . In the remainder of this section, we focus our attention on  $\mathcal{U}$ , i.e. we assume that  $dx$  and  $dy$  are linearly independent everywhere — equivalently,  $\tau(df) \neq \pm df$  everywhere — so that  $\{dx, J_+dx = J_-dx, dy, J_+dy = -J_-dy\}$  form a direct orthogonal coframe. By Proposition 3.1, the metric  $g$  and the Kähler forms  $\omega_+, \omega_-$  can then be written as

$$(4.1) \quad g = (x^2 - y^2) \left( \frac{dx \otimes dx}{A(x)} + \frac{dy \otimes dy}{B(y)} \right) + (x^2 - y^2) \left( \frac{J_+dx \otimes J_+dx}{A(x)} + \frac{J_+dy \otimes J_+dy}{B(y)} \right),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_+ &= \frac{(x - y)}{(x + y)} \left( \frac{dx \wedge J_+dx}{A(x)} + \frac{dy \wedge J_+dy}{B(y)} \right), \\ \omega_- &= \frac{(x + y)}{(x - y)} \left( \frac{dx \wedge J_+dx}{A(x)} - \frac{dy \wedge J_+dy}{B(y)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we also have:

**Proposition 4.1.** *The functions  $\text{Scal} = 4a$  and  $b$  appearing in the expression (2.44) of the Ricci tensor of  $g$  are given by:*

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Scal} = -\frac{A''(x) + B''(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)},$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad b = -\frac{A''(x) - B''(y)}{4(x^2 - y^2)} + \frac{x A'(x) + y B'(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)^2} - \frac{A(x) + B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)^2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha^\sharp$  is Killing, the Bochner formula reads:

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Ric}(\alpha^\sharp) = \delta \nabla \alpha^\sharp$$

whereas, by (2.44),

$$(4.6) \quad \text{Ric}(\alpha^\sharp) = a \alpha^\sharp + b \tau(\alpha^\sharp).$$

By using

$$(4.7) \quad \alpha = f_+ \delta J_+ = f_- \delta J_-,$$

which easily follows from (2.23)–(2.25), we infer from (3.1) that

$$(4.8) \quad \delta \nabla \alpha^\sharp = \frac{h_+}{f_+} \alpha + \frac{h_-}{f_-} \alpha - J_+ dh_+ - J_- dh_-.$$

By putting together (4.5), (4.8) and (3.17), we get

$$(4.9) \quad a \alpha + b \tau(\alpha) = 2 \left( \frac{h_+}{f_+} \alpha - J_+ dh_+ \right) = 2 \left( \frac{h_-}{f_-} \alpha - J_- dh_- \right),$$

hence

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} dh_+ &= \left( a - 2 \frac{h_+}{f_+} + b \right) dx + \left( a - 2 \frac{h_+}{f_+} - b \right) dy, \\ dh_- &= \left( a - 2 \frac{h_-}{f_-} + b \right) dx + \left( -a + 2 \frac{h_-}{f_-} + b \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We thus get

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h_+}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h_+}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{2h_+}{x+y} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h_-}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h_-}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{2h_-}{x+y} \\ b &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h_+}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h_+}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h_-}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h_-}{\partial y} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.14), we obtain (4.3) and (4.4).  $\square$

Recall that a function  $\varphi$  is called  $J_+$ -pluriharmonic if  $d(J_+ d\varphi) = 0$  and  $J_-$ -pluriharmonic if  $d(J_- d\varphi) = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** (i) *The space of real  $J_+$ -pluriharmonic functions, modulo additive constants, of the form  $\varphi^+ = \varphi^+(x, y)$  is generated by  $\varphi_1^+, \varphi_2^+$  defined by:*

$$(4.12) \quad \varphi_1^+(x, y) = \int^x \frac{\zeta^2 d\zeta}{A(\zeta)} - \int^y \frac{\zeta^2 d\zeta}{B(\zeta)}, \quad \varphi_2^+(x, y) = \int^x \frac{d\zeta}{A(\zeta)} - \int^y \frac{d\zeta}{B(\zeta)},$$

where  $\int^x$ , resp.  $\int^y$ , stands for any primitive of the variable  $x$ , resp.  $y$ .

(ii) *The space of real  $J_-$ -pluriharmonic functions, modulo additive constants, of the form  $\varphi^- = \varphi^-(x, y)$  is generated by  $\varphi_1^-, \varphi_2^-$  defined by:*

$$(4.13) \quad \varphi_1^-(x, y) = \int^x \frac{\zeta^2 d\zeta}{A(\zeta)} + \int^y \frac{\zeta^2 d\zeta}{B(\zeta)}, \quad \varphi_2^-(x, y) = \int^x \frac{d\zeta}{A(\zeta)} + \int^y \frac{d\zeta}{B(\zeta)}.$$

*Proof.* From (3.22)–(3.23), we readily infer the following expression of  $d(J_{\pm}dx)$  and  $d(J_{\pm}dy)$ :

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} d(J_+dx) = d(J_-dx) &= \left( \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} - \frac{2x}{x^2 - y^2} \right) dx \wedge J_+dx \\ &+ \frac{2y A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2) B(y)} dy \wedge J_+dy, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} d(J_+dy) = -d(J_-dy) &= -\frac{2x B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2) A(x)} dx \wedge J_+dx \\ &+ \left( \frac{B'(y)}{B(y)} + \frac{2y}{x^2 - y^2} \right) dy \wedge J_+dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varphi = \varphi(x, y)$  be any function of  $x, y$  and denote by  $\varphi_x, \varphi_y, \varphi_{xx}, \text{etc.}$  its derivative with respect to  $x, y$ . Then

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} d(J_+d\varphi) &= \varphi_x d(J_+dx) + \varphi_y d(J_+dy) \\ &+ \varphi_{xx} dx \wedge J_+dx + \varphi_{yy} dy \wedge J_+dy \\ &+ \varphi_{xy} (dx \wedge J_+dy + dy \wedge J_+dx). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.14)–(4.15),  $\varphi$  is  $J_+$ -pluriharmonic if and only if  $\varphi_{xy} = 0$  — meaning that  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi(x, y) = C(x) + D(y)$  — and  $C, D$  satisfy

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} C''(x) + \left( \frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} - \frac{2x}{x^2 - y^2} \right) C'(x) - \frac{2x B(y) D'(y)}{(x^2 - y^2) A(x)} &= 0, \\ D''(y) + \left( \frac{B'(y)}{B(y)} + \frac{2y}{x^2 - y^2} \right) D'(y) + \frac{2y A(x) C'(x)}{(x^2 - y^2) B(y)} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, by multiplying the first equation by  $A(x)$  and the second by  $B(y)$ , which are both positive, and by setting  $F(x) := A(x)C'(x)$  and  $G(y) := B(y)D'(y)$ :

$$(4.18) \quad F'(x) - \frac{2x(F(x) + G(y))}{x^2 - y^2} = 0, \quad G'(y) + \frac{2y(F(x) + G(y))}{x^2 - y^2} = 0.$$

It is easily checked that the general solution of this system is given by:

$$(4.19) \quad F(x) = k_1 x^2 + k_2, \quad G(y) = -k_1 y^2 - k_2,$$

for real constants  $k_1, k_2$ . We thus get Part (i) of Proposition 4.2. Part (ii) is obtained similarly.  $\square$

In view of Proposition 4.2, we (locally) define  $s$  and  $t$ , up to additive constants, by

$$(4.20) \quad J_+d\varphi_1^+ = J_-d\varphi_1^- = ds, \quad J_+d\varphi_2^+ = J_-d\varphi_2^- = -dt.$$

Equivalently:

$$(4.21) \quad ds = \frac{x^2 J_+dx}{A(x)} - \frac{y^2 J_+dy}{B(y)}, \quad dt = -\frac{J_+dx}{A(x)} + \frac{J_+dy}{B(y)}.$$

Notice that  $ds \wedge dt = \frac{(x^2 - y^2)}{A(x)B(y)} J_+dx \wedge J_+dy$ ; it then follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$(4.22) \quad dx \wedge dy \wedge ds \wedge dt = \frac{v_g}{(x^2 - y^2)},$$

where  $v_g$  denotes the volume form of  $g$  with respect to the orientation induced by  $J_+$ , showing that  $dx, dy, ds, dt$  form a direct coframe. In view of (4.1), (4.2), (4.21), on the open set where  $x, y, s, t$  form a coordinate system, the metrics  $g, g_+, g_-$ , the complex structures  $J_+, J_-$ , the involution  $\tau$  and the Kähler forms  $\omega_+, \omega_-$  have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) \quad g &= (x^2 - y^2) \left( \frac{dx \otimes dx}{A(x)} + \frac{dy \otimes dy}{B(y)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + y^2 dt) \otimes (ds + y^2 dt) \\
&+ \frac{B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + x^2 dt) \otimes (ds + x^2 dt) \\
&= (x + y)^2 g_+ = (x - y)^2 g_-
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad J_+ dx &= J_- dx = \frac{A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + y^2 dt) \\
J_+ dy &= -J_- dy = \frac{B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + x^2 dt) \\
J_+ dt &= \frac{dx}{A(x)} - \frac{dy}{B(y)}, \quad J_- dt = \frac{dx}{A(x)} + \frac{dy}{B(y)} \\
J_+ ds &= -\frac{x^2 dx}{A(x)} + \frac{y^2 dy}{B(y)}, \quad J_- ds = -\frac{x^2 dx}{A(x)} - \frac{y^2 dy}{B(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad \tau(dx) &= dx, \quad \tau(dy) = -dy \\
\tau(ds) &= \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{(x^2 - y^2)} ds + \frac{2x^2 y^2}{(x^2 - y^2)} dt \\
\tau(dt) &= \frac{-2}{(x^2 - y^2)} ds - \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{(x^2 - y^2)} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.26) \quad \omega_+ &= \frac{dx \wedge (ds + y^2 dt) + dy \wedge (ds + x^2 dt)}{(x + y)^2}; \\
\omega_- &= \frac{dx \wedge (ds + y^2 dt) - dy \wedge (ds + x^2 dt)}{(x - y)^2};
\end{aligned}$$

while it follows from (2.19) that the \*-Killing 2-form  $\psi$  is given by

$$(4.27) \quad \psi = 2x dx \wedge (ds + y^2 dt) + 2y dy \wedge (ds + x^2 dt).$$

Notice that, in view of (4.23), the (local) vector fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  are Killing with respect to  $g$  and respectively coincide with the Killing vector fields  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  appearing in Proposition 3.2 on their domain of definition.

It turns out that the expressions of  $(g_+ = (x+y)^{-2} g, J_+, \omega_+)$  and  $(g_- = (x-y)^{-2} g, J_-, \omega_-)$  just obtained coincide with the *ambitoric Ansatz* described in [2, Theorem 3], in the case where the quadratic polynomial is  $q(z) = 2z$ , which is the *normal form* of the ambitoric Ansatz in the *hyperbolic* case considered in [2, Paragraph 5.4].

The discussion in this section can then be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected, oriented, 4-dimensional manifold admitting a non-parallel,  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$  and assume that the open set,  $M_S$ , where  $|\psi_+| \neq |\psi_-|$  is dense, cf. Proposition 3.3. On the open subset,  $\mathcal{U}$ , of  $M_S$  where  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  have no zero and  $d|\psi_+| \wedge d|\psi_-| \neq 0$ , the pair  $(g, \psi)$  gives rise to an ambitoric structure of hyperbolic type, in the sense of [2], relative to the conformal class of  $g$ , which, on any simply-connected open subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ , is described by (4.23)–(4.24)–(4.26), where the Hermitian structures  $(g_+ = (x+y)^{-2}g, J_+, \omega_+)$  and  $(g_- = (x-y)^{-2}g, J_-, \omega_-)$  are Kähler, while  $\psi$  is described by (4.27).*

*Conversely, on the open set,  $\mathcal{U}$ , of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , of coordinates  $x, y, s, t$ , with  $x > |y| > 0$ , the two almost Hermitian structures  $(g_+ = (x+y)^{-2}g, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = (x-y)^{-2}g, J_-, \omega_-)$  defined by (4.23)–(4.24)–(4.26), with  $A(x) > 0$  and  $B(y) > 0$ , are Kähler and, together with the Killing vector fields  $K_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  and  $K_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , constitute an ambitoric structure of hyperbolic type, while the 2-form  $\psi$  defined by (4.27) is  $*$ -Killing with respect to  $g$ .*

*Proof.* The first part follows from the preceding discussion. For the converse, we first observe that the 2-forms  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$  defined by (4.26) are clearly closed and not degenerate. To test the integrability of the almost complex structures  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  defined by (4.24), we consider the complex 1-forms:

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_+ &= dx + i J_+ dx = dx + i \frac{A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + y^2 dt), \\ \gamma_+ &= dy + i J_+ dy = dy + i \frac{B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + x^2 dt), \end{aligned}$$

which generate the space of  $(1, 0)$ -forms with respect to  $J_+$ . We then have:

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} d\beta_+ &= i \frac{((x^2 - y^2) A'(x) + x A(x))}{(x^2 - y^2)} dx \wedge (ds + y^2 dt) \\ &\quad + i \frac{2y A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} dy \wedge (ds + x^2 dt) \\ &= \frac{(A'(x) - 2x A(x))}{A(x)} dx \wedge \beta_+ + \frac{2y A(x)}{B(y)} dy \wedge \gamma_+, \\ d\gamma_+ &= i \frac{((x^2 - y^2) B'(y) + 2y B(y))}{(x^2 - y^2)} dy \wedge (ds + x^2 dt) \\ &\quad - i \frac{2x B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} dx \wedge (ds + y^2 dt) \\ &= \frac{(B'(y) + 2y B(y))}{B(y)} dy \wedge \gamma_+ - \frac{2x B(y)}{A(x)} dx \wedge \beta_+, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $J_+$  is integrable. For  $J_-$ , we likewise consider the complex 1-forms:

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_- &= dx + i J_- dx = \beta_+ = dx + i \frac{A(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + y^2 dt), \\ \gamma_- &= dy + i J_- dy = dy - i \frac{B(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + x^2 dt), \end{aligned}$$

which generate the space of  $(1, 0)$ -forms with respect to  $J_+$ . We then get

$$\begin{aligned}
d\beta_- &= d\beta_+ \\
&= \frac{(A'(x) - 2x A(x))}{A(x)} dx \wedge \beta_- - \frac{2y A(x)}{B(y)} dy \wedge \gamma_-, \\
d\gamma_- &= -d\gamma_+ \\
&= \frac{(B'(y) + 2y B(y))}{B(y)} dy \wedge \gamma_- + \frac{2x B(y)}{A(x)} dx \wedge \beta_-,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.31}$$

which, again, shows that  $J_-$  is integrable. It follows that the almost Hermitian structures  $(g_+ = (x+y)^{-2}g, J_+, \omega_+)$  and  $(g_- = (x-y)^{-2}g, J_-, \omega_-)$  are both Kähler and thus determine an *ambikähler structure* on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Moreover, the vector fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  are clearly Killing with respect to  $g, g_+, g_-$ , and satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \lrcorner \omega_+ &= -\frac{dx + dy}{(x+y)^2} = d\left(\frac{1}{x+y}\right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \lrcorner \omega_- &= \frac{-dx + dy}{(x-y)^2} = d\left(\frac{1}{x-y}\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lrcorner \omega_+ &= -\frac{y^2 dx + x^2 dy}{(x+y)^2} = -d\left(\frac{xy}{x+y}\right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lrcorner \omega_- &= -\frac{y^2 dx - x^2 dy}{(x-y)^2} = d\left(\frac{xy}{x-y}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.32}$$

meaning that they are both Hamiltonian with respect to  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ , with momenta given by (3.20) in Proposition 3.2. This implies that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  preserve the two Kähler structures  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$  and  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  and actually coincide with the vector field  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively defined in a more general context in Proposition 3.2. We thus end up with an *ambitoric structure*, as defined in [2]. According to Theorem 3 in [2], it is an *ambitoric structure of hyperbolic type*, with “quadratic polynomial”  $q(z) = 2z$ . To check that the 2-form  $\psi$  defined by (4.27) — which is evidently closed — is  $*$ -Killing with respect to  $g$ , denote by  $f_+, f_-$  the positive functions on  $\mathcal{U}$  defined by  $f_+ = x+y, f_- = x-y$ , so that  $g_+ = f_+^{-2}g, g_- = f_-^{-2}g$  and  $\psi = f_+^3 \omega_+ + f_-^3 \omega_-$ ; it then follows from (4.25) that  $\tau(df_+) = df_-$ , hence that  $\psi$  is  $*$ -Killing by Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

## 5. AMBIKÄHLER STRUCTURES OF CALABI TYPE

The second case listed in Proposition 3.3, which is considered in this section, can be made more explicit via the following proposition:

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected, oriented, Riemannian 4-manifold admitting a non-parallel  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$ . In view of Proposition 3.3, assume that the open set  $M_\psi$  — where  $\psi$  is non-degenerate — is dense in  $M$  and that the Killing vector fields  $K_1, K_2$  defined by (3.25)–(3.26) are related by  $K_2 = cK_1$ , for some non-zero real number  $c$ . Then,  $c$  is positive and one of the following three cases occurs:*

- (1)  $f_+(x) + f_-(x) = 2\sqrt{c}$ , for any  $x$  in  $M$ , or
- (2)  $f_+(x) - f_-(x) = 2\sqrt{c}$ , for any  $x$  in  $M$ , or
- (3)  $f_-(x) - f_+(x) = 2\sqrt{c}$ , for any  $x$  in  $M$ ,

with the usual notation:  $f_+ = |\psi_+|/\sqrt{2}$  and  $f_- = |\psi_-|/\sqrt{2}$ .

*Proof.* First recall that  $(\Psi_+ + \Psi_-) \circ (\Psi_+ - \Psi_-) = -(f_+^2 - f_-^2)I$ . From (3.26) and  $K_1 = J_+ \text{grad}_g f_+ = J_- \text{grad}_g f_-$ , we then infer

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi(K_1) &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{grad}_g (f_+^2 + f_-^2), \\ \Psi(K_2) &= -\frac{1}{16} \text{grad}_g \left( (f_+^2 - f_-^2)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On  $M_S$ , where  $\Psi$  is invertible, the identity  $K_2 = cK_1$  then reads:

$$(5.2) \quad (f_+^2 - f_-^2)d(f_+^2 - f_-^2) = 4c(df_+^2 + df_-^2),$$

or, else:

$$(5.3) \quad (f_+^2 - f_-^2 - 4c)df_+^2 = (f_+^2 - f_-^2 + 4c)df_-^2.$$

Since  $|df_+| = |df_-|$  on  $M_0$ , on  $M_1 = M_0 \cap M_S$  we then get:

$$(5.4) \quad f_+^2(f_+^2 - f_-^2 - 4c)^2 - f_-^2(f_+^2 - f_-^2 + 4c)^2 = 0.$$

Since  $M_1$  is dense this identity actually holds on the whole manifold  $M$ . It can be rewritten as

$$(5.5) \quad (f_+^2 - f_-^2)((f_+ + f_-)^2 - 4c)((f_+ - f_-)^2 - 4c) = 0;$$

this forces  $c$  to be positive — if not,  $f_+^2 - f_-^2$  would be identically zero — and we eventually get the identity:

$$(5.6) \quad (f_+^2 - f_-^2)(f_+ + f_- + 2\sqrt{c})(f_+ + f_- - 2\sqrt{c})(f_+ - f_- - 2\sqrt{c})(f_+ - f_- + 2\sqrt{c}) = 0.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{M}$  the open subset of  $M$  obtained by removing the zero locus  $K_1^{-1}(0)$  of  $K_1$  from  $M$  (notice that  $\tilde{M}$  is a connected, dense open subset of  $M$ , as  $K_1^{-1}(0)$  is a disjoint union of totally geodesic submanifolds of codimension at least 2). It readily follows from (5.6) that  $\tilde{M}$  is the union of the following four *closed* subsets  $\tilde{F}_0 := F_0 \cap \tilde{M}$ ,  $\tilde{F}_+ := F_+ \cap \tilde{M}$ ,  $\tilde{F}_- := F_- \cap \tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{F}_S := F_S \cap \tilde{M}$  of  $\tilde{M}$ , where  $F_0, F_+, F_-, F_S$  denote the four closed subsets of  $M$  defined by:

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_0 &:= \{x \in M \mid f_+(x) + f_-(x) = 2\sqrt{c}\}, \\ F_+ &:= \{x \in M \mid f_+(x) - f_-(x) = 2\sqrt{c}\}, \\ F_- &:= \{x \in M \mid f_-(x) - f_+(x) = 2\sqrt{c}\}, \\ F_S &:= \{x \in M \mid f_+(x) - f_-(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that if the interior,  $V$ , of  $\tilde{F}_0$  is non-empty then  $\tilde{F}_0 = \tilde{M}$  (and thus  $F_0 = M$  by density); this amounts to showing that the boundary  $B := \bar{V} \setminus V$  of  $V$  in  $\tilde{M}$  is empty. If not, let  $x$  be any element of  $B$ ; then,  $x$  belongs to  $\tilde{F}_0$ , as  $\tilde{F}_0$  is closed, and it also belongs to  $\tilde{F}_+$  or  $\tilde{F}_-$ : otherwise, there would exist an open neighbourhood of  $x$  disjoint from  $\tilde{F}_+ \cup \tilde{F}_-$ , hence contained in  $\tilde{F}_0 \cup \tilde{F}_S$ ; as  $\tilde{F}_S$  has no interior, this neighbourhood would be contained in  $\tilde{F}_0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x$  sits on the boundary of  $V$ . Without loss, we may thus assume that  $x$  belongs to  $\tilde{F}_+$ , so that  $f_+(x) = 2\sqrt{c}$  and  $f_-(x) = 0$ ; since  $K_1(x) \neq 0$  — by the very definition of  $\tilde{M}$  —  $f_+$  is regular at  $x$ , implying that the locus of  $f_+ = 2\sqrt{c}$  is a smooth hypersurface,  $S$ , of  $\tilde{M}$  near  $x$ ; moreover, since  $\tilde{F}_+$  and  $\tilde{F}_-$  are disjoint,  $f_- = 0$  on  $S$ , meaning that  $\Psi_- = 0$  on  $S$ ; for any  $X$  in  $T_x S$  we then have  $\nabla_X \Psi_- = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\nabla_X \Psi = (\alpha(x) \wedge X)_-$ , for any  $X$  in  $T_x M$ , cf. (2.12), and we can then choose  $X$  in  $T_x S$  in such a way that  $(\alpha(x) \wedge X)_-$  be non-zero, hence  $\nabla_X \psi_- \neq 0$ , contradicting the



previous assertion. We similarly show that  $M = F_+$  or  $M = F_-$  whenever the interior of  $\tilde{F}_+$  or of  $\tilde{F}_-$  is non-empty.  $\square$

A direct consequence of Proposition 5.1 is that on the (dense) open subset  $M_0$ , the associated ambikähler structure  $(g_+ = f_+^{-2}g, J_+ = f_+^{-1}\Psi_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f_-^{-2}g = f^2g_+, J_- = f_-^{-1}\Psi_-, \omega_-)$ , with  $f = f_+/f_-$ , satisfies

$$(5.8) \quad \tau(df) = -df$$

in the first case listed in Proposition 5.1, and

$$(5.9) \quad \tau(df) = df$$

in the remaining two cases. The ambikähler structure is then of *Calabi type*, according to the following definition, taken from [1]:

**Definition 5.1.** An ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$ , with  $g_+ = f^{-2}g_-$ , is said to be of *Calabi type* if  $df \neq 0$  everywhere, and if there exists a non-vanishing vector field  $K$ , Killing with respect to  $g_+$  and  $g_-$  and Hamiltonian with respect to  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ , which satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad \tau(K) = \pm K,$$

with  $\tau = -J_+J_- = -J_-J_+$ .

By replacing the pair  $(J_+, J_-)$  by the pair  $(J_+, -J_-)$  if needed, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\tau(K) = -K$ . In the following proposition, we recall some general facts concerning this class of ambikähler structures, cf. e.g. [1, Section 3]:

**Proposition 5.2.** For any ambikähler structure of Calabi type, with  $\tau(K) = -K$ :

- (i) The Killing vector field  $K$  is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor,  $\text{Ric}^{g_+}$ , of  $g_+$  and of the Ricci tensor,  $\text{Ric}^{g_-}$ , of  $g_-$ ; in particular,  $\text{Ric}^{g_+}$  and  $\text{Ric}^{g_-}$  are both  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant;
- (ii) the Killing vector field  $K$  is a constant multiple of  $J_- \text{grad}_{g_-} f = J_+ \text{grad}_{g_+} \frac{1}{f}$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $K = J_+ \text{grad}_{g_+} z_+ = J_- \text{grad}_{g_-} z_-$ , for some real functions  $z_+$  and  $z_-$ . Since  $J_-K = -J_+K$ , we infer  $\text{grad}_{g_+} z_+ = -\text{grad}_{g_-} z_-$ , hence

$$(5.11) \quad dz_+ = -f^{-2} dz_-.$$

Since  $df \neq 0$  everywhere, this, in turn, implies that

$$(5.12) \quad z_+ = F(f), \quad z_- = G(f)$$

for some real (smooth) functions  $F, G$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$  up to an additive constant and satisfying:

$$(5.13) \quad G'(x) = -x^2 F'(x).$$

Moreover,

$$(5.14) \quad \tau(df) = -df.$$

Since  $K$  has no zero and satisfies  $\tau(K) = -K$ , we have

$$(5.15) \quad J_+ = \frac{K^\flat \wedge J_+ K}{|K|^2} + * \frac{K^\flat \wedge J_+ K}{|K|^2}, \quad J_- = -\frac{K^\flat \wedge J_+ K}{|K|^2} + * \frac{K^\flat \wedge J_+ K}{|K|^2},$$

so that

$$(5.16) \quad J_+ - J_- = \frac{2K^\flat \wedge J_+ K}{|K|^2},$$

In (5.15)–(5.16), the dual 1-form  $K^\flat$  and the square norm  $|K|^2$  are relative to any metric in  $[g_+] = [g_-]$ . For definiteness however, we agree that they are both relative to  $g_+$ . Since  $g^+ = f^{-2}g_-$ , we have:

$$(5.17) \quad \nabla_X^{g^+} J_- = J_- \frac{df}{f} \wedge X + \frac{df}{f} \wedge J_- X.$$

By using (2.17), we then infer from (5.16):

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{g^+} (J_+ - J_-) &= -\nabla_X^{g^+} J_- = J_+ \frac{df}{f} \wedge X - \frac{df}{f} \wedge J_- X \\ &= \frac{2 \nabla_X^{g^+} K^\flat \wedge J_+ K + 2 K^\flat \wedge J_+ \nabla_X^{g^+} K}{|K|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{X \cdot |K|^2}{|K|^2} (J_+ + J_-). \end{aligned}$$

By contracting with  $K$ , and by using  $K^\flat = F' J_+ df$  and  $J_+ \nabla_X^{g^+} K = \nabla_{J_+ X} K$  (as  $K$  is  $J_\pm$ -holomorphic), we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{g^+} K &= -\frac{|K|^2}{2f F'} J_+ X + \frac{1}{2f F'} (K^\flat \wedge J_+ K)(X) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d|K|^2}{|K|^2}(X) K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{J_+ d|K|^2}{|K|^2}(X) J_+ K. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K$  is Killing with respect to  $g_+$ ,  $\nabla^{g^+} K$  is anti-symmetric; in view of (5.19), this forces  $|K|^2$  to be of the form

$$(5.20) \quad |K|^2 = H(f),$$

for some (smooth) function  $H$  from  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , hence

$$(5.21) \quad \frac{d|K|^2}{|K|^2} = \frac{H'(f)}{H(f)} df = -\frac{H'(f)}{H(f)F'(f)} J_+ K^\flat.$$

By substituting (5.21) in (5.19), we eventually get the following expression of  $\nabla^{g^+} K$ :

$$(5.22) \quad \nabla^{g^+} K = \Phi_+(f) J_+ - \Phi_-(f) J_-,$$

with

$$(5.23) \quad \Phi_+ = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{H'(f)}{F'(f)} - \frac{H(f)}{f F'(f)} \right), \quad \Phi_- = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{H'(f)}{F'(f)} + \frac{H(f)}{f F'(f)} \right).$$

Since  $K$  is Killing with respect to  $g_+$ , it follows from the Bochner formula that

$$(5.24) \quad \text{Ric}^{g^+}(K) = \delta \nabla^{g^+} K,$$

whereas, from (5.22) we get

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^{g^+})_{X,Y}^2 K &= \Phi'_+ df(X) J_+(Y) - \Phi'_- df(X) J_-(Y) \\ &\quad - \Phi_- (\nabla_X^{g^+} J_-)(Y), \end{aligned}$$

and, from  $\nabla_X^{g^+} J_- = [J_-, \frac{df}{f} \wedge X]$ :

$$(5.26) \quad \delta J_- = - \left( \sum_{i=1}^4 \nabla_{e_i}^{g^+} J_- \right) (e_i) = -2J_+ \frac{df}{f} = -\frac{2}{f F'(f)} K^\flat.$$

By putting together (5.22), (5.24), (5.25) and (5.26), we get

$$(5.27) \quad \text{Ric}^{g_+}(K) = \mu K,$$

with

$$(5.28) \quad \mu = -\frac{(f \Phi'_+(f) + f \Phi'_-(f) - 2 \Phi_-(f))}{f F'(f)}.$$

Since the metric  $g_+$  is Kähler with respect to  $J_+$ , in particular is  $J_+$ -invariant, (5.27) implies that the two eigenspaces of  $\text{Ric}^{g_+}$  are the space  $\{K, J_+K\}$  generated by  $K$  and  $J_+K$  (where  $J_- = J_+$ ) and its orthogonal complement,  $\{K, J_+K\}^\perp$  (where  $J_- = -J_+$ ). It follows that  $\text{Ric}^{g_+}$  is both  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant. This establishes the part (i) of the proposition (it is similarly shown that  $\text{Ric}^{g_-}$  is  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant).

Before proving part (ii), we first recall the general transformation rules of the curvature under a conformal change of the metric. If  $g$  and  $\tilde{g} = \phi^{-2}g$  are two Riemannian metrics in a same conformal class  $[g]$  in any  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ ,  $n > 2$ , then the scalar curvature,  $\text{Scal}^{\tilde{g}}$ , and the trace-free part,  $\text{Ric}_0^{\tilde{g}}$ , of  $\tilde{g}$  are related to the scalar curvature,  $\text{Scal}^g$ , and the trace-free part,  $\text{Ric}_0^g$ , of  $g$  by

$$(5.29) \quad \text{Scal}^{\tilde{g}} = \phi^2 (\text{Scal}^g - 2(n-1) \phi \Delta_g \phi - n(n-1) |d\phi|_g^2),$$

and

$$(5.30) \quad \text{Ric}_0^{\tilde{g}} = \text{Ric}_0^g - (n-2) \frac{(\nabla^g d\phi)_0}{\phi},$$

where  $(\nabla^g d\phi)_0$  is the trace-free part of the Hessian  $\nabla^g d\phi$  of  $\phi$  with respect of  $g$ , cf. e.g. [5, Chapter 1, Section J]. Applying (5.30) to the conformal pair  $(g_-, g_+ = f^{-2}g_-)$ , we get

$$(5.31) \quad \text{Ric}_0^{g_+} = \text{Ric}_0^{g_-} - \frac{2(\nabla^{g_-} df)_0}{f}.$$

Since  $\text{Ric}^{g_+}$  and  $\text{Ric}^{g_-}$  are both  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant, it follows that  $(\nabla^{g_-} df)_0$  is  $J_-$ -invariant, as well as  $\nabla^{g_-} df$ , since all metrics in  $[g_+] = [g_-]$  are  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant. This means that the vector field  $\text{grad}_{g_-} f$  is  $J_-$ -holomorphic, hence that  $J_- \text{grad}_{g_-} f$  is Hamiltonian with respect to  $\omega_-$ , hence Killing with respect to  $g_-$ ; since  $J_- \text{grad}_{g_-} f = \frac{1}{G'(f)} K$ , we conclude that  $G'(f)$  is constant, hence, by using (5.13), that  $F(f)$  and  $G(f)$  are of the form

$$(5.32) \quad F(f) = \frac{a}{f} + b, \quad G(f) = a f + c,$$

for a non-zero real constant  $a$  and arbitrary real constants  $b, c$ . This, together with (2.17), establishes part (ii) of the proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected, oriented 4-manifold admitting a non-parallel \*-Killing 2-form  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$ , satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 5.1, corresponding to Case (2) of Proposition 3.3. Then, on the dense open set  $M_0 \setminus K_1^{-1}(0)$  the associated ambikähler structure is of Calabi type, with respect to the Killing vector field  $K = K_1$ , with  $\tau(K) = -K$  in the first case of Proposition 5.1 and  $\tau(K) = K$  in the two remaining cases.*

*Conversely, let  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$  be any ambikähler structure of Calabi type with non-vanishing Killing vector field  $K$ , defined on some oriented 4-dimensional manifold  $M$ . If  $\tau(K) = -K$ , there exist, up to scaling, a unique metric  $g$  in the conformal class  $[g_+] = [g_-]$  and a unique non-parallel \*-Killing 2-form  $\psi$  with respect to  $g$ , inducing the given*

ambikähler structure. If  $\tau(K) = K$ , such a pair  $(g, \psi)$  exists and is unique outside the locus  $\{f = 1\}$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the proposition has already been discussed in the preceding part of this section. Conversely, let  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$  be an ambikähler structure of Calabi type, with respect to some non-vanishing Killing vector field  $K$ , with  $\tau(K) = -K$  or  $\tau(K) = K$ . Then, according to Proposition 5.2,  $K$  can be chosen equal to

$$(5.33) \quad K = J_+ \operatorname{grad}_{g_-} f = J_+ \operatorname{grad}_{g_+} \frac{1}{f},$$

if  $\tau(K) = -K$ , or

$$(5.34) \quad K = J_+ \operatorname{grad}_{g_-} f = -J_+ \operatorname{grad}_{g_+} \frac{1}{f},$$

if  $\tau(K) = K$ . According to Proposition 2.2 and (2.35), if  $\tau(K) = -K$ , hence  $\tau(df) = -df$ , the ambikähler structure is then induced by the metric  $g$ , in the conformal class  $[g_+] = [g_-]$ , defined by  $g = f_+^{-2} g_+ = f_-^{-2} g_-$ , with

$$(5.35) \quad f_+ = \frac{cf}{1+f}, \quad f_- = \frac{c}{1+f} = c - f_+,$$

for some positive constant  $c$ , and the  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$  defined by

$$(5.36) \quad \psi = \frac{f^3}{(1+f)^3} \omega_+ + \frac{1}{(1+f)^3} \omega_-.$$

If  $\tau(K) = K$ , hence  $\tau(df) = df$ , it similarly follows from Proposition 2.2 and (2.34) that the ambikähler structure is induced by the metric  $g = f_+^2 g_+ = f_-^2 g_-$ , with

$$(5.37) \quad f_+ = \frac{cf}{1-f}, \quad f_- = \frac{c}{1-f} = c + f_+,$$

for some constant  $c$ , positive if  $f < 1$ , negative if  $f > 1$ , and the  $*$ -Killing 2-form

$$(5.38) \quad \psi = \frac{f^3}{(1-f)^3} \omega_+ + \frac{1}{(1-f)^3} \omega_-,$$

but the pair  $(g, \psi)$  is only defined outside the locus  $\{f = 1\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** Any ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  generates, up to global scaling, a 1-parameter family of ambikähler structures, parametrized by a non-zero real number  $k$ , obtained by, say, fixing the first Kähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$  and substituting  $(g_-^{(k)} = k^{-2} g_- = f_k^2 g_+, J_-^{(k)} = \epsilon(k) J_-, \omega_-^{(k)} = \epsilon(k) k^{-2} \omega_-)$  to the second one, with  $\epsilon(k) = \frac{k}{|k|}$  and  $f_k = \frac{f}{|k|}$ . Assume that the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$  is of Calabi type, with  $\tau(df) = -df$ . For any  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , we then have  $\tau^{(k)}(df_k) = -\epsilon(k) df_k$ , by setting  $\tau^{(k)} = -J_+ J_-^{(k)} = -J_-^{(k)} J_+ = \epsilon(k) \tau$ , whereas, from (2.33) we infer:

$$(5.39) \quad f_+^{(k)} = \frac{f}{|k+f|}, \quad f_-^{(k)} = \frac{|k|}{|k+f|},$$

up to global scaling; the ambikähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_-^{(k)}, J_-^{(k)}, \omega_-^{(k)})$  is then induced by the pair  $(g^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)})$ , where  $g^{(k)}$  is defined in the conformal class by

$$(5.40) \quad g^{(k)} = \frac{f^2}{(k+f)^2} g_+ = \frac{(1+f)^2}{(k+f)^2} g,$$

and  $\psi^{(k)}$  is the  $*$ -Killing 2-form with respect to  $g^{(k)}$  defined by

$$(5.41) \quad \psi^{(k)} = \frac{f^3}{|k+f|^3} \omega_+ + \frac{k}{|k+f|^3} \omega_-,$$

both defined outside the locus  $\{f+k=0\}$ .

**Remark 5.2.** As observed in [1, Section 3.1], any ambikähler structure of Calabi type  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$ ,  $(g_- = f^2 g_+, J_-, \omega_-)$ , with  $\tau(df) = df$ , admits a *Hamiltonian 2-form*,  $\phi^+$ , with respect to the Kähler structure  $(g_+, J_+, \omega_+)$  and a Hamiltonian 2-form,  $\phi^-$ , with respect to the  $(g_-, J_-, \omega_-)$ , given by

$$(5.42) \quad \phi^+ = f^{-1} \omega_+ + f^{-3} \omega_-, \quad \phi^- = f^3 \omega_+ + f \omega_-.$$

## 6. THE DECOMPOSABLE CASE

Assume now that  $(M, g, \psi)$  is as in Case (3) in Proposition 3.3, that is, that the  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$  is degenerate (or decomposable). This latter condition holds if and only if  $\psi \wedge \psi = 0$ , if and only if  $|\psi_+| = |\psi_-|$ , i.e.  $f_+ = f_- =: \varphi$ , or  $f = 1$ , meaning that  $g_+ = g_- =: g_K$ , whereas  $g = \varphi^2 g_K$ . Denote by  $\nabla^K$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_K$ . Then from (2.24)–(2.26) we get  $\nabla^K J_+ = \nabla^K J_- = \nabla^K \tau = 0$ , which implies that  $(M, g_K)$  is locally a Kähler product of two Kähler curves of the form  $M = (\Sigma, g_\Sigma, J_\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma) \times (\tilde{\Sigma}, g_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, J_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}})$ , with

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g_K &= g_\Sigma + g_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \\ J_+ &= J_\Sigma + J_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \quad J_- = J_\Sigma - J_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \\ \omega_+ &= \omega_\Sigma + \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \quad \omega_- = \omega_\Sigma - \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (2.14) we readily infer  $\tau(d\varphi) = d\varphi$ , meaning that  $\varphi$  is the pull-back to  $M$  of a function defined on  $\Sigma$ . Conversely, for any Kähler product  $M = (\Sigma, g_\Sigma, J_\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma) \times (\tilde{\Sigma}, g_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, J_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}})$  as above and for *any* positive function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\Sigma$ , regarded as a function defined on  $M$ , the metric  $g := \varphi^2 (g_\Sigma + g_{\tilde{\Sigma}})$  admits a  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi$ , given by

$$(6.2) \quad \psi = \varphi^3 \omega_\Sigma,$$

whose corresponding Killing 2-form  $*\psi$  is given by

$$(6.3) \quad *\psi = \varphi^3 \omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}}.$$

Note that by (2.2)  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \delta^g \psi = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} *_\Sigma d\varphi$ , so  $K_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^\sharp$  is not a Killing vector field in general.

The above considerations completely describe the local structure of 4-manifolds with decomposable  $*$ -Killing 2-forms. They also provide compact examples, simply by taking  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  to be compact Riemann surfaces. We will show, however, that there are compact 4-manifolds with decomposable  $*$ -Killing 2-forms which are not products of Riemann surfaces (in fact not even of Kähler type). They arise as special cases (for  $n = 4$ ) of the classification, in [9], of compact Riemannian manifolds  $(M^n, g)$  carrying a Killing vector fields with conformal Killing covariant derivative.

It turns out that if  $\psi$  is a non-trivial  $*$ -Killing 2-form which can be written as  $\psi = d\xi^\flat$  for some Killing vector field  $\xi$  on  $M$ , then either  $\psi$  has rank 2 on  $M$ , or  $M$  is Sasakian or has positive constant sectional curvature (Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 in [9]). For  $n = 4$ , the Sasakian situation does not occur, and the case when  $M$  has constant sectional curvature will be treated in detail in the next section. The remaining case — when  $\psi$  is decomposable — is the one which we are interested in, and is described by cases 3. and 4. in Theorem 8.9 in [9]. We obtain the following two classes of examples:

- (1)
- $(M, g)$
- is a warped mapping torus

$$M = (\mathbb{R} \times N) / (t, x) \sim (t+1, \varphi(x)), \quad g = \lambda^2 d\theta^2 + g_N,$$

where  $(N, g_N)$  is a compact 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold carrying a function  $\lambda$ , such that  $d\lambda^\sharp$  is a conformal vector field,  $\varphi$  is an isometry of  $N$  preserving  $\lambda$ ,  $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  and  $\psi = d\xi^\flat = 2\lambda d\lambda \wedge d\theta$ . One can take for instance  $(N, g_N) = \mathbb{S}^3$  and  $\lambda$  a first spherical harmonic. Further examples of manifolds  $N$  with this property are given in Section 7 in [9].

- (2)
- $(M, g)$
- is a Riemannian join
- $\mathbb{S}^2 *_{\gamma, \lambda} \mathbb{S}^1$
- , defined as the smooth extension to
- $S^4$
- of the metric
- $g = ds^2 + \gamma^2(s)g_{\mathbb{S}^2} + \lambda^2(s)d\theta^2$
- on
- $(0, l) \times S^2 \times S^1$
- , where
- $l > 0$
- is a positive real number,
- $\gamma : (0, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$
- is a smooth function satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\gamma(t) = t(1 + t^2 a(t^2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(l-t) = \frac{1}{c} + t^2 b(t^2), \quad \forall |t| < \epsilon,$$

for some smooth functions  $a$  and  $b$  defined on some interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ ,  $\lambda(s) := \int_s^l \gamma(t) dt$ ,  $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  and  $\psi = 2\lambda(s)\lambda'(s)ds \wedge d\theta$ .

In particular, we obtain infinite-dimensional families of metrics on  $S^3 \times S^1$  and on  $S^4$  carrying decomposable \*-Killing 2-forms.

## 7. EXAMPLE: THE SPHERE $\mathbb{S}^4$ AND ITS DEFORMATIONS

We denote by  $\mathbb{S}^4 := (S^4, g)$  the 4-dimensional sphere, embedded in the standard way in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , equipped with the standard induced Riemannian metric,  $g$ , of constant sectional curvature 1, namely the restriction to  $\mathbb{S}^4$  of the standard inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . We first recall the following well-known facts, cf. e.g. [13]. Let  $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$  be any \*-Killing 2-form with respect to  $g$ , so that  $\nabla_X \psi = \alpha \wedge X$ , cf. (2.1). Since  $g$  is Einstein, the vector field  $\alpha^\sharp$  is Killing and it follows from (3.1)–(3.2) that  $\nabla \alpha = \psi$ . Conversely, for any Killing vector field  $Z$  on  $\mathbb{S}^4$ , it readily follows from the general *Kostant formula*

$$(7.1) \quad \nabla_X(\nabla Z) = R_{Z, X},$$

that, in the current case,  $\nabla_X(\nabla Z) = Z \wedge X$ , so that the 2-form  $\psi := \nabla Z^\flat$  is \*-Killing with respect to  $g$ . The map  $Z \mapsto \nabla Z^\flat$  is then an isomorphism from the space of Killing vector fields on  $\mathbb{S}^4$  to the space of \*-Killing 2-forms.

It is also well-known that there is a natural 1 – 1-correspondence between the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$  of anti-symmetric endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  and the space of Killing vector fields on  $\mathbb{S}^4$ : for any  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ , the corresponding Killing vector field,  $Z_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , is defined by

$$(7.2) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{a}}(u) = \mathfrak{a}(u),$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}(u)$  is viewed as an element of the tangent space  $T_u \mathbb{S}^4$ , via the natural identification  $T_u \mathbb{S}^4 = u^\perp$ .

By combining the above two isomorphisms, we eventually obtained a natural identification of  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$  with the space of \*-Killing 2-forms on  $\mathbb{S}^4$  and it is easy to check that, for any  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ , the corresponding \*-Killing 2-form,  $\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , is given by

$$(7.3) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(X, Y) = (\mathfrak{a}(X), Y),$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$  and any  $X, Y$  in  $T_u \mathbb{S}^4 = u^\perp$ ; alternatively, the corresponding endomorphism  $\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is given by

$$(7.4) \quad \Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) = \mathfrak{a}(X) - (\mathfrak{a}(X), u) u,$$

for any  $X$  in  $T_u\mathbb{S}^4 = u^\perp$ .

Since, for any  $u$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$ , the volume form of  $\mathbb{S}^4$  is the restriction to  $T_u\mathbb{S}^4$  of the 4-form  $u \lrcorner v_0$ , where  $v_0$  stands for the standard volume form of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , namely  $v_0 = e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$ , for any direct frame of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  (here identified with a coframe via the standard metric), we easily check that, for any  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ , the corresponding Killing 2-form  $*\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  has the following expression

$$(7.5) \quad (*\psi_{\mathfrak{a}})(X, Y) = (u \lrcorner *_{\mathbb{R}^5} \mathfrak{a})(X, Y) = *_{\mathbb{R}^5}(u \wedge \mathfrak{a})(X, Y),$$

for any  $u$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$  and any  $X, Y$  in  $T_u\mathbb{S}^4 = u^\perp$ ; here,  $*_{\mathbb{R}^5}$  denotes the Hodge operator on  $\mathbb{R}^5$  and we keep identifying vector and covectors via the Euclidean inner product.

From (7.4), we easily infer

$$(7.6) \quad |\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}|^2 = |\mathfrak{a}|^2 - 2|\mathfrak{a}(u)|^2,$$

at any  $u$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$ , where the norm is the usual Euclidean norm of endomorphisms, whereas the Pfaffian of  $\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is given by:

$$(7.7) \quad \text{pf}(\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}) := \frac{\psi_{\mathfrak{a}} \wedge \psi_{\mathfrak{a}}}{2 v_g} = \frac{(\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}, *\psi_{\mathfrak{a}})}{2} = \frac{u \wedge \mathfrak{a} \wedge \mathfrak{a}}{2 v_0}.$$

On the other hand, when  $f_+, f_-$  are defined by (2.8), we have

$$(7.8) \quad |\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}}|^2 = 4(f_+^2 + f_-^2),$$

and

$$(7.9) \quad \text{pf}(\psi_{\mathfrak{a}}) = f_+^2 - f_-^2.$$

For any  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ , we may choose a direct orthonormal basis  $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , with respect to which  $\mathfrak{a}$  has the following form

$$(7.10) \quad \mathfrak{a} = \lambda e_1 \wedge e_2 + \mu e_3 \wedge e_4,$$

for some real numbers  $\lambda, \mu$ , with  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \mu$ . Then,

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{a}|^2 &= 2(\lambda^2 + \mu^2), \\ \mathfrak{a}(u) &= \lambda(u_1 e_2 - u_2 e_1) + \mu(u_3 e_4 - u_4 e_3), \\ |\mathfrak{a}(u)|^2 &= \lambda^2(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + \mu^2(u_3^2 + u_4^2), \\ u \wedge \mathfrak{a} \wedge \mathfrak{a} &= 2\lambda\mu u_0 e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $u = \sum_{i=0}^4 u_i e_i$  in  $\mathbb{S}^4$ . We thus get

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} f_+^2 + f_-^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 + \mu^2 - \lambda^2(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - \mu^2(u_3^2 + u_4^2)), \\ f_+^2 - f_-^2 &= \lambda\mu u_0, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_+(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\lambda + \mu u_0)^2 + (\mu^2 - \lambda^2) (u_1^2 + u_2^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\mu + \lambda u_0)^2 + (\lambda^2 - \mu^2) (u_3^2 + u_4^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_-(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\lambda - \mu u_0)^2 + (\mu^2 - \lambda^2) (u_1^2 + u_2^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( (\mu - \lambda u_0)^2 + (\lambda^2 - \mu^2) (u_3^2 + u_4^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (7.12)–(7.13), we easily obtain the following three cases, corresponding, in the same order, to the three cases listed in Proposition 3.3:

**Case 1** :  $\mathfrak{a}$  is of rank 4 — i.e.  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are both non-zero — and  $\lambda < \mu$ . Then:

- (i)  $f_+(u) = f_-(u)$  if and only if  $u$  belongs to the equatorial sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  defined by  $u_0 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $f_+(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u$  belongs to the circle  $C_+ = \{u_0 = -\frac{\lambda}{\mu}, u_1 = u_2 = 0\}$ , and we then have  $f_-(u) = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ ;
- (iii)  $f_-(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u$  belongs to the circle  $C_- = \{u_0 = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}, u_1 = u_2 = 0\}$ , and we then have  $f_+(u) = \frac{\lambda}{2}$ ;
- (iv) the 2-form  $df_+^2 \wedge df_-^2$  is non-zero outside the 2-spheres  $S_+^2 = \{u_1 = u_2 = 0\}$  and  $S_-^2 = \{u_3 = u_4 = 0\}$ ; this is because

$$\begin{aligned} df_+^2 \wedge df_-^2 &= \frac{\lambda\mu(\lambda^2 - \mu^2)}{2} du_0 \wedge (u_1 du_1 + u_2 du_2) \\ &= \frac{\lambda\mu(\mu^2 - \lambda^2)}{2} du_0 \wedge (u_3 du_3 + u_4 du_4), \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

which readily follows from (7.12).

**Case 2** :  $\mathfrak{a}$  is of rank 4 and  $\lambda = \mu$ . Then

$$f_+(u) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 + u_0), \quad f_-(u) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - u_0); \quad (7.15)$$

in particular,

$$f_+ + f_- = \lambda; \quad (7.16)$$

moreover,  $f_+(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u = -e_0$  and  $f_-(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u = e_0$ .

**Case 3** :  $\mathfrak{a}$  is of rank 2, i.e.  $\lambda = 0$ . Then,  $f_+ - f_-$  is identically zero and  $f_+(u) = f_-(u)$  vanishes if and only if  $u$  belongs to the circle  $C_0 = \{u_0 = u_1 = u_2 = 0\}$ .

**Remark 7.1.** Consider the functions  $x = \frac{f_+ + f_-}{2}$ ,  $y = \frac{f_+ - f_-}{2}$  defined in Section 3, as well as the functions of one variable,  $A$  and  $B$ , appearing in Proposition 3.1. If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is of rank 4, with



$0 < \lambda < \mu$ , corresponding to Case 1 in the above list, we easily infer from (7.12) that

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 &= \frac{4xy}{\lambda\mu}, \\ u_1^2 + u_2^2 &= \frac{(\lambda^2 - 4x^2)(\lambda^2 - 4y^2)}{\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - \mu^2)}, \\ u_3^2 + u_4^2 &= \frac{(\mu^2 - 4x^2)(\mu^2 - 4y^2)}{\mu^2(\mu^2 - \lambda^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \geq |y|$ , the above identities imply that the image of  $(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the rectangle  $R := [\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2}] \times [-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}]$ . A simple calculation then shows that  $A$  and  $B$  are given by

$$(7.18) \quad A(z) = -B(z) = -\left(z^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right)\left(z^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{4}\right).$$

Notice that  $A(x)$  and  $B(y)$  are positive in the interior of  $R$ , corresponding to the open set of  $\mathbb{S}^4$  where  $dx, dy$  are linearly independent, and vanish on its boundary. Also notice that the above expressions of  $A, B$  fit with the identities (4.3)–(4.4), with  $\text{Scal} = 12$  and  $b = 0$ .

**Remark 7.2.** By using the ambitoric Ansatz in Theorem 4.1, the above situation can easily be deformed in Case 1, where  $\mathbf{a}$  is of rank 4, with  $0 < \lambda < \mu$ , and the 2-form  $\psi_{\mathbf{a}}$  defined by (7.3) is  $*$ -Killing with respect to the round metric (We warmly thank Vestislav Apostolov for this suggestion.) On the open set  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{S}^4 \setminus (S_+^2 \cup S_-^2)$ , where  $f_+ \neq 0, f_- \neq 0$  and  $df_+ \wedge df_- \neq 0$ , the round metric of  $\mathbb{S}^4$  takes the form (4.23), where  $A$  and  $B$  are given by (7.18),  $x \in (\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2})$ ,  $y \in (-\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$  are determined by (7.17) and  $ds, dt$  are explicit exact 1-forms determined by the last two equations of (4.24). It can actually be shown that outside the 2-spheres  $S_+^2$  and  $S_-^2$ ,  $ds$  and  $dt$  are given by:

$$(7.19) \quad \begin{aligned} ds &= \frac{2}{\mu^2 - \lambda^2} \left( \lambda \frac{u_1 du_2 - u_2 du_1}{u_1^2 + u_2^2} - \mu \frac{u_3 du_4 - u_4 du_3}{u_3^2 + u_4^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\mu^2 - \lambda^2} d \left( \lambda \arctan \frac{u_2}{u_1} - \mu \arctan \frac{u_4}{u_3} \right), \\ dt &= \frac{8}{\mu^2 - \lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{u_1 du_2 - u_2 du_1}{u_1^2 + u_2^2} + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{u_3 du_4 - u_4 du_3}{u_3^2 + u_4^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{8}{\mu^2 - \lambda^2} d \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \arctan \frac{u_2}{u_1} + \frac{1}{\mu} \arctan \frac{u_4}{u_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\psi_{\mathbf{a}}$  is given by (4.27) with respect to these coordinates.

Consider now a small perturbation  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  of the functions  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\tilde{A}(x) = A(x)$  near  $x = \frac{\lambda}{2}$  and  $x = \frac{\mu}{2}$  and  $\tilde{B}(y) = B(y)$  near  $y = \pm \frac{\lambda}{2}$ . If the perturbation is small enough, the expression analogue to (4.23)

$$(7.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g} &:= (x^2 - y^2) \left( \frac{dx \otimes dx}{\tilde{A}(x)} + \frac{dy \otimes dy}{\tilde{B}(y)} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{A}(x)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + y^2 dt) \otimes (ds + y^2 dt) \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{B}(y)}{(x^2 - y^2)} (ds + x^2 dt) \otimes (ds + x^2 dt) \end{aligned}$$

is still positive definite so defines a Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{U}$ , which coincides with the canonical metric on an open neighbourhood of  $S^4 \setminus \mathcal{U} = S_+^2 \cup S_-^2$ , and thus has a smooth extension to  $S^4$  which we still call  $\tilde{g}$ . Since the expression (4.27) of the  $*$ -Killing form in the Ansatz of Section 4 does not depend on  $A$  and  $B$ , the 2-form  $\psi_a$  is still  $*$ -Killing with respect to the new metric  $\tilde{g}$ . We thus get an infinite-dimensional family (depending on two functions of one variable) of Riemannian metrics on  $S^4$  which all carry *the same* non-parallel  $*$ -Killing form.

## 8. EXAMPLE: COMPLEX RULED SURFACES

In general, a (geometric) *complex ruled surface* is a compact, connected, complex manifold of the form  $M = \mathbb{P}(E)$ , where  $E$  denotes a rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle over some (compact, connected) Riemann surface,  $\Sigma$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is then the corresponding projective line bundle, i.e. the holomorphic bundle over  $\Sigma$ , whose fiber at each point  $y$  of  $\Sigma$  is the complex projective line  $\mathbb{P}(E_y)$ , where  $E_y$  denotes the fiber of  $E$  at  $y$ . A complex ruled surface is said to be of *genus*  $\mathbf{g}$  if  $\Sigma$  is of genus  $\mathbf{g}$ .

In this section, we restrict our attention to complex ruled surfaces  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  as above, when  $E = L \oplus \mathbb{C}$  is the Whitney sum of some holomorphic line bundle,  $L$ , over  $\Sigma$  and of the trivial complex line bundle  $\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ , here simply denoted  $\mathbb{C}$ :  $M$  is then the *compactification* of the total space of  $L$  obtained by adding the *point at infinity*  $[L_y] := \mathbb{P}(L_y \oplus \{0\})$  to each fiber of  $M$  over  $y$ . The union of the points at infinity is a divisor of  $M$ , denoted by  $\Sigma_\infty$ , whereas the (image of) the zero section of  $L$ , viewed as a divisor of  $M$ , is denoted  $\Sigma_0$ ; both  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_\infty$  are identified with  $\Sigma$  by the natural projection,  $\pi$ , from  $M$  to  $\Sigma$ . The open set  $M \setminus (\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_\infty)$ , denoted  $M^0$ , is naturally identified with  $L \setminus \Sigma_0$ . We moreover assume that the degree,  $d(L)$ , of  $L$  is *negative* and we set:  $d(L) = -k$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer.

Complex ruled surfaces of this form will be called *Hirzebruch-like ruled surfaces*. When  $\mathbf{g} = 0$ , these are exactly those complex ruled surfaces introduced by F. Hirzebruch in [7]. When  $\mathbf{g} \geq 2$ , they were named *pseudo-Hirzebruch* in [14].

In general, the Kähler cone of a complex ruled surface  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  was described by A. Fujiki in [6]. In the special case considered in this section, when  $M = \mathbb{P}(L \oplus \mathbb{C})$  is a Hirzebruch-like ruled surface, if  $[\Sigma_0]$ ,  $[\Sigma_\infty]$  and  $[F]$  denote the Poincaré duals of the (homology class of)  $\Sigma_0$ ,  $\Sigma_\infty$  and of any fiber  $F$  of  $\pi$  in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , the latter is freely generated by  $[\Sigma_0]$  and  $[F]$  or by  $[\Sigma_\infty]$  and  $[F]$ , with  $[\Sigma_0] = [\Sigma_\infty] - k[F]$ , and the Kähler cone is the set of those elements,  $\Omega_{a_0, a_\infty}$ , of  $H(M, \mathbb{R})$  which are of the form  $\Omega_{a_0, a_\infty} = 2\pi(-a_0[\Sigma_0] + a_\infty[\Sigma_\infty])$ , for any two real numbers  $a_0, a_\infty$  such that  $0 < a_0 < a_\infty$ .

We assume that  $\Sigma$  comes equipped with a Kähler metric  $(g_\Sigma, \omega_\Sigma)$  polarized by  $L$ , in the sense that  $L$  is endowed with a Hermitian (fiberwise) inner product,  $h$ , in such a way that the curvature,  $R^\nabla$ , of the associated Chern connection,  $\nabla$ , is related to the Kähler form  $\omega_\Sigma$  by  $R^\nabla = i\omega$ ; in particular,  $[\omega_\Sigma] = 2\pi c_1(L^*)$ , where  $[\omega_\Sigma]$  denotes the de Rham class of  $\omega_\Sigma$ ,  $L^*$  the dual line bundle to  $L$  and  $c_1(L^*)$  the (de Rham) Chern class of  $L^*$ . The natural action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  extends to a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $M$ , trivial on  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_\infty$ ; we denote by  $K$  the generator of the restriction of this action on  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ . On  $M^0 = L \setminus \Sigma_0$ , we denote by  $t$  the function defined by

$$(8.1) \quad t = \log r,$$

where  $r$  stands for the distance to the origin in each fiber of  $L$  determined by  $h$ ; on  $M^0$ , we then have

$$(8.2) \quad dd^c t = \pi^* \omega_\Sigma, \quad d^c t(K) = 1$$

(beware: the function  $t$  defined by (8.1) has nothing to do with the local coordinate  $t$  appearing in Section 4). Any (smooth) function  $F = F(t)$  of  $t$  will be regarded as function defined on  $M^0$ , which is evidently  $K$ -invariant; moreover:

- (1)  $F = F(t)$  smoothly extends to  $\Sigma_0$  if and only if  $F(t) = \Phi_+(e^{2t})$  near  $t = -\infty$ , for some smooth function  $\Phi_+$  defined on some neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , and
- (2)  $F = F(t)$  smoothly extends to  $\Sigma_\infty$  if and only if  $F(t) = \Phi_-(e^{-2t})$  near  $t = \infty$ , for some smooth function  $\Phi_-$  defined on some neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , cf. *e.g.* [14], [1, Section 3.3].

For any (smooth) real function  $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ , denote by  $\omega_\varphi$  the real,  $J$ -invariant 2-form defined on  $M^0$  by

$$(8.3) \quad \omega_\varphi = \varphi dd^c t + \varphi' dt \wedge d^c t,$$

where  $\varphi'$  denotes the derivative of  $\varphi$  with respect to  $t$ . Then,  $\omega_\varphi$  is a Kähler form on  $M^0$ , with respect to the natural complex structure  $J = J_+$ , of  $M$ , if and only if  $\varphi$  is positive and increasing as a function of  $t$ ; moreover,  $\omega_\varphi$  extends to a smooth Kähler form on  $M$ , in the Kähler class  $\Omega_{a_0, a_\infty}$ , if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies the above asymptotic conditions (1)–(2), with  $\Phi_+(0) = a_0 > 0$ ,  $\Phi'_+(0) > 0$ ,  $\Phi_-(0) = a_\infty > 0$ ,  $\Phi'_-(0) < 0$ . Kähler forms of this form on  $M$ , as well as the corresponding Kähler metrics

$$(8.4) \quad g_\varphi = \varphi \pi^* g_\Sigma + \varphi' (dt \otimes dt + d^c t \otimes d^c t),$$

are called *admissible*.

Denote by  $J_-$  the complex structure, first defined on the total space of  $L$  by keeping  $J$  on the horizontal distribution determined by the Chern connection and by substituting  $-J$  on the fibers, then smoothly extended to  $M$ . The new complex structure induces the opposite orientation, hence commutes with  $J_+ = J$ .

Any admissible Kähler form  $\omega_\varphi$  is both  $J_+$ - and  $J_-$ -invariant, as well as the associated 2-form  $\tilde{\omega}_\varphi$  defined by

$$(8.5) \quad \tilde{\omega}_\varphi := \frac{1}{\varphi} dd^c t - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi^2} dt \wedge d^c t,$$

which is moreover Kähler with respect to  $J_-$ , with metric

$$(8.6) \quad \tilde{g}_\varphi = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g_\varphi.$$

We thus obtain an ambikähler structure of Calabi-type, as defined in Section 5, with  $f = \frac{1}{\varphi}$  and  $\tau(K) = -K$ . According to Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1, for any  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the metric  $g^{(k)}$  defined, outside the locus  $\{1 + k\varphi = 0\}$ , by

$$(8.7) \quad g_\varphi^{(k)} = \frac{1}{(1 + k\varphi)^2} g_\varphi,$$

there admits a non-parallel  $*$ -Killing 2-form  $\psi_\varphi^{(k)}$ , namely

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_\varphi^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{(1 + k\varphi)^3} \omega_\varphi + \frac{k\varphi^3}{(1 + k\varphi)^3} \tilde{\omega}_\varphi \\ &= \frac{\varphi}{(1 + k\varphi)^2} dd^c t + \frac{(1 - k\varphi)\varphi'}{(1 + k\varphi)^3} dt \wedge d^c t. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the pair  $(g_\varphi^{(k)}, \psi_\varphi^{(k)})$  smoothly extends to  $M$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\frac{1}{a_0}, -\frac{1}{a_\infty}]$ , including  $k = 0$  for which we simply get the Kähler pair  $(g_\varphi, \omega_+)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *The geometry of weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces*, Compositio Math. **135** (2003), 279–322.
- [2] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *Ambitoric geometry I: Einstein metrics and extremal ambikähler structures*, J. reine angew. Math. **721** (2016), 109–147.
- [3] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *Ambitoric geometry II: Extremal toric surfaces and Einstein 4-orbifolds*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **48** (2015), 1075–1112.
- [4] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry I: General theory*, J. Differential Geometry **73** (2006) 359–412.
- [5] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **10**, Springer Verlag (1987).
- [6] A. Fujiki, *Remarks on extremal Kähler metrics on ruled manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **126** (1992) 89–101.
- [7] F. Hirzebruch, *Über eine Klasse von einfachzusammenhängenden komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **124** (1951) 77–86.
- [8] W. Jelonek, *Bi-Hermitian Gray surfaces II*, Differential Geom. Appl. **27** (2009) 64–74.
- [9] A. Moroianu, *Killing vector fields with twistor derivative*, J. Differential Geometry **77** (2007) 149–167.
- [10] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, *Twistor forms on Kähler manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **2** no. 4 (2003) 823–845.
- [11] R. Penrose and M. Walker, *On quadratic first integrals of the geodesic equations for type {22} spacetimes*. Comm. Math. Phys. **18** (1970) 265–274.
- [12] M. Pontecorvo, *On twistor spaces of ant-self-dual Hermitian surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992) 653–661.
- [13] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Z. **245** (2003) 503–527.
- [14] C. Tønnesen–Friedman, *Extremal metrics on minimal ruled surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **502** (1998) 175–197.

PAUL GAUDUCHON, CMLS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91128 PALAISEAU, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* paul.gauduchon@polytechnique.edu

ANDREI MOROIANU, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE VERSAILLES, UVSQ, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 78035 VERSAILLES, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* andrei.moroianu@math.cnrs.fr